



**COMMITTEE FOR MEDICINAL PRODUCTS FOR VETERINARY USE
(CVMP)**

**GUIDELINE ON DATA TO BE PROVIDED IN SUPPORT OF A REQUEST TO INCLUDE A
SUBSTANCE IN THE LIST OF SUBSTANCES CONSIDERED AS NOT FALLING WITHIN
THE SCOPE OF REGULATION (EC) No 470/2009**

ADOPTION BY CVMP FOR RELEASE FOR CONSULTATION	11 November 2009
END OF CONSULTATION (DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS)	31 May 2010

Comments should be provided using this [template](#) to vet-guidelines@emea.europa.eu
or by Fax +44 20 7418 8447

¹ The deadline for submission of comments was corrected.

**GUIDELINE ON DATA TO BE PROVIDED IN SUPPORT OF A REQUEST TO INCLUDE A
SUBSTANCE IN THE LIST OF SUBSTANCES CONSIDERED AS NOT FALLING WITHIN
THE SCOPE OF REGULATION (EC) No 470/2009**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
1. INTRODUCTION.....	3
2. SCOPE.....	3
3. LEGAL BASIS	4
4. BACKGROUND.....	4
5. RECOMMENDATIONS	4

1 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**
2

3 This guideline contains guidance relating to the data to be provided in support of a request for the
4 CVMP to consider the inclusion of an excipient in the list of substances considered as not falling
5 within the scope of Regulation (EC) No 470/2009 (EMEA/CVMP/519714/2009).
6

7 **1. INTRODUCTION**
8

9 The MRL legislation, the primary goal of which is to protect consumer health, requires that MRL
10 evaluations be undertaken for the pharmacologically active substances present in veterinary
11 medicinal products (VMPs) for use in food producing species: Article 1 of Regulation (EC) No
12 470/2009 states that:

13 *“For the purposes of ensuring food safety, this regulation lays down the rules and*
14 *procedures in order to establish:*

15 *(a) the maximum concentration of a residue of a pharmacologically active substance which*
16 *may be permitted in food of animal origin (maximum residue limit)...”*
17

18 A desired and actual result is that MRL evaluations are undertaken for all active substances
19 contained in pharmaceutical VMPs for use in food producing species.
20

21 An additional result is that it may be considered that no substance (even if it is an excipient) may
22 be used in a VMP intended for use in food producing animals unless it has either undergone an
23 MRL evaluation or has been shown to have no pharmacological activity.
24

25 However, for many excipients it can be considered that their use in veterinary medicinal products
26 would not be associated with relevant pharmacological activity and that there is therefore no need
27 for a full MRL evaluation. For example, this is the case for many substances that are known
28 constituents of the diet. With substances like this in mind the CVMP has developed the list of
29 substances considered as not falling within the scope of Regulation (EC) No 470/2009.
30 Excipients are included in this list on the basis that they are not considered to have
31 pharmacological activity when used as proposed.
32

33 Over the years, the CVMP has received many requests to clarify the kind of studies that would be
34 appropriate to demonstrate that a substance does not have pharmacological activity and so allow
35 its inclusion in the list. Having reflected on this issue, the CVMP has concluded that it is not
36 possible to recommend a series of practicable pharmacology tests that could be considered to
37 comprehensively demonstrate the lack of pharmacological activity of an excipient, and
38 acknowledges that it may not always be appropriate to require the absence of pharmacological
39 activity to be demonstrated by means of pharmacology tests.
40

41 This document describes what, in light of the above position, is considered to be a pragmatic
42 approach for evaluating whether or not excipients can be included in the list of substances
43 considered as not falling within the scope of Regulation (EC) No 470/2009.
44

45 **2. SCOPE**
46

47 This document provides guidance on the data that the CVMP would expect to see in support of a
48 request to include an excipient in the list of substances considered as not falling within the scope
49 of Regulation (EC) No 470/2009.
50

51 The types of substance that will be evaluated in line with this document will generally be
52 excipients (including adjuvants and preservatives) for which a considerable body of
53 knowledge/data is available. The existing knowledge/data may result from existing uses of the
54 substance in fields outside of veterinary medicine (eg, an existing use in human medicinal
55 products or in cosmetics), or the presence of the substance in an existing commodity (typically
56 food) to which people are regularly exposed.
57

58 This document does not relate to the requirements for active substances, for which MRL
59 evaluations are required.

60
61
62

3. LEGAL BASIS

63 Article 6(1) of Directive 2001/82/EC, as amended by Directive 2004/28/EC, requires that a
64 veterinary medicinal product may not be subject of a marketing authorisation for food producing
65 species unless the pharmacologically active substances which it contains appear in Annex I, II or
66 III of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2377/90, now replaced by Regulation (EC) No 470/2009.

4. BACKGROUND

67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80

As described above, the MRL legislation requires that MRL evaluations be undertaken for the pharmacologically active substances present in veterinary medicinal products (VMPs) for use in food producing species. However, as excipients are not designed to interact with specific physiological systems (even if they may in fact do so), it can be considered that there is no scientific justification for choosing to examine the pharmacological activity of an excipient in one set of tests rather than in another. Furthermore, given the ever expanding range of possible pharmacological systems and tests that could be investigated it would not be possible to entirely rule out the possibility that some pharmacological activity would eventually be seen if the substance were tested ad infinitum. The CVMP therefore considers that it would be unreasonable to require that all excipients be shown to have no pharmacological activity by testing them in pharmacology tests.

81 The Committee has therefore developed a pragmatic approach for dealing with requests to
82 include excipients in the list of substances considered as not falling within the scope of
83 Regulation (EC) No 470/2009: it will consider the totality of the data available on the substance,
84 and come to a conclusion on whether or not a sufficient body of evidence has been presented to
85 conclude that the substance cannot be expected to show pharmacological activity. If it is
86 concluded that no pharmacological activity can be expected, then the substance will be included
87 in the list.

88
89
90
91
92

In some cases a company may need to generate new data in order to allow the CVMP to draw a conclusion but in many cases it is anticipated that it will be possible to reach a conclusion based on existing data and on information relating to the proposed use of the substance.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

93
94
95
96
97
98
99

The CVMP does not consider that a precisely defined set of data requirements can be specified for the purpose of demonstrating that a substance cannot be expected to have pharmacological activity. It is envisaged that most applicants will supply a combination of information on the known pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic and safety profile of the substance and relate this to the proposed use of the substance.

100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107

Annex I provides a template for the report that should be submitted to the CVMP in support of a request to include an excipient in the list of substances considered as not falling within the scope of Regulation (EC) No 470/2009. The template outlines types of data that may be considered relevant and should be completed as comprehensively as possible. Where no data are available for a particular section, the impact of this should be addressed in the overall evaluation.

The CVMP will use the report provided by the company as the basis for its evaluation.

108 It is envisaged that most requests to include a substance in the list of substances considered as not
109 falling within the scope of Regulation (EC) No 470/2009 will need to be evaluated by means of a
110 scientific advice procedure (see
111 <http://www.emea.europa.eu/htms/general/contacts/CVMP/CVMP.html> for more information on
112 Scientific Advice). However, companies are advised to submit the initial request along with the

113 completed template (provided in Annex I) to the EMEA for presentation to the CVMP. In the
114 case of particularly straight forward enquiries the CVMP may be able to reach a conclusion
115 without the need for a formal scientific advice procedure.

116 ANNEX I

117
118 **TEMPLATE TO BE COMPLETED IN SUPPORT OF A REQUEST TO INCLUDE A**
119 **SUBSTANCE IN THE LIST OF SUBSTANCES CONSIDERED AS NOT**
120 **FALLING WITHIN THE SCOPE OF REGULATION 470/2009**
121

122
123 **1. Substance name and CAS number (if available)**
124

125 This section should include INN and IUPAC names as well as all commonly used synonyms.
126

127 **2. Origin and chemistry of the substance**
128

129 This section should include information on:

- 130 • how the substance is produced
- 131 • its chemical make-up including structural formula, molecular formula and molecular weight
- 132 • a description of its physicochemical properties
133

134 **3. Intended function of the substance in the veterinary medicinal product**
135

136 For example, antiadherent, binding agent, filler/diluent, lubricant...
137

138 **4. Intended use of product (including information on species, age and weight of animals to be**
139 **treated)**
140

141 This section should include information on:

- 142 • the amount of the substance in the product
- 143 • the indication of the product
- 144 • the species in which the product will be used
- 145 • the age and weight of the animals to which the product will be administered
- 146 • the dosing regimen of the product, including the route of administration
147

148 **5. Existing uses of the substance**
149

150 This section should include an assessment of the exposure that people receive as a result of existing
151 uses of the substance.
152

153 **6. Additional background information**
154

155 Any additional background information considered relevant should be provided here.
156

157 **7. Pharmacodynamics**
158

159 Available information on the pharmacodynamic activity of the substance should be described. This
160 may relate to data available in the public domain or to original study data.
161

162 If it is considered relevant, the pharmacodynamic activity of chemically related substances may be
163 described.
164

165 The conclusions that can be drawn from the information provided in this section should be presented.
166

167 Note that if the results of a comprehensive battery of pharmacology tests are provided, and the
168 company is arguing that, based on this evidence alone, it can be concluded that the substance does not
169 possess pharmacological activity, then there may be no need to complete the subsequent sections on

170 pharmacokinetics and toxicology in detail, although these data could be regarded as useful supporting
171 information.

172

173 **8. Pharmacokinetics and residue depletion**

174

175 Available information on the pharmacokinetics of the substance should be described. This may relate
176 to data available in the public domain or to original study data.

177

178 Data relating to the ability of the substance to gain access to muscle, fat, liver, kidney, milk, eggs or
179 honey may be of particular relevance as these are the target tissues/commodities for which MRLs are
180 derived.

181

182 If it is considered relevant, the pharmacokinetic activity of chemically related substances may be
183 described.

184

185 The conclusions that can be drawn from the information provided in this section should be presented.

186

187 Note that if the results of pharmacokinetic studies are provided, and the company is arguing that,
188 based on this evidence alone, it can be concluded that the substance does not access relevant tissues or
189 milk, eggs or honey after the intended use of the substance, then there may be no need to complete the
190 section on toxicology in detail, although these data could be regarded as useful supporting
191 information.

192

193 **9. Toxicology**

194

195 Available information on the toxicology of the substance should be described. This may relate to data
196 available in the public domain or to original study data. Where available, data relating to the following
197 areas should be provided:

198

- 198 • Acute toxicity
- 199 • Repeat dose toxicity
- 200 • Effects on reproduction including developmental effects
- 201 • Mutagenicity
- 202 • Carcinogenicity
- 203 • Other effects (including immunotoxicity and neurotoxicity)
- 204 • Microbiological effects
- 205 • Known effects in humans

206

207 The conclusions that can be drawn from the information provided in this section should be presented.

208

209 **10. Worst-case estimation of dose to which a consumer may be exposed**

210

211 While the CVMP has defined pharmacological activity in terms of pharmacodynamic activity at the
212 dose at which the substance is administered to the target animal, the Committee clearly also has a duty
213 to consider what level of residues might be ingested by the consumer. A worst-case estimation of the
214 dose to which a consumer may be exposed should be provided, using reasonable worst-case
215 assumptions.

216

217 **11. Overall evaluation**

218

219 The information provided in the preceding sections should be briefly summarised and an overall
220 conclusion drawn and fully justified.

221

222 Examples of questions that should be addressed include:

223

- 224 • Do the pharmacodynamic data support the conclusion that the substance has no
225 pharmacological activity at the dose at which it is to be administered to the target animal?
226 What about at the dose to which a consumer may be exposed?

227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246

- Does the pharmacokinetic data demonstrate that the proposed use of the substance would not lead to residues in relevant tissues/food commodities?
- Does the available safety data support the view that the proposed use of the substance would not lead to a consumer safety concern, taking the worst-case estimation of the dose to which a consumer may be exposed into account?
- Does experience gained with the substance outside the veterinary medicines field support the view that the proposed use of the substance would not lead to a consumer safety concern, taking the worst-case estimation of the dose to which a consumer may be exposed into account?

Finally, the report should provide a justified explanation as to why it is considered that the substance cannot be expected to have pharmacological activity when used as intended.

12. References

All references cited in the report should be provided in full here. The report should be accompanied by copies of the documents/publications referred to.