26 July 2018
EMA/CHMP/800802/2017
Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

Agomelatine tablet 25 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

Draft Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	November 2017
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	14 December 2017
Start of public consultation	31 January 2018
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	30 April 2018
Agreed by PKWP	June 2018
Adopted by CHMP	26 July 2018
Date of coming into effect	1 February 2019

Keywords	***Bioequivalence, generics, agomelatine***

30 Churchill Place ● Canary Wharf ● London E14 5EU ● United Kingdom
Telephone +44 (0)20 3660 6000 **Facsimile** +44 (0)20 3660 5555
Send a question via our website www.ema.europa.eu/contact

Agomelatine tablet 25 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

Requirements for bioequivalence demonstration (PKWP)*

BCS Classification**	**BCS Class:** ☒ **I** ☐ **III** ☐ **Neither of the two** **Background:** agomelatine is considered a high solubility compound with complete absorption. Co-crystals may be acceptable for a biowaiver as long as they belong to BCS class I.
Bioequivalence study design *in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied*	**single dose** **cross-over**
	healthy volunteers
	☒ **fasting** ☐ **fed** ☐ **both** ☐ **either fasting or fed**
	Strength: 25 mg **Background:** 25 mg is the only available strength.

	Number of studies: one single dose study.
	Other design aspects: n/a
Analyte	☒ **parent** ☐ **metabolite** ☐ **both**
	☒ **plasma/serum** ☐ **blood** ☐ **urine**
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ **yes** ☒ **no**
Bioequivalence assessment	**Main pharmacokinetic variables:** C_{max} and $AUC_{(0-t)}$
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

* As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CV_{intra} > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

** This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary (BCS Class I and III), the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. *in vitro* dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).