



1 18 July 2024
2 EMA/336761/2024
3 Committee for medicinal products for human use (CHMP)

4 **Addendum to the Guideline on clinical development of**
5 **vaccines to address clinical trials in immunocompromised**
6 **individuals**
7

Agreed by VWP	May 2024
Agreed by ETF	May 2024
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	15 July 2024
Start of public consultation	19 July 2024
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 October 2024

8
9

Comments should be provided using this [EUSurvey form](#). For any technical issues, please contact the [EUSurvey Support](#).

10

Keywords	immunocompromised, clinical trials, immunogenicity, vaccines
----------	--

11

12 **1. Introduction**

13 Immunocompromised individuals comprise a heterogeneous population with a large range of types and
14 degrees of immunodeficiency affecting humoral and/or cellular immunity that may result from one or
15 more of:

- 16 • Congenital conditions affecting the immune system
- 17 • Underlying diseases that result in selective or broad immunodeficiency
- 18 • Immunosuppression as a result of iatrogenic intervention

19 Individuals from these groups may be considered to have a clinically important immunodeficiency
20 whenever there are data to indicate that they are at a considerably higher risk of acquiring and/or
21 developing severe forms of one or more types of infectious diseases compared to the generally
22 immunocompetent population.



23 Infections and the complications of infections are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in individuals
24 with clinically important immunodeficiencies. Vaccination is among the most effective healthcare
25 measures available for the prevention of infections in these individuals but different doses and/or
26 regimens are sometimes required compared to those that are appropriate for the immunocompetent.

27 Immunocompromised individuals are commonly excluded from clinical trials conducted before the first
28 licensure of new vaccines to avoid confounding interpretation of the immunogenicity and efficacy data.
29 Therefore, it is common that there are no or very limited data available from immunocompromised
30 individuals when vaccines are first marketed, which leads to uncertainties regarding appropriate dose
31 regimen recommendations.

32 Post-authorisation studies in immunocompromised individuals, whether required or optional at the time
33 of the initial marketing authorisation, may not provide information on the need for alternative doses
34 and/or dose regimens, which may result in the use of suboptimal posology in this particularly susceptible
35 population.

36 In summary, there is a clinical need to generate appropriate evidence to identify appropriate dose
37 regimens for immunocompromised individuals.

38 **2. Scope**

39 The Guideline on clinical evaluation of vaccines EMEA/CHMP/VWP/164653/05 Rev. 1 does not provide
40 detailed guidance on the design of clinical trials to assess the safety, immunogenicity and efficacy of
41 vaccines in immunocompromised individuals. This Addendum to the guideline provides guidance on
42 clinical studies to be conducted in immunocompromised individuals before or after initial marketing
43 authorization of vaccines in order to support recommendations for use in the Product Information.

44 This guidance does not address the investigation of the effects of immunosenescence alone on the
45 immunogenicity or efficacy of a candidate vaccine. It also does not address investigation of the effects
46 of common diseases that are associated with milder forms of immunodeficiencies predisposing to
47 acquisition of certain types of infection (e.g. diabetes, chronic renal disease). It is generally expected
48 that any such effects can be evaluated from interrogation of subgroup data obtained in clinical trials that
49 target the generally immunocompetent population and which minimize exclusions.

50 Moreover, this guidance does not address clinical development programmes for vaccines intended only
51 for use in immunocompromised subjects or in specific subgroups of such subjects (i.e. vaccines not
52 intended for a generally immunocompetent population). In such cases, sponsors should consult
53 EMEA/CHMP/VWP/164653/05 Rev. 1 and should seek scientific advice from CHMP on the clinical
54 development programme.

55 **3. Legal basis and relevant guidelines**

56 This guidance should be read in conjunction with the Guideline on clinical evaluation of vaccines
57 (EMEA/CHMP/VWP/164653/05 Rev. 1).

58

59 **4. Design of safety and immunogenicity studies in**
60 **immunocompromised individuals**

61 **4.1. General considerations**

62 During the planning of the clinical development programme for a new vaccine, the need to conduct and
63 the timing of clinical trials in immunocompromised individuals should be considered according to a) the
64 vaccine construct; b) its intended use; and c) the relevance of any available data in immunocompromised
65 individuals with licenced vaccines of the same construct and antigen content as the candidate vaccine.

66 Whereas non-live vaccines should be potentially suitable for administration to immunocompromised
67 individuals, a decision on the possible conduct of clinical trials with a candidate live vaccine (e.g. live
68 attenuated and live viral vector vaccines) in one or more subsets of immunocompromised individuals
69 should be delayed until there are safety and immunogenicity data, with or without efficacy data,
70 supporting use in immunocompetent subjects.

71 **4.2. Objectives of clinical trials in immunocompromised individuals**

72 In the vast majority of cases, the clinical efficacy of a candidate vaccine will not be evaluated in
73 immunocompromised individuals. However, there may be specific instances in which it may be
74 appropriate to consider conducting efficacy studies in this population or which include at least some
75 types of immunocompromised individuals. However, if a pre-licensure efficacy trial is conducted for a
76 candidate vaccine, sponsors should consider which, if any, immunocompromised individuals might be
77 enrolled.

78 In most cases it is expected that clinical trials in immunocompromised individuals will document the
79 safety and immunogenicity of various test dose regimens, including the regimen considered suitable or
80 already approved for immunocompetent individuals in the same age range. Such trials may include a
81 control group of immunocompetent individuals or, with adequate justification and application of the same
82 assay(s) to describe immune responses, a cross-study comparison with an immunocompetent cohort
83 may be acceptable.

84 In this way, trials can a) describe differences in safety and immunogenicity between groups of
85 immunocompetent and immunocompromised individuals given the same dose regimen (i.e. that suitable
86 for the immunocompetent); and b) compare the safety and immunogenicity data from these two groups
87 with data obtained from groups of immunocompromised individuals randomised to receive alternative
88 dose regimens. Alternative dose regimens may include one or more differences in amounts of antigen(s)
89 per dose, number of doses and time intervals between doses.

90 **4.3. Trial population:**

91 It is recognised that it is not feasible to study the safety and efficacy of candidate vaccines in every
92 subset of immunocompromised individuals that might potentially derive a benefit. For example, there
93 are numerous types of primary immunodeficiency that can selectively affect humoral or cell-mediated
94 immune mechanisms (e.g. X-linked agammaglobulinemia, chronic granulomatous disease, complement
95 defects), many of which are very rare conditions. Therefore, it would not be appropriate to enrol a mixed

96 population of individuals with primary immunodeficiencies and it is unlikely, for most of these disorders,
97 to be feasible to enrol sufficient numbers with any one type of primary immunodeficiency to be able to
98 draw reliable conclusions that then could be extrapolated to other immunocompromised populations.

99 Having considered the disease(s) to be prevented by a candidate vaccine and what is known about the
100 relative importance of humoral and cell-mediated immunity for protection, it is recommended that
101 sponsors identify one or more subgroups of immunocompromised individuals that a) are sufficiently
102 numerous to facilitate recruitment into adequately sized trials; and b) from which results may be broadly
103 extrapolated to relevant subgroups of immunocompromised individuals which may be candidates for the
104 vaccine in clinical practice. For example, a feasible trial may enrol recipients of solid organ transplants
105 or those undergoing certain types of chemotherapy, both of which may exhibit considerable humoral and
106 cell-mediated immunodeficiencies. Other relevant population to be enrolled would be subjects with
107 chronic inflammatory disorders on treatment with immunosuppressant/immunomodulatory medications.
108 This group includes an important number of patients, some of which receive lifelong treatment. If a trial
109 in such individuals identifies an alternative vaccine dose regimen that elicits immune responses
110 comparable to those achieved with the recommended regimen in immunocompetent individuals, this
111 regimen may be considered applicable to a broad range of immunocompromised individuals.

112 If the sponsor wishes to conduct a single trial in which immunocompromised individuals from more than
113 one distinct subgroup are enrolled, consideration should be given to stratification according to the
114 underlying reasons for immunodeficiency and/or pre-determined capping of numbers. In such cases, it
115 may be appropriate that the primary analysis is based on all immunocompromised individuals, in which
116 case descriptive analyses of data by defined subgroups should be planned.

117 A further consideration for clinical trial populations is the recognised relationship between very specific
118 subgroups of individuals and the risk of acquiring certain infectious diseases. For example, the risk of
119 invasive infections due to encapsulated bacteria in those with asplenia and the risk of tuberculosis in
120 those treated with inhibitors of TNF alpha. Thus, for certain candidate vaccines it would be especially
121 pertinent to investigate immune responses to standard or alternative vaccine regimens in such
122 individuals.

123 Finally, it is not anticipated that the safety and immunogenicity of live vaccines (including live attenuated
124 bacterial or viral vaccines and live vectored vaccines) will commonly be evaluated in
125 immunocompromised individuals since use of such vaccines is generally precluded, especially in case of
126 severe primary humoral or cellular deficiencies or profound iatrogenic immunosuppression. Nevertheless,
127 for certain candidate vaccines it may be considered possible and appropriate to proceed with caution into
128 conduct of clinical trials in carefully selected populations. For example, for a vaccine that includes a
129 replication-incompetent vector, consideration could be given to evaluating safety and immunogenicity in
130 subgroups of immunocompromised individuals most at risk of the disease(s) to be prevented by the
131 vaccine.

132 **4.4. Statistical considerations**

133 As indicated in section 4.2, clinical trials in immunocompromised individuals should aim to describe the
134 effect of immunodeficiencies (in accordance with the population) by comparing immune responses

135 (directly or indirectly) between immunocompetent and a selected immunocompromised population when
136 given a dose regimen deemed suitable for the immunocompetent. In addition, it is recommended that
137 within the same trial immunocompromised individuals should be randomised to this standard regimen
138 group or to one or more potential alternative regimen groups.

139 Due to anticipated limitations of numbers enrolled, especially if multiple alternative dose regimens are
140 to be compared in parallel, it may not be feasible to plan for a formal analysis of non-inferiority of
141 immune responses between the test and control groups. In such cases, it could be acceptable that all
142 analyses are descriptive in nature but the sponsor should give consideration to feasible numbers
143 anticipated to be enrolled in a reasonable timeframe and should consider the ability of the trial, to rule
144 out potentially unsuitable alternative regimens.

145 Please consult the Guideline on clinical evaluation of vaccines (EMA/CHMP/VWP/164653/05 Rev. 1) for
146 further recommendations on selecting primary immune parameters for comparisons between groups,
147 depending on whether or not there is an established immune correlate of protection or threshold value
148 that may be applied. Finally, consideration should be given to obtaining vaccine effectiveness data in the
149 immunocompromised population.

150 **4.5. Safety**

151 Please consult the Guideline on clinical evaluation of vaccines (EMA/CHMP/VWP/164653/05 Rev. 1) for
152 general recommendations on the assessment of vaccine safety. Safety data should be summarised
153 separately for each cohort of immunocompetent and immunocompromised subgroups included in the
154 trial. Additional safety considerations for clinical trials in immunocompromised individuals should be
155 assessed on a case by case basis (i.e. monitoring underlying diseases or conditions, such as changes in
156 immunosuppressive therapy requirements or laboratory testing to examine transplant status).

157