



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

London, 8 June 2009
Doc. Ref. EMEA/HMPC/67644/2009

**COMMITTEE ON HERBAL MEDICINAL PRODUCTS
(HMPC)**

DRAFT

**GUIDELINE ON SELECTION OF TEST MATERIALS FOR GENOTOXICITY TESTING
FOR TRADITIONAL HERBAL MEDICINAL PRODUCTS/ HERBAL MEDICINAL
PRODUCTS**

DRAFT AGREED BY HMPC DRAFTING GROUP ON QUALITY	February 2009
ADOPTION BY HMPC FOR RELEASE FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION	12 March 2009
CO-ORDINATION WITH SWP	May 2009
CO-ORDINATION WITH QWP	June 2009
END OF CONSULTATION (DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS)	15 September 2009
AGREED BY HMPC DRAFTING GROUP ON QUALITY	
ADOPTION BY HMPC	
CO-ORDINATION WITH SWP	
CO-ORDINATION WITH QWP	
DATE FOR COMING INTO EFFECT	

12
13

Comments should be provided using this [template](#) to hmpc.secretariat@emea.europa.eu

KEYWORDS	HMPC; herbal medicinal products; traditional herbal medicinal products; herbal substances; herbal preparations; extracts; genotoxicity testing
-----------------	--

GUIDELINE ON SELECTION OF TEST MATERIALS FOR GENOTOXICITY TESTING FOR TRADITIONAL HERBAL MEDICINAL PRODUCTS/ HERBAL MEDICINAL PRODUCTS

14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

15 **1. INTRODUCTION (BACKGROUND)3**

16 **2. SCOPE.....3**

17 **3. LEGAL BASIS4**

18 **4. SELECTION OF MATERIALS FOR GENOTOXICITY TESTING.....4**

19 **DEFINITIONS.....6**

20 **REFERENCES6**

21 **1. INTRODUCTION (background)**

22
23 The 'Community list of herbal substances , preparations and combinations thereof for use in
24 traditional herbal medicinal products' is established by the European Commission based on
25 proposals from the Committee on Herbal Medicinal Products (HMPC), in accordance with
26 Directive 2001/83/EC as amended. The list is being developed gradually through entries of
27 structured information relating to individual herbal substances or preparations.

28 Inclusion in the Community list of a herbal substance/preparation represents a significant
29 advantage to applicants seeking registrations for traditional herbal medicinal products. This is
30 because once a herbal substance/preparation is included in the Community list an applicant
31 will not be required to provide evidence of the safe and traditional use of a medicinal product
32 for which he seeks a traditional use registration if he demonstrates that the proposed product
33 and related claims in the application comply with the information contained in the list.

34 Once a herbal substance/preparation is included in the Community list, competent authorities
35 will not have the opportunity to require additional data to assess the safety and the traditional
36 use of the product. In view of this, the HMPC has concluded that, where data on genotoxicity
37 are inadequate or absent, it will not be possible to endorse the herbal substance/herbal
38 preparation for inclusion in the Community list.

39 As a result, progress with the development of Community list is being hampered by the
40 absence of genotoxicity data. Experience to date confirms that many well known traditional
41 herbal substances/preparations, already widely available within the Community, will be
42 excluded from the Community list solely as a consequence of absence of genotoxicity data
43 and thus any potential benefits of the list to applicants will be lost.

44 To assist applicants, the HMPC has developed a guideline, 'Guideline on the assessment of
45 genotoxicity of herbal substances/preparations' (EMEA/HMPC/107079/2007) which
46 describes a general framework and practical approaches on how to assess or to test the
47 potential genotoxicity of herbal substances/preparations and how to interpret the results. The
48 stepwise approach described in the guideline sets out a pragmatic approach to address both
49 scientific aspects of genotoxicity testing and the special needs of herbal medicinal products
50 within the current regulatory framework applicable to these products.

51 Strictly speaking, genotoxicity testing should be carried out by individual applicants on their
52 specific materials and it is recognised that this represents a major task and considerable
53 duplication of effort particularly for applicants seeking registrations for traditional herbal
54 medicinal products. Industry has therefore been encouraged to consider undertaking
55 collaborative research on genotoxicity and one such study is underway within some Member
56 States.

57
58 Community herbal monographs established by the HMPC set out the well-established and/or
59 traditional uses for a particular plant species. The individual monographs usually cover a
60 range of herbal preparations depending on the therapeutic uses of the particular plant. For
61 example, the monographs for Melilot herb and Passiflora herb include a range of preparations
62 as shown in the tables below. This guidance offers a strategy to reduce the number of test
63 materials such that a representative range of herbal preparations is tested rather than requiring
64 individual manufacturers to undertake their own testing on specific preparations.

65 66 **2. SCOPE**

67
68 This guideline addresses the selection of materials for genotoxicity testing in support of
69 applications for traditional herbal medicinal products/ herbal medicinal products.

70 This guideline provides possible approaches to what types of materials should be subjected to
71 testing for genotoxicity bearing in mind that different herbal preparations may have different
72 toxicological profiles. The guideline proposes applying reduced testing designs such as a

73 'bracketing/ matrixing' approach to the test materials such that a representative range of
74 materials is tested rather than requiring individual manufacturers to undertake their own
75 testing on specific preparations.

76 The main objective is to achieve consensus on a standard range of test materials which could
77 be considered representative of the commonly used herbal substances/preparations with the
78 intention of facilitating entry to the Community list.

79 The same approach could also be applied to herbal ingredients of herbal products which fall
80 within the well-established use category of herbal medicinal products.

81 The results of genotoxicity testing on individual herbal substances/preparations can be used
82 for assessment of combinations of herbal substances/preparations.
83

84 **3. LEGAL BASIS**

85
86 This guideline supports the development of the 'Community list of herbal substances,
87 preparations and combinations thereof for use in traditional herbal medicinal products' in
88 accordance with Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended.

89 This guideline supports applications made under the simplified registration procedure for
90 traditional herbal medicinal products for human use.

91 This guideline also supports applications for marketing authorisations according to Directive
92 2001/82/EC, as amended and Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended.
93

94 **4. SELECTION OF MATERIALS FOR GENOTOXICITY TESTING**

95
96 This guidance provides an approach to developing a standard range of test materials for
97 genotoxicity testing which could be considered to be representative of the herbal
98 substances/preparations intended for the Community list.

99 The guidance is intended to assist industry groups considering collaborative work on
100 genotoxicity testing as is currently being encouraged by the HMPC. The same approach could
101 be applied to herbal ingredients of herbal products which fall within the well-established use
102 category of herbal medicinal products.
103

104 The concept of applying a reduced design approach such as 'bracketing/ matrixing' to the
105 selection of samples for genotoxicity testing is proposed. Using the 'bracketing' concept, only
106 samples on the extremes of certain design factors would be tested. The reduced design
107 assumes that the genotoxic potential of any intermediate preparation is represented by the test
108 results of the extremes tested. Where a reduced testing design is proposed, evidence (usually
109 chromatographic data) should be provided to demonstrate that the samples to be tested
110 represent the phytochemical profile of all materials to be covered by the genotoxicity testing.
111

112 Herbal extracts are the most commonly used herbal preparations. Using herbal extracts as an
113 example, the proposal would be to test samples prepared using the extremes of extraction
114 solvents. In some cases, however, it may also be appropriate to test an extract of intermediate
115 strength. In addition, where substantially different drug extract ratios are used additional
116 testing may be required unless the phytochemical similarity of the test materials can be
117 demonstrated.
118

119 Melilot herb and Passiflora herb are used to illustrate the approach to be considered. In both
120 examples, their respective Community monographs list a range of herbal extracts with
121 recognised traditional usage. However, due to the absence of supporting genotoxicity data at
122 the present time, neither herbal ingredient can be included in the Community list.

123 **I. Melilot herb (*Melilotus officinalis* (L.) Lam.)**

124 The Community monograph for Melilot herb includes a range of aqueous/ethanolic extracts
125 (Table 1). In addition, the monograph includes two methanolic extracts:

126
127 Dry extracts (4 - 8:1), methanol 50 % V/V

128 Dry extracts (7 - 9:1), methanol 30 % V/V

129

130 **Table 1 Melilot herb: Aqueous and ethanolic extracts**

131

<i>Herbal preparations</i>	
Herbal substance for tea preparation	100% water
Dry extracts (3 - 5:1), water	100% water
Liquid extracts (1:1), ethanol 30 % V/V	70% water
Dry Extracts (5 - 7:1), ethanol 50 % V/V	50% water
Dry extracts (4 - 8:1), ethanol 25 % m/m	75% water
Dry extracts (4 - 8:1), ethanol 35 % V/V	65% water
Dry extracts (6 - 9:1), ethanol 90 % V/V	10% water

132

133 With regard to the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of Melilot herb, testing the extremes of the
134 range i.e. 10% and 100% aqueous extracts would in theory cover the range of extracts.
135 However, with such a wide range an extract mid-range e.g. 50% water should also be tested.

136 With respect to the methanolic extracts of Melilot herb, testing the 50% methanolic extract
137 only could be justified subject to supporting data to demonstrate that the 30% and 50%
138 methanolic extracts have a sufficiently similar phytochemical profile.

139

140 **II Passiflora herb (*Passiflora incarnata* L.)**

141

142 The Community monograph for Passiflora herb includes a range of aqueous/ ethanolic
143 extracts (Table 2).

144

145 **Table 2 Passiflora herb: Aqueous and ethanolic extracts**

146

<i>Herbal preparations</i>	
Herbal substance for tea preparation	100% water
Liquid extract (1:8); extraction solvent 25% ethanol	75% water
Liquid extract (1:8); extraction solvent 45% ethanol	55% water
Liquid extract (1:1); extraction solvent 25% ethanol	75% water
Liquid extract (1:1); extraction solvent 70% ethanol	30% water

147

148 With regard to the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of Passiflora herb, testing the extremes of
149 the range i.e. 30% and 100% aqueous extracts would in theory cover the range of extracts in
150 the Community monograph. Consideration should be given to including a mid-range solvent
151 e.g. 70% aqueous, depending on the chromatographic profiles.

152

153 **Herbal substance used in herbal medicinal products**

154 Where the entire herbal substance is incorporated directly into the herbal medicinal product,
155 e.g. in capsules, tablets, the test material for genotoxicity testing, should, in theory, cover the
156 entire spectrum of phytochemical constituents, including polar and non-polar constituents.

157 Test materials for genotoxicity testing should therefore include extraction solvents which

158 encompass the entire phytochemical profile. The choice of solvents should be justified.
159 Consideration should be given to including an extract mid-range e.g. 50% water.

160

161 **Fixed oils/essential oils/expressed juices etc**

162 Where the herbal preparations include for example fixed oils, essential oils, expressed juices
163 etc these should be addressed on a case by case basis. Some materials may need to be tested
164 individually as part of the genotoxicity test programme. In the case of expressed juices, it
165 may be possible to demonstrate that the material is covered by testing of, for example, an
166 aqueous extract.

167

168 **DEFINITIONS**

169 **Herbal medicinal products:** any medicinal product, exclusively containing as active
170 substances one or more herbal substances or one or more herbal preparations, or one or more
171 such herbal substances in combination with one or more such herbal preparations.

172

173 **Herbal preparations:** are obtained by subjecting herbal substances to treatments such as
174 extraction, distillation, expression, fractionation, purification, concentration or fermentation.
175 These include comminuted or powdered herbal substances, tinctures, extracts, essential oils,
176 expressed juices and processed exudates.

177

178 **Herbal substances:** all mainly whole, fragmented or cut plants, plant parts, algae, fungi,
179 lichen in an unprocessed, usually dried form but sometimes fresh. Certain exudates that have
180 not been subjected to a specific treatment are also considered to be herbal substances. Herbal
181 substances are precisely defined by the plant part used and the botanical name according to
182 the binomial system (genus, species, variety and author).

183

184 **Traditional herbal medicinal products:** medicinal products for human use that fulfil the
185 conditions laid down in Article 16a(1) of Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended.

186

187 **REFERENCES**

188 Guideline on the assessment of genotoxicity of herbal substances/preparations
189 (EMEA/HMPC/107079/2007)

190

191 Concept paper on selection of test materials for genotoxicity testing for traditional herbal
192 medicinal products/herbal medicinal products (EMEA/HMPC/315413/2008)