

**EU RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR VABYSMO®/FARICIMAB**

RMP version to be assessed as part of this application:

RMP Version number: 5.1

Data lock point for this RMP: 27 January 2024

Date of final sign off: See latest date in date stamps below

Date and Time (UTC)	Reason for Signing	Name
02-Jul-2024 10:45:56	Deputy QPPV	Tanrikulu, Yusuf (tanrikuy)
02-Jul-2024 15:02:21	Company Signatory (PV)	PPD

## Table of Contents

	Page
PART I: PRODUCT OVERVIEW .....	10
PART II: SAFETY SPECIFICATION .....	16
PART II: MODULE SI— EPIDEMIOLOGY OF THE INDICATIONS AND TARGET POPULATION(S).....	16
SI.1 NEOVASCULAR AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION .....	16
SI.2 DIABETIC MACULAR EDEMA .....	24
SI.3 RETINAL VEIN OCCLUSION .....	34
PART II: MODULE SII — NONCLINICAL PART OF THE SAFETY SPECIFICATION .....	43
PART II: MODULE SIII — CLINICAL TRIAL EXPOSURE.....	46
PART II: MODULE SIV — POPULATIONS NOT STUDIED IN CLINICAL TRIALS...	71
SIV.1 EXCLUSION CRITERIA IN PIVOTAML CLINICAL STUDIES WITHIN THE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM .....	71
SIV.2 LIMITATIONS TO DETECT ADVERSE REACTIONS IN CLINICAL TRIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS .....	76
SIV.3 LIMITATIONS IN RESPECT TO POPULATIONS TYPICALLY UNDERREPRESENTED IN CLINICAL TRIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.....	76
PART II: MODULE SV — POST-AUTHORIZATION EXPERIENCE .....	77
SV.1 POST-AUTHORIZATION EXPOSURE .....	77
SV.1.1 Method Used to Calculate Exposure .....	77
SV.1.2 Exposure.....	79
PART II: MODULE SVI — ADDITIONAL E.U. REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SAFETY SPECIFICATION .....	80
PART II: MODULE SVII — IDENTIFIED AND POTENTIAL RISKS.....	80
SVII.1 IDENTIFICATION OF SAFETY CONCERNS IN THE INITIAL RMP SUBMISSION .....	80
SVII.1.1 Risks Not Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP .....	80
SVII.1.2 Risks Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP .....	83
SVII.2 NEW SAFETY CONCERNS AND RECLASSIFICATION WITH A SUBMISSION OF AN UPDATED RMP .....	87
SVII.3 DETAILS OF IMPORTANT IDENTIFIED RISKS, IMPORTANT POTENTIAL RISKS, AND MISSING INFORMATION.....	88
SVII.3.1. Presentation of Important Identified Risks and Important Potential Risks .....	88
SVII.3.1.1 Information on Important Identified Risks .....	88
SVII.3.1.2 Information on Important Potential Risks .....	103
SVII.3.2. Presentation of the Missing Information.....	112

PART II: MODULE SVIII— SUMMARY OF THE SAFETY CONCERNS.....	114
PART III: PHARMACOVIGILANCE PLAN (INCLUDING POST-AUTHORIZATION SAFETY STUDIES).....	114
III.1 ROUTINE PHARMACOVIGILANCE ACTIVITIES .....	114
III.2 ADDITIONAL PHARMACOVIGILANCE ACTIVITIES.....	115
III.3 SUMMARY TABLE OF ADDITIONAL PHARMACOVIGILANCE ACTIVITIES.....	118
PART IV: PLANS FOR POST-AUTHORIZATION EFFICACY STUDIES .....	121
PART V: RISK-MINIMIZATION MEASURES (INCLUDING EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RISK-MINIMIZATION ACTIVITIES) .....	121
V.1 Routine Risk-Minimization Measures.....	121
V.2. Additional Risk-Minimization Measures .....	123
V.3 Summary of Risk Minimization Measures .....	124
REFERENCES.....	127
PART VI: SUMMARY OF THE RISK-MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	145
I.THE MEDICINE AND WHAT IT IS USED FOR.....	145
II. RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MEDICINE AND ACTIVITIES TO MINIMIZE OR FURTHER CHARACTERIZE THE RISKS .....	145
II.A LIST OF IMPORTANT RISKS AND MISSING INFORMATION .....	146
II.B SUMMARY OF IMPORTANT RISKS.....	147
II.C POST-AUTHORIZATION DEVELOPMENT PLAN .....	150
II.C.1 Studies That Are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization .....	150
II.C.2 Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan.....	151

## List of Tables

	Page
Table 1 Product Overview.....	10
Table 2 Reported Incidence of nAMD Worldwide.....	17
Table 3 Reported Prevalence of nAMD Worldwide, 2011-2020 .....	18
Table 4 Risk Factors for nAMD .....	21
Table 5 Important Comorbidities in the nAMD Population .....	24
Table 6 Incidence of DME and CSME in Diabetic Populations Worldwide .....	26
Table 7 Prevalence of DME and CSME in Diabetic Populations Worldwide.....	29
Table 8 Important Comorbidities in the DME Population .....	34
Table 9 Reported Incidence of RVO Worldwide.....	36
Table 10 Reported Prevalence of RVO Worldwide .....	38
Table 11 Risk Factors for RVO .....	40
Table 12 Important Comorbidities in the RVO Population .....	43
Table 13 Overview of Studies Contributing to the Safety Population.....	48
Table 14 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population .....	56
Table 15 Number of Study Drug Administrations in the Study Eye through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population .....	58
Table 16 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Age Group and Gender, Safety-Evaluable Population .....	62
Table 17 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Race, Safety-Evaluable Population .....	67
Table 18 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Ethnicity, Safety-Evaluable Population.....	69
Table 19 Important Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Studies in the Development Program.....	72
Table 20 Exposure of Special Populations Included or Not in Clinical Trial Development Program.....	77
Table 21 Adjudicated APTC-Defined Adverse Events.....	86
Table 22 Frequency of Occurrence of Endophthalmitis in other Observational Studies and Clinical Trials.....	89
Table 23 Important Identified Endophthalmitis Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) in the Study Eye, Safety-Evaluable Population.....	91

Table 24 Frequency of Occurrence of Intraocular Inflammation in Clinical Trials with Intravitreal Anti-VEGF Monotherapies.....	96
Table 25 Important Identified Intraocular Inflammation Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) in the Study Eye, Safety-Evaluable Population.....	99
Table 26 Frequency of Occurrence of APTC Events in Clinical Trials with Intravitreal anti-VEGF Monotherapies.....	105
Table 27 Important Potential Adjudicated APTC-defined Adverse Event Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population.....	108
Table 28 Summary of Safety Concerns .....	114
Table 29 Study GR42691 (AVONELLE-X).....	116
Table 30 Study GR41987 (RHONE-X).....	117
Table 31 Study CR45271 (Real-World Data Study) .....	118
Table 32 Ongoing and Planned Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities .....	119
Table 33 Description of Routine Risk-Minimization Measures by Safety Concern.....	121
Table 34 Additional Risk-Minimization Measures.....	123
Table 35 Summary Table of Pharmacovigilance Activities and Risk-Minimization Activities by Safety Concern .....	124

## List of Annexes

	<b>Page</b>
ANNEX 1: EUDRAVIGILANCE INTERFACE .....	<a href="#">152</a>
ANNEX 2: TABULATED SUMMARY OF PLANNED, ONGOING, AND COMPLETED PHARMACOVIGILANCE STUDY PROGRAM .....	<a href="#">154</a>
ANNEX 3: PROTOCOLS FOR PROPOSED, ONGOING, AND COMPLETED STUDIES IN THE PHARMACOVIGILANCE PLAN .....	<a href="#">157</a>
ANNEX 4: SPECIFIC ADVERSE DRUG REACTION FOLLOW-UP FORMS .....	<a href="#">420</a>
ANNEX 5: PROTOCOLS FOR PROPOSED AND ONGOING STUDIES IN RMP PART IV .....	<a href="#">426</a>
ANNEX 6: DETAILS OF PROPOSED ADDITIONAL RISK-MINIMIZATION ACTIVITIES .....	<a href="#">428</a>
ANNEX 7: OTHER SUPPORTING DATA (INCLUDING REFERENCED MATERIAL) .....	<a href="#">432</a>
ANNEX 8: SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN OVER TIME .....	<a href="#">579</a>

## **Rationale for Submitting an Updated Risk Management Plan (RMP)**

The EU RMP v5.1 has been prepared to incorporate administrative updates in anticipation of the CHMP Opinion. These updates include transitioning the RVO indication and RVO dosage from the proposed to the current status in the Product Overview Table, as well as updating information on other RMP versions under evaluation and providing details of the currently approved RMP.

### **Summary of Significant Changes in This RMP**

Part I Product Overview: The Product Overview table has been updated to reflect the RVO indication and dosage in the EEA as current.

Annex 8: Administrative changes made during this RMP update have been added to the table.

**Other RMP Versions under Evaluation**

None

**Details of Currently Approved RMP**

RMP Version Number: 5.1

Approved with Procedure Number: EMEA/H/C/005642/II/0005

Date of approval (opinion date): 27 June 2024



See [page 1](#) for signature and date

---

Dr. Yusuf Tanrikulu (Deputy QPPV)

---

Date

See [page 1](#) for signature and date

---

Dr. PPD

---

Date

## PART I: PRODUCT OVERVIEW

**Table 1 Product Overview**

Active Substance(s) (INN or common name)	Faricimab
Pharmacotherapeutic group(s) (ATC Code)	Ophthalmologicals, antineovascularisation agents (ATC Code: S01LA09)
Marketing Authorization Holder (or Applicant)	Roche Registration GmbH
Medicinal products to which this RMP refers	One
Invented name(s) in the EEA	Vabysmo
Marketing authorization procedure	Centralized
Brief description of the product	<p>Chemical class: Faricimab is a humanised bispecific IgG1 antibody.</p> <p>Summary of mode of action: Faricimab acts through inhibition of two distinct pathways by neutralisation of both Ang-2 and VEGF-A.</p> <p>Ang-2 causes vascular instability by promoting endothelial destabilisation, pericyte loss, and pathological angiogenesis, thus potentiating vascular leakage and inflammation. It also sensitizes blood vessels to the activity of VEGF-A resulting in further vascular destabilisation. Ang-2 and VEGF-A synergistically increase vascular permeability and stimulate neovascularisation.</p> <p>By dual inhibition of Ang-2 and VEGF-A, faricimab reduces vascular permeability and inflammation, inhibits pathological angiogenesis and restores vascular stability.</p> <p>Important information about its composition: Faricimab is a humanised antibody produced in mammalian Chinese Hamster Ovary cell culture by recombinant DNA technology.</p>
Hyperlink to the Product Information	Refer to the <a href="#">Product Information</a>
Indication(s) in the EEA	<p>Current: Vabysmo is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• nAMD</li> <li>• Visual impairment due to DME</li> <li>• Visual impairment due to macular oedema secondary to retinal vein occlusion (branch RVO or central RVO)</li> </ul> <p>Proposed: Not applicable</p>

Dosage in the EEA	Current: This medicinal product must be administered by a qualified physician experienced in intravitreal injections. Each vial should only be used for the treatment of a single eye.
-------------------	--

### **nAMD**

The recommended dose is 6 mg (0.05 mL solution) administered by intravitreal injection every 4 weeks (monthly) for the first 4 doses.

Thereafter, an assessment of disease activity based on anatomic and/or visual outcomes is recommended 20 and/or 24 weeks after treatment initiation so that treatment can be individualised. In patients without disease activity, administration of faricimab every 16 weeks (4 months) should be considered. In patients with disease activity, treatment every 8 weeks (2 months) or 12 weeks (3 months) should be considered. If anatomic and/or visual outcomes change, the treatment interval should be adjusted accordingly, and interval reduction should be implemented if anatomic and/or visual outcomes deteriorate.

There is limited safety data on treatment intervals of 8 weeks or less between injections. Monitoring between the dosing visits should be scheduled based on the patient's status and at the physician's discretion, but there is no requirement for monthly monitoring between injections.

### **DME**

The recommended dose is 6 mg (0.05 mL solution) administered by intravitreal injection every 4 weeks (monthly) for the first 4 doses.

Thereafter, treatment is individualised using a treat-and-extend approach. Based on the physician's judgement of the patient's anatomic and/or visual outcomes, the dosing interval may be extended up to every 16 weeks (4 months), in increments of up to 4 weeks. If anatomic and/or visual outcomes change, the treatment interval should be adjusted accordingly, and interval reduction should be implemented if anatomic and/or visual outcomes deteriorate.

Treatment intervals shorter than 4 weeks between injections have not been studied. Monitoring between the dosing visits should be scheduled based on the patient's status and at the physician's discretion, but there is no requirement for monthly monitoring between injections.

### **RVO**

The recommended dose is 6 mg (0.05 mL solution) administered by intravitreal injection every 4 weeks (monthly); 3 or more consecutive, monthly injections may be needed.

Thereafter, treatment is individualized using a treat and-extend approach. Based on the physician's judgement of the patient's anatomic and/or visual outcomes, the dosing interval may be extended, in increments of up to 4 weeks.

	If anatomic and/or visual outcomes change, the treatment interval should be adjusted accordingly, and interval reduction should be implemented if anatomic and/or visual outcomes deteriorate. Treatment intervals shorter than 4 weeks and longer than 4 months between injections have not been studied. Monitoring between the dosing visits should be scheduled based on the patient's status and at the physician's discretion but there is no requirement for monthly monitoring between injections.
	Proposed: Not applicable
Pharmaceutical form(s) and strengths	<p>Current: Solution for injection. Clear to opalescent, colourless to brownish-yellow solution, with a pH of 5.5 and an osmolality of 270-370 mOsm/kg.</p> <p>One mL of solution contains 120 mg of faricimab.</p> <p>Each vial contains 28.8 mg faricimab in 0.24 mL solution. This provides a usable amount to deliver a single dose of 0.05 mL solution containing 6 mg of faricimab.</p>
	Proposed: Not applicable
Is or will the product be subject to additional monitoring in the European Union?	Yes

Ang-2=angiopoietin-2; ATC=Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical; DME=diabetic macular edema; EEA=European Economic Area; GmbH=Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung; IgG1=immunoglobulin G1; INN=International non-proprietary name; nAMD=neovascular (wet) age-related macular degeneration; RMP=Risk Management Plan; RVO=retinal vein occlusion; VEGF-A=vascular endothelial growth factor A.

## GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Definition
ADA	anti-drug antibody
AE	adverse event
AMD	age-related macular degeneration
Ang-2	angiopoietin-2
APTC	Anti-Platelet Trialists' Collaboration
ATE	arterial thromboembolic events
BRVO	branch retinal vascular occlusion
C/HRVO	central/hemiretinal vein occlusion
CEC	Clinical Events Committee
C <sub>max</sub>	maximum serum concentration
CRVO	central retinal vein occlusion
CSME	clinically significant macular edema
C <sub>trough</sub>	mean trough concentration
CV	cardiovascular
DME	diabetic macular edema
DR	diabetic retinopathy
DSR	Drug Safety Report
EC	endothelial cells
EMA	European Medicines Agency
EPAR	European Public Assessment Report
HbA1c	hemoglobin A1c
IBD	International Birth Date
IHC	Immunohistochemistry
IOI	intraocular inflammation
IOP	intraocular pressure
IV	intravenous
logMAR	logarithm of the Minimum Angle of Resolution
ME	macular edema
MedDRA	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
MESA	Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis
nAMD	neovascular age-related macular degeneration
NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
PBRER	Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report
PED	pigment epithelial detachment

Abbreviation	Definition
PPV	pars plana vitrectomy
PRAC	Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee
PRP	panretinal laser photocoagulation
PSUR	Periodic Safety Update Report
PTI	personalized treatment interval
PV	pharmacovigilance
PY	person-years
Q4W	every 4 weeks
Q8W	every 8 weeks
RMP	Risk Management Plan
ROV	retinal occlusive vasculitis
RPE	retinal pigment epithelial
RV	retinal vasculitis
RVO	retinal vein occlusion
SmPC	Summary of Product Characteristics
T1DM	type 1 diabetes mellitus
T2DM	type 2 diabetes mellitus
VEGF	vascular endothelial growth factor

## **PART II: SAFETY SPECIFICATION**

### **PART II: MODULE SI— EPIDEMIOLOGY OF THE INDICATIONS AND TARGET POPULATION(S)**

#### **SI.1 Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration Incidence**

Incidence of neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) in Europe, the United States, Australia, and Asia are reported in [Table 2](#).

In most studies, patients were older adults (aged 50 years and older). Cumulative incidence ranged from 0.4% over a mean of 6.5 years in Portugal (patients aged 55 years and older) to 9.8% over 14 years in Denmark (patients 60–80 years old) ([Buch et al. 2005](#); [Farinha et al. 2019a](#)).

In the United States, a study with a follow-up of 10 years estimated an incidence of 2.6% (in patients with mean age  $69 \pm 9$  years) ([Klein et al. 2013](#)).



**Table 2** Reported Incidence of nAMD Worldwide

Country, Study Period	Follow-Up Time, years	Sample Size	No. of Cases	Baseline Age; Mean, Mean±SD, or Range, years	IC % or IR per 1000 PY	Reference
Portugal 2009–2017	6.5	1616	7	≥55	IC: 0.4	<a href="#">Farinha et al. 2019a</a>
France 2006–2012	Mean: 4	2465 PY	22	≥73	Overall IR: 8.9 Male: 2.1 Female: 13.1	<a href="#">Saunier et al. 2018</a>
United States 1998–2010	10	1700	NR	53–94	Overall IC: 2.6	<a href="#">Klein et al. 2013</a>
Australia 1992–2010	15	1149	NR	64.5	Overall IC: 4.4 Men: 3.3 Women: 5.2	<a href="#">Joachim et al. 2015</a>
South Korea 2010–2015	6	3,097,106 PY	912	≥40	Overall IR: 0.29 Male: 0.36 Female: 0.23	<a href="#">Rim et al. 2019</a>
China 2001–2006	5	3251	NR	55±10	Overall IC: 0.1 Men: 0.2 Women: 0	<a href="#">You et al. 2012</a>
Singapore 2007–2015	6	2105	2	56.2±9.1	Age-standardized IC: 0.40	<a href="#">Foo et al. 2018</a>

IC=cumulative incidence; IR=incidence rate; nAMD=neovascular age-related macular degeneration; NR=not reported; PY=person-years.

## Prevalence

In a systematic review of 22 studies published since 1996 in Europe, the overall pooled prevalence of nAMD was 1.4% in patients aged 60–81 years ([Li et al. 2020a](#)). The overall prevalence of nAMD in the U.S. population 40 years and older, in a pooled analysis of three studies was estimated to be 1.02% ([Friedman et al. 2004](#)). The prevalence did not differ statistically between the United States and Europe ([Smith et al. 2001](#)). The prevalence of nAMD was found to increase with age ([Table 3](#); [Rudnicka et al. 2012](#)).

A cross-sectional meta-analysis of 22 Asian studies comprising of 97,213 individuals aged 40 years and above, reported a pooled prevalence of 0.5% for nAMD ([Hyungtaek et al. 2020](#)). A population based cross-sectional survey in Australia on 4,836 individuals aged 40 years and above reported the prevalence of nAMD as 0.24% in 3,098 non-indigenous Australian adults, with no cases of nAMD reported in 1,738 indigenous Australian adults ([Keel et al. 2017](#)).

Additional recently published studies are summarized in [Table 3](#).

**Table 3 Reported Prevalence of nAMD Worldwide, 2011–2020**

Country, Study Period	Study Type, Population Characteristics	Sample Size	Age, years	Prevalence, % nAMD	Reference
Europe and the United States (publications between 1950 and 2010)	Systematic review and meta-analysis of 25 studies	57,173	≥ 50	Predicted prevalence Europe: ranged 0.04 (in 50 years of age) to 10.5 (in 90 years of age) United States: ranged 0.06 (in 50–55 years of age) to 14.6 (in 90 years of age and older)	<a href="#">Rudnika et al. 2012</a>
European countries (publications between 1996 and 2017)	Systematic review and meta-analysis of 22 studies	55,232	60–81	Pooled 1.4 (95% CI: 1.0-1.9) ≤ 64 years of age: 0.1 (95% CI: 0.1-0.3) 65–74 years of age: 0.8 (95% CI: 0.6-1.0) ≥ 75 years of age: 3.3 (95% CI: 2.5-4.2)	<a href="#">Li et al. 2020a</a>
Germany 2007–2008	Prospective population-based study	NR	35–74	0.1 (95% CI: 0.0-0.2)	<a href="#">Korb et al. 2014</a>
Republic of Ireland 2009-2011	Retrospective review study	4,751	61.6 ± 8.1	0.3 (95% CI: 0.1-0.5)	<a href="#">Akuffo et al. 2015</a>

**Table 3** Reported Prevalence of nAMD Worldwide, 2011–2020 (cont.)

Country, Study Period	Study Type, Population Characteristics	Sample Size	Age, years	Prevalence, % nAMD	Reference
Denmark, Norway, Sweden 2012	Scandinavian general population Age ≥ 65 years	NR	≥ 65	3.61	<a href="#">Lindekleiv and Erke 2013</a>
Norway 2007–2008	Population-based, cross-sectional study	2,631	65–87	2.5 (95% CI: 1.9-3.1)	<a href="#">Erke et al. 2012</a>
Iceland 2002–2006	Population-based cohort study	5,272	76 ± 6	3.3 (95% CI: 2.8-3.8)	<a href="#">Jonasson et al. 2011</a>
Slovakia March–May 2013	Cross-sectional, population-based survey	2,924	66.6 ± 8.7	1.01 (95% CI: 0.65-1.38)	<a href="#">Krasnik et al. 2018</a>
United Kingdom 2002–2006	Cross-sectional study	NR	≥ 65	1.8	<a href="#">Wilde et al. 2017</a>
United Kingdom 2007–2009	Meta-analysis of published data	NR	≥ 50	≥ 50 years: 1.2 (95% CI: 0.9-1.7) ≥ 65 years: 2.5 (95% CI 1.8-3.4) ≥ 80 years: 6.3 (4.5-8.6)	<a href="#">Owen et al. 2012</a>
United States Based on US Census 2000	Pooled data from three population-based studies from US	NR	≥ 40	1.02 (95% CI: 0.93-1.11)	<a href="#">Friedman et al. 2004</a>
Australia 2015–2016	Cross-sectional Population-based survey non-indigenous Australian adults	3,098	40-98	0.24 (95% CI: 0.13-0.47)	<a href="#">Keel et al. 2017</a>
Asian Countries	Cross-sectional meta-analyses	97,213	60.8 ± 10.8	0.5 (95% CI: 0.39-0.64)	<a href="#">Hyungtaek et al. 2020</a>
China (Publications between 1990 and 2016)	Systematic review and meta-analysis of nine published Chinese studies with high heterogeneity	NR	30–90	Pooled prevalence: 0.69 (95% CI: 0.11–0.76)	<a href="#">Song et al. 2017</a>
South Korea 2010–2011	Population-based cross-sectional survey	8,714	55.2 ± 0.2	0.5 (95% CI: 0.4-0.8)	<a href="#">Cho et al. 2014</a>

nAMD = neovascular age-related macular degeneration; NR = not reported.

## Demographics

**Age:** The prevalence and incidence of nAMD increases with age. According to a meta-analysis of 22 studies in Europe, the pooled prevalence of nAMD was 0.1% among people aged  $\leq 64$  years, 0.8% among people aged 65–74 years, and 3.3% among people aged  $\geq 75$  years (Table 3; Li et al. 2020a). This trend of increasing prevalence with age was reported in studies conducted in Australia, the United Kingdom, and Taiwan (Owen et al. 2012; Hu et al. 2017; Keel et al. 2017). A pooled analysis from three countries (United States, The Netherlands, and Australia) reported significantly higher risk in patients aged 80–86 years and 70–79 years compared to patients aged 50–69 years (Table 4; Smith et al. 2001).

**Gender:** The risk of nAMD in men and women was found to be contrasting. In a study from Europe, a higher incidence of nAMD was observed among females (2.3 per 1000 person-years [PY]) compared to men (1.3 per 1000 PY) (Rudnicka et al. 2015). Studies from Asia (China and South Korea) reported an increased risk of nAMD among men compared to women (Song et al. 2017; Rim et al. 2018).

In a retrospective, multicenter, non-interventional real-world evidence study in the United States that included 79,885 patients with nAMD, the mean age was  $82.6 \pm 8.4$  years and 63% of the nAMD population was female (Khanani et al. 2020). Based on studies from Europe and the United States, for all age groups (50 years and older), women were found to have a higher prevalence of nAMD than men (Owen et al. 2012; Rudnicka et al. 2012). An Asian population tends to demonstrate a reversed trend with a slightly greater predilection towards male gender (e.g., 59.6% males reported by Hu et al. 2017 and 56.6% reported by Rim et al. 2018).

**Racial disparity:** A prospective cohort study examined the 8-year overall incidence of late age-related macular degeneration (AMD) (including nAMD and geographic atrophy) in four major racial/ethnic groups (White, Black, Hispanic, and Chinese) living in six U.S. communities. The study reported that incidence of late AMD was highest in Whites (4.1%), intermediate in Chinese (2.2%), followed by Hispanics (0.8%), and lowest in Blacks (0.4%) (Fisher et al. 2016).

## The main existing treatment options

Prior to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) agents, laser photocoagulation therapy and photodynamic therapy with verteporfin were the standard of care based on their ability to stabilize vision. Although these treatments remain a therapeutic option for selected patients, the current standard of care in nAMD is intravitreal injections of anti-VEGF agents as first-line treatment, including ranibizumab (Lucentis®), Accentrix®, Byooviz™, Cimerli™, aflibercept (Eylea®), and brolucizumab (Beovu®). Additionally, bevacizumab (Avastin®) is unlicensed for ocular use yet broadly used in clinical practice worldwide.

The benefit of anti-VEGF therapies and their ability to restore vision has been widely recognized since the first approval of ranibizumab in 2006 (AAO 2019). A key challenge with currently available anti-VEGF treatments is the requirement for frequent and long-term administration to maintain vision gains (Heier et al. 2012a; Maguire et al. 2016). Patients can be treated with anti-VEGF injections as often as monthly for nAMD control. Treatment intervals longer than the prescribed regimens are possible for some patients; however, frequent eye examinations and office visits are still required to monitor for disease control and to achieve the patient's best visual outcomes. Real-world data suggest that many patients with nAMD do not receive treatment at the optimal frequency, and this under-treatment in clinical practice is associated with lower visual acuity gains compared with those observed in controlled clinical trials (Cohen et al. 2013; Finger et al. 2013; Holz et al. 2015; Rao et al. 2018).

### Risk factors for the disease

Refer to demographics for details on risk factors of advanced age, gender, and race. Risk factors are summarized in Table 4.

**Table 4 Risk Factors for nAMD**

Risk factor	Association	Additional comments
Increasing age (Smith et al. 2001; Li et al. 2020a)	Positive	Risk was found to be significantly higher in patients aged 80–86 years (OR: 20.0) and 70–79 years (OR: 5.96) compared to patients aged 50–69 years
Cigarette smoking (Rim et al. 2017; Detaram et al. 2020)	Positive	The risk of nAMD among past/ current smokers was 50% higher than that among never smokers (propensity-adjusted whole cohort analysis: HR: 1.48 (95% CI: 1.22, 1.79))
Obesity (Lim et al. 2012; Cheung et al. 2017)	Positive	After adjusting for age and gender, higher BMI ( $\geq 30$ ) was significantly associated with nAMD with OR of 1.06 (95% CI: 1.02, 1.09)
Low dietary intake of vitamins A, C, and E (Ng et al. 2019)	Positive	nAMD was associated with lower circulatory levels of carotenoids and omega-3 PUFAs, vitamins C and E
Low dietary intake of lutein and omega-3 fatty acids (Ng et al. 2019)	Positive	nAMD was associated with lower circulatory levels of carotenoids and omega-3 PUFAs, vitamins C and E
Vigorous physical activity (Rim et al. 2018)	Positive in patients aged 45–64 years	Vigorous physical activity was associated with a greater HR for nAMD in participants aged 45–64 years (HR, 1.30 [95% CI: 1.04, 1.63])
Hyperopic refraction (Cheung et al. 2017)	Positive	Results not shown

**Table 4 Risk Factors for nAMD (cont.)**

<b>Risk factor</b>	<b>Association</b>	<b>Additional comments</b>
CFH (chromosome [chr] 1) ( <a href="#">Cheung et al. 2017</a> ; <a href="#">Matušková et al. 2020</a> )	Positive	CC genotype of CFH gene polymorphism, showed the greatest risk for nAMD with OR equal to 8.43
ARMS2/HTRA1 (chr 10) ( <a href="#">Cheung et al. 2017</a> ; <a href="#">Matušková et al. 2020</a> )	Positive	TT genotype of ARMS2 gene polymorphism and AA genotype of HTRA1 gene polymorphism showed the greatest risk for nAMD with ORs equal to 10.07, 9.83, respectively
CFB (properdin; chr 6) ( <a href="#">Matuskova et al. 2020</a> )	Positive	Results not shown
CF1 (chr 4) ( <a href="#">Lim et al. 2012</a> )	Positive for any form of AMD	Results not shown
ACAD10 locus [OMIM 611181] ( <a href="#">Hallak et al. 2019</a> )	Positive	Genetic variant (ACAD10 locus) was associated with conversion to nAMD.
Family history ( <a href="#">Lim et al. 2012</a> )	Positive	Results not shown
Sleep deprivation (<6 hours) ( <a href="#">Pérez-Canales et al. 2016</a> )	Positive	A significant association between short sleep duration and nAMD was observed (for <6 hours, OR, 3.29 [95% CI: 1.32, 8.27] compared with the reference category of 7–8 hours).

AMD = age-related macular degeneration; ARMS2 = age-related maculopathy susceptibility 2; BMI = body mass index; CF1 = complement factor 1; CFB = complement factor B; CFH = complement factor H; chr = chromosome; HR = hazard ratio; HTRA1 = HtrA serine peptidase 1; nAMD = neovascular age-related macular degeneration; OR = odds ratio; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids.

#### **Natural history of the indicated condition in the (untreated) population:**

Some patients develop both advanced stages of AMD: nAMD and geographic atrophy. Untreated nAMD eventually leads to irreversible vision loss and blindness, and it is the most debilitating form of AMD ([Ghoshal et al. 2018](#)).

A systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis of publications from 1980 to 2005 identified 4362 untreated nAMD patients. The proportion of patients who developed severe vision loss (>6 lines) from baseline increased from 21.3% at 6 months to 41.9% by 3 years. The proportion of patients with visual acuity worse than logarithm of the Minimum Angle of Resolution (logMAR) 1.0 (20/200 Snellen) increased from 19.7% at baseline to 75.7% by 3 years. nAMD developed in the fellow eye in 12.2% of patients by 12 months and in 26.8% by 4 years ([Wong et al. 2008](#)).

A major subtype of nAMD in the Asian population is polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy, which affects up to 50% of Asians with nAMD and tends to present in younger patients

sometimes acutely with massive subretinal hemorrhage and severe vision loss (Fenwick et al. 2017).

The development of nAMD typically manifests in one eye. The presence of nAMD in one eye is a major risk factor for the development of nAMD in the fellow eye (Wong et al. 2020). The symptoms of nAMD are metamorphopsia, scotoma, and blurriness in the central vision, which negatively affect patient mobility, face recognition, reading, driving, and other daily activities, including self-care (Mitchell et al. 2018). An observational study using National Health Insurance Research Database from Taiwan showed a significantly higher risk of stroke in patients with prior nAMD history than for patients without any type of AMD. Prior nAMD history was also related to a higher incidence of hemorrhagic stroke but not ischemic stroke (Lee et al. 2017).

A meta-analysis of nine studies estimated that late AMD was associated with a 20% increased risk of all-cause mortality compared to the patients without AMD. There was evidence of a 46% increased risk of cardiovascular (CV) mortality for those with late AMD compared to those without AMD (McGuinness et al. 2017). Decreased visual acuity is associated with increased five-year mortality and even relatively mild visual impairment increases the risk of death more than two-fold (McCarty et al. 2001).

Findings from long-term follow-up studies regarding a possible association of nAMD with increased mortality risk have been inconsistent. Results from some studies have observed no association between nAMD and mortality (Borger et al. 2003; Pedula et al. 2015); however, nAMD was reported as a significant risk factor for all-cause mortality in women in a population-based 14-year cohort study in people aged 60–80 years in Denmark (Buch et al. 2005) and in men in a 15-year cohort study in Australia (Gopinath et al. 2016). In a cohort study in Iceland, nAMD was associated with all-cause mortality only in the subgroup aged 83 years or older (Fisher et al. 2015), while in the Blue Mountains Eye Study, nAMD was significantly associated with all-cause mortality only among persons younger than 75 years (Cugati et al. 2007). Age-Related Eye Disease Study 2, a randomized, double-masked, controlled trial, reported that participants with nAMD in one eye at baseline had a statistically significant increased risk for mortality compared with participants with no or few drusen. Visual impairment could be associated with depression, which has been linked with poor quality of life and decreased life span (Papudesu et al. 2018).

Given that the prevalence and incidence of nAMD increases with age, and the disease is most prevalent in patients >65 years of age (Table 3; Li et al. 2020a), there is a low likelihood that female patients on treatment for nAMD will be of child-bearing age.

### **Important co-morbidities**

The key comorbidities in the nAMD population are listed in Table 5.



**Table 5 Important Comorbidities in the nAMD Population**

Comorbidity	Prevalence, %	Reference
Hyperlipidemia	58.3, 18, 4.5	<a href="#">Hu et al. 2017</a> ; <a href="#">Lee et al. 2017</a> ; <a href="#">Farinha et al. 2019a</a>
Hypertension	51, 41, 19	<a href="#">Anastasopoulos et al. 2006</a> ; <a href="#">Lee et al. 2017</a> ; <a href="#">Rim et al. 2017</a>
Diabetes	46, 25.1, 10, 1.6	<a href="#">Soubrane et al. 2007</a> ; <a href="#">Hu et al. 2017</a> ; <a href="#">Lee et al. 2017</a> ; <a href="#">Mao et al. 2019</a>
Cataract	30.3, 28, 22.9, 15	<a href="#">Anastasopoulos et al. 2006</a> ; <a href="#">Cruess et al. 2007</a> ; <a href="#">Soubrane et al. 2007</a> ; <a href="#">Ruiz-Moreno et al. 2008</a>
Depression	18.0	<a href="#">Ruiz-Moreno et al. 2008</a>
Cancer	10.4, 8.2, 5.6	<a href="#">Cruess et al. 2007</a> ; <a href="#">Soubrane et al. 2007</a> ; <a href="#">Ruiz-Moreno et al. 2008</a>
Renal disease	9.6	<a href="#">Lee et al. 2017</a>
Liver disease	9.3, 6.1	<a href="#">Lee et al. 2017</a> ; <a href="#">Rim et al. 2017</a>
Glaucoma	9, 8	<a href="#">Anastasopoulos et al. 2006</a> ; <a href="#">Soubrane et al. 2007</a>
Arrhythmia	8.3	<a href="#">Lee et al. 2017</a>
Coronary heart disease	4.9	<a href="#">Mao et al. 2019</a>
Heart failure	4.1	<a href="#">Lee et al. 2017</a>
Anxiety	3.7, 3.4, 1.5	<a href="#">Cruess et al. 2007</a> ; <a href="#">Soubrane et al. 2007</a> ; <a href="#">Ruiz-Moreno et al. 2008</a>
Stroke	3.5, 2.2	<a href="#">Soubrane et al. 2007</a> ; <a href="#">Mao et al. 2019</a>
Cerebrovascular disease	2.0	<a href="#">Rim et al. 2017</a>

nAMD=neovascular age-related macular degeneration.

## SI.2 Diabetic Macular Edema Incidence

Recently published population-based studies that have provided incidence figures for diabetic macular edema (DME) and the clinically significant macular edema (CSME) form of DME are listed in [Table 6](#). The results are grouped by diabetes subtype: type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), or any diabetes (mixed population of T1DM and T2DM).

The reported cumulative incidence of DME depended mainly on the length of follow-up of the patients in the different studies and the type of diabetes ([Klein et al. 2009](#); [Jones et al. 2012](#); [Romero-Aroca et al. 2017](#)). The highest rates of DME among diabetics are provided by the studies in T1DM populations with very long follow-up times (e.g., 29% in a study with 25-year follow-up) ([Klein et al. 2009](#)). It is worth noting that, in the included studies, the follow-up period for T1DM is longer than T2DM because T1DM starts at a young age and the disease has more time to progress. Patients typically



develop T2DM later in life; therefore, the disease has less time to progress. T2DM DME patients often are less compliant with their glycaemia management (i.e., difficult to maintain hemoglobin A1c [HbA1c] levels) and often develop DME ([Wong et al. 2006](#)).

The global increase of DME is driven by the DME in T2DM, because there are many more patients with T2DM compared to T1DM ([Table 6](#)). When separated based on the type of diabetes, the cumulative incidence of DME ranged from 8.5% to 29% in patients with T1DM (follow-up: 9–25 years), 1.5% to 9.2% in patients with T2DM (follow-up: 5.7–10 years), and 0.8% to 5.4% in patients with any diabetes (follow-up: 4–8 years) ([Table 6](#)).

The Wisconsin Epidemiologic study on diabetic retinopathy (DR) in the United States stated that over a 25-year study period, of the 515,000 to 1.3 million Americans with T1DM, approximately 149,000 to 377,000 (approximately 29%) will develop DME and 88,000 to 221,000 (approximately 17%) will develop CSME ([Klein et al. 2009](#)).

**Table 6 Incidence of DME and CSME in Diabetic Populations Worldwide**

Country, Study Period	Follow- Up, years	Sample Size	No. of Cases	Baseline Mean Age±SD or Age Range, years	IC % or IR per 1000 PY		
					DME <sup>a</sup>	CSME <sup>a</sup>	Reference
Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus							
Finland 1997–2009	30 <sup>b</sup>	1,354	NR	38.7±11.6	—	IC: 17.8	<a href="#">Hietala et al. 2013</a>
Spain 2007–2015	9	366	NR	35.58±10.14	IC: 8.5	—	<a href="#">Romero-Aroca et al. 2017</a>
United States 1980–2007	25	955 at baseline and 891 with at least minimum follow-up of 4 years	213 DME 128 CSME	≤30	IC: 29	IC: 17	<a href="#">Klein et al. 2009</a>
Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus							
Spain 2007–2015	9	15,030	NR	65.84±12.39	IC: 6.4	—	<a href="#">Romero-Aroca et al. 2017</a>
United Kingdom, 1990–2006	10	20,686	NR	58.0–74.5	IC: 1.5	—	<a href="#">Jones et al. 2012</a>
Taiwan 2002–2004	5.7	2,101	193	63.3±11.9	IC: 9.2 (95% CI: 8.0, 10.5)	—	<a href="#">Hsieh et al. 2018</a>

**Table 6 Incidence of DME and CSME in Diabetic Populations Worldwide (cont.)**

Country, Study Period	Follow- Up, years	Sample Size	No. of Cases	Baseline mean age±SD or age range, years	Cumulative Incidence (IC) % or Incidence Rate (IR) per 1000 Person-Years		Reference
					DME <sup>a</sup>	CSME <sup>a</sup>	
Any Diabetes (mixed population of Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus)							
United Kingdom THIN 2000–2007	8	64,983 (T1DM: 1,757) (T2DM: 63,226)	467	T1DM 34.0; T2DM 62.8	IC: 0.8 IR: 1.8 (95% CI: 1.6, 2.0)	—	<a href="#">Martín-Merino et al. 2014</a>
United States 2000–2008	4	775	NR	≥40	IC either eye: 5.4 1 <sup>st</sup> eye 5.0 2 <sup>nd</sup> eye 11.5	—	<a href="#">Varma et al. 2010</a>

CI=confidence interval; CSME=clinically significant macular edema; DME=diabetic macular edema; IC=cumulative incidence; IR=incidence rate; NR=not reported; PY=person-years; SD=standard deviation; T1DM=type 1 diabetes mellitus; T2DM=type 2 diabetes mellitus; THIN=The Health Improvement Network.

<sup>a</sup> DME was defined as retinal thickening in the macular area. CSME was defined as the presence of retinal thickening at or within 500 µm of the center of the macula or hard exudates at or within 500 µm of the center of the macula if associated with thickening of the adjacent retina or zones of retinal thickening 1 disc area in size, at least part of which was within 1 disc diameter of the center.

<sup>b</sup> A regression model accounting for competing risk of death was used to estimate the cumulative incidence of CSME over 30 years of diabetes. The mean duration of diabetes of the sample was 24.6 years.

## Prevalence

Several population-based studies have provided prevalence figures for DME and CSME. Selected studies are summarized in [Table 7](#), by diabetes subtype.

A pooled analysis of 35 studies including over 20,000 diabetics from Europe, the United States, Australia, and Asia estimated a global prevalence of 6.81% for DME ([Yau et al. 2012](#)). By extrapolating prevalence to the 2010 world diabetes population, it was estimated that 20.6 million people are living with DME ([Yau et al. 2012](#)). Similar to the incidence data, the prevalence was also reported to be higher in patients with T1DM as compared to T2DM and any diabetes. Also, studies with patients having longer disease duration reported a higher prevalence of DME ([Yau et al. 2012](#); [Li et al. 2020b](#)). The prevalence of DME (any level or definition) in studies assessing information directly from fundus photographs was estimated to range from 5.4% to 14.25% in T1DM patients, 0.18% to 5.57% in T2DM, and 2.3% to 7.05% in studies with mixed diabetes population ([Table 7](#)). The differences are attributed to different underlying populations in terms of disease etiology and duration or ethnic makeup.

A pooled analysis of 35 European studies reported an overall prevalence of 3.7% in diabetic patients aged  $\geq 40$  years ([Li et al. 2020b](#)). In Europe, the highest prevalence was reported to be in the United Kingdom, while the lowest was recorded in France. Studies from the United States estimated a DME prevalence of 2.3% to 6.0% in diabetic patients ([Bressler et al. 2014](#); [Varma et al. 2014](#); [Bursell et al. 2018](#)), comparatively lower than the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) study from the United States in which the prevalence of DME and CSME was reported to be 9.0% and 5.6%, respectively ([Wong et al. 2006](#)). The difference may be due to the racial/ethnic composition of the participants included in the MESA study, in which non-Hispanic blacks and Hispanics comprised the majority of the study sample.

**Table 7 Prevalence of DME and CSME in Diabetic Populations Worldwide**

Data Source	No. of Patients	Baseline mean age±SD or age range, years	Prevalence, % (95% CI)		Reference
			DME	CSME	
Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus					
Global, pooled analysis from studies 1980–2008	1,864	20–79	Age standardized: 14.25 (13.86, 14.64)	—	<a href="#">Yau et al. 2012</a>
Poland 2012–2016	315	37.0±13.55	5.4	—	<a href="#">Matuszewski et al. 2020</a>
Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus					
Global, pooled analysis from studies 1980–2008	11,244	20–79	Age standardized: 5.57 (5.48, 5.66)	—	<a href="#">Yau et al. 2012</a>
Poland 2012–2016	894	61.2±11.13	4.81	—	<a href="#">Matuszewski et al. 2020</a>
Spain 2008–2012	108,723	66.9±11.0	0.18	—	<a href="#">Rodriguez-Poncelas et al. 2015</a>
Germany, Austria 2000–2013	64,784	68.7	0.8	—	<a href="#">Hammes et al. 2015</a>
Taiwan 2002–2004	2,135	63.3±11.9	1.6	—	<a href="#">Hsieh et al. 2018</a>
Any Diabetes					
Global, pooled analysis from 32 studies 2015–2018	543,448	20–80	4.6	—	<a href="#">Thomas et al. 2019</a>
Global, pooled analysis from 35 studies 1980–2008	22,896	20–79	Age standardized: 6.81 (6.74, 6.89)	—	<a href="#">Yau et al. 2012</a>

**Table 7 Prevalence of DME and CSME in Diabetic Populations Worldwide (cont.)**

Data Source	No. of Patients	Baseline mean age $\pm$ SD or age range, years	Prevalence, % (95% CI)		Reference
			DME	CSME	
Europe, pooled analysis of 35 studies until 2017	205,743	40 and older	—	Pooled: 3.7 (2.2, 6.2) Germany: 2.3 (0.6, 8.4) France: 1.3 (0.5, 2.9) UK: 5.2 (2.5, 10.7) Spain: 2.7 (1.6, 4.6)	<a href="#">Li et al. 2020b</a>
United Kingdom 2007–2010	48,450	Not stated	—	13.9 Centre-involving: 7.4	<a href="#">Keenan et al. 2013</a>
United Kingdom 2004–2005	27,178	Not stated	7.05 (6.75, 7.37) Bilateral: 2.33 (2.15, 2.52)	resulting in sight loss: 2.75 (2.56, 2.95)	<a href="#">Minassian et al 2012</a>
Norway 2007–2008	514 (T1DM=18)	46-87	3.9	—	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al. 2013</a>
U.S. NHANES 2005–2008	1038	$\geq 40$	3.8 (2.7, 4.9) Black: 8.4 White: 2.6 Hispanic: 5.1	—	<a href="#">Varma et al. 2014</a>
U.S. NHANES 2005–2008	798	$\geq 40$ , mean age not stated	6.01 (4.6, 8.0)	—	<a href="#">Bressler et al. 2014</a>
United States 2011–2016	46,584	52.7 $\pm$ 12.8	2.3	—	<a href="#">Bursell et al. 2018</a>
Singapore Year/time period not stated	757	62.5 $\pm$ 9.42	5.7 (3.2, 9.9)	—	<a href="#">Wong et al. 2008</a>

CI=confidence interval; CSME=clinically significant macular edema; DME=diabetic macular edema; NHANES=National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; SD=standard deviation; T1DM=type 1 diabetes mellitus; U.S. =United States.

## Demographics

**Duration of disease:** The key factor in the development of DME is diabetes duration, irrespective of disease type (Yau et al. 2012). A pooled analysis reported that the prevalence of DME was 3.1% in patients with <10-year diabetes duration, 13.4% in 10–<20 years diabetes duration, and 20% in patients with ≥20 years of diabetes duration (Yau et al. 2012). A study in the United States estimated that 70% of DME patients had a duration of diabetes of 10 years or more (Varma et al. 2014).

**Age:** The average age of patients depends on the diabetes type, with a mean age of T2DM patients with DME of about 60–70 years (Hietala et al. 2013; Matuszewski et al. 2020), and a mean age of T1DM patients with DME of about 37–50 years (Hsieh et al. 2018; Matuszewski et al. 2020).

**Gender:** The prevalence was estimated to be similar in men (7.44%) and women (7.54%) from a pooled analysis of 32 studies globally (Yau et al. 2012).

**Racial disparity:** Based on ethnicities, a higher prevalence was reported in African patients followed by Caucasian and Chinese and lowest in South Asian patients with diabetes (Yau et al. 2012). A study from the United States reported the prevalence of 3.8% for DME and also reported the highest prevalence among African Americans (8.4%), followed by Hispanics (5.1%), and non-Hispanic Whites (2.6%) (Varma et al. 2014). A retrospective data analysis study of American Indians and Alaska Natives with diabetes reported the prevalence of 2.3% for DME (Bursell et al. 2018).

**Geographical distribution:** A pooled analysis of 35 European studies reported an overall prevalence of 3.7% in diabetic patients aged ≥40 years (Li et al. 2020b). The prevalence of DME and CSME in the United States in overall T1DM and T2DM patients was 4.31% and 0.23%, respectively (Thomas et al. 2019). Based on geographical distribution, the prevalence of DME (T1DM and T2DM) was estimated to be highest in African regions (21.5%). The prevalence of DME in persons with T1DM in Europe and Africa was 8.8% and 13.5%, respectively. Regarding T2DM, the prevalence of DME was much higher in Africa and Western Pacific at 41.0% and 19.1%, respectively (Thomas et al. 2019).

## The main existing treatment options

Focal macular laser used to be first-line therapy in the treatment of DME, but the development of anti-VEGF biologics in the last 10 years has led to dramatic improvements in visual outcomes for patients with DME (Elman et al. 2010). Currently available approved anti-VEGF therapies for DME include ranibizumab (Lucentis®, Accentrix®, Cimerli™), aflibercept (Eylea®), and brolucizumab (Beovu®). All three therapies are approved for patients with visual impairment due to DME in the EU, while ranibizumab (Lucentis®) and aflibercept (Eylea®) are both approved for the treatment of patients with DME in the United States. Despite the significant improvements in both

vision and anatomical outcomes achieved with anti-VEGF injections in DME, the current standard-of-care for management requires patients to undergo frequent clinical examinations and intravitreal injections. This imposes a significant burden on patients, caregivers, treating physicians, and the healthcare system; thus, the average number of injections received and the consequent improvements in vision are lower in the real-world setting than in clinical trials ([Fong et al. 2018](#); [Hodzic-Hadzibegovic et al. 2018](#); [Stefanickova et al. 2018](#); [Ziemssen et al. 2018](#); [Farinha et al. 2019b](#)).

Other available approved options for the treatment of DME include periocular or intravitreal steroids and steroid implants. In particular, long-acting steroid implants have become popular for use in patients who are not able to come back for frequent visits and have a strong inflammatory component of the disease. In non-responders who have already been treated with anti-VEGFs (after 3–6 injections, depending on the specific response of each patient), switching to another anti-VEGF agent or, in specific cases, steroids may be recommended. However, steroids are associated with an increased and earlier risk of cataract, glaucoma, secondary infection and delay in wound healing ([AAO 2013](#)).

#### **Risk factors for the disease**

A study in the United Kingdom reported that DME risk increased with high alcohol use, cataracts, HbA1c  $\geq 7\%$ , systolic blood pressure  $\geq 160$  mm Hg, total cholesterol  $\geq 5$  mmol/L, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol  $\geq 3$  mmol/L, and microalbuminuria ([Martin-Merino et al. 2017](#)). A study in Turkey reported that duration of diabetes, use of antihypertensives, higher level of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, alcohol consumption, nephropathy, neuropathy, previous cataract surgery, severity of DR, and insulin usage were statistically significantly associated with DME ([Acan et al. 2018](#)).

A study from the United States on 447,407 patients with diabetes reported that African-Americans and Latinos had an increased hazard of developing DME compared with Caucasians. Other risk factors identified in the study were diabetic neuropathy or diabetic nephropathy, uncomplicated hypertension, end-organ damage caused by hypertension, and increases in the baseline value of HbA1c lab tests ([Talwar et al. 2013](#)).

#### **Natural history of the indicated condition in the (untreated) population:**

DME is an advanced manifestation of DR and the major cause of central vision loss among patients with DR ([Leasher et al. 2016](#); [Yoon et al. 2019](#)). If left untreated, DME can lead to a loss of 10 or more letters in visual acuity within 2 years in approximately 50% of patients ([Ciulla et al. 2003](#)). It can develop at any stage of the underlying disease of retinal microvasculature ([Fong et al. 2004](#)). This disease contributes to central vision loss, limiting the ability to perform tasks essential for daily life and maintaining self-sufficiency, and is associated with increased social isolation and



decreased mental health in this patient population comprised primarily of working-age adults ([Hariprasad et al. 2008](#)).

A retrospective study showed that over a period of 14 months, 48 of the 153 eyes (31%) with subclinical DME progressed to CSME that, in the opinion of the treating clinicians, required treatment ([Browning and Fraser 2008](#)). In a Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network study (Protocol G), the probability of an eye developing a significantly increased central subfield thickness, or judged by clinicians to warrant treatment for DME by 1 year and by 2 years, were 27% and 38%, respectively ([Bressler et al. 2012](#)).

In one European study, 5 out of 48 eyes (10%) with baseline subclinical DME developed clinical macular edema after 12 months ([Tejerina et al. 2015](#)). Another European study reported that 6 out of 32 eyes (19%) with subclinical DME at baseline progressed to CSME over the course of 24 months, while only 20 out of 316 eyes (6%) without subclinical DME at baseline progressed to CSME, suggesting that subclinical DME is likely to progress to CSME if left untreated ([Pires et al. 2013](#)).

A meta-analysis of six studies found a linear relation between visual acuity and the risk of mortality ([Zhang et al. 2016](#)). For every 0.1 logMAR increment, the risk of mortality increased by 4%. When the analysis was restricted to the studies that were conducted in the following four continents, the risk of mortality increased by 29% in North America, 44% in Oceania, 80% in Asia, and 22% in Europe in patients with visual impairment ([Zhang et al. 2016](#)).

A study reported hazard ratios for all-cause mortality, ischemic heart disease, and stroke death for those with CSME and T1DM or T2DM ([Hirai et al. 2008](#)). Results were adjusted for age, gender, diabetes mellitus duration, body mass index, HbA1c, history of CV disease, nephropathy, hypertension, and smoking status. In the fully adjusted models when comparing to those without CSME, mortality appeared to be increased for T2DM patients with CSME, especially among those treated with insulin. In contrast, T1DM patients with CSME did not appear to be at an increased risk of death compared to T1DM without CSME ([Hirai et al. 2008](#)).

Limited information is available for prevalence of pregnancy in the DME population. Prevalence estimates for presence of DME at any time during pregnancy range from 5% to 27% in T1DM and 4% in T2DM ([Morrison et al. 2016](#)). DME may develop or worsen during pregnancy and is generally observed in pregnant patients with proteinuria or hypertension ([Yenerel and Küçümen 2015](#)). In a prospective study of 102 pregnant women with T1DM (median T1DM duration: 16 years), 10 participants with macular edema had no progression in pregnancy while 2 participants had mild-moderate progression and 4 participants had sight-threatening progression ([Vestgaard et al. 2010](#)). In a Danish study that included 121 pregnant women with T1DM for more than 1 year, DME was present in 12 participants with progression occurring in 4 of the participants ([Ringholm et al. 2011](#)). DME occurring during

pregnancy is likely to resolve spontaneously in the postpartum period. Women with DME undergoing treatment with anti-VEGF medications are advised to use active contraception during treatment. Anti-VEGF medications should only be administered during pregnancy if the potential benefit justifies the risk to the fetus and women should be appropriately informed of the risk ([Morrison et al. 2016](#)).

### Important co-morbidities

The key comorbidities in the DME population are listed in [Table 8](#).

**Table 8 Important Comorbidities in the DME Population**

Comorbidity	Prevalence, %	Reference
Hypertension	66.6, 63.5, 10.6	<a href="#">Yau et al. 2012</a> ; <a href="#">Martin-Merino et al. 2017</a> ; <a href="#">Acan et al. 2018</a>
Cataract	27.5, 17.1	<a href="#">Kiss et al. 2016</a> ; <a href="#">Martin-Merino et al. 2017</a>
Hyperlipidemia	16	<a href="#">Acan et al. 2018</a>
Renal disease	13.1	<a href="#">Kiss et al. 2016</a>
Glaucoma	8.2, 6.2	<a href="#">Kiss et al. 2016</a> ; <a href="#">Martin-Merino et al. 2017</a>
Congestive heart failure	5.3	<a href="#">Kiss et al. 2016</a>
Cerebrovascular disease	4.5	<a href="#">Kiss et al. 2016</a>
Myocardial infarction	1.9	<a href="#">Kiss et al. 2016</a>
Stroke	1.4	<a href="#">Kiss et al. 2016</a>

DME=diabetic macular edema.

### SI.3 Retinal Vein Occlusion Incidence

The incidence of retinal vein occlusion (RVO) in the United States, Australia, and Asia are reported in [Table 9](#). Incidence data for RVO in the European Union are not yet available ([Li et al. 2019](#); [Song et al. 2019](#)).

A global meta-analysis of 6 studies from the United States, Australia, Japan, and China reported the cumulative 5-year and 10-year global incidence of RVO as 0.86% and 1.63%, respectively ([Song et al. 2019](#)).

The incidence of branch RVO (BRVO) is generally higher than the incidence of central RVO (CRVO). In the United States, the 5-year incidence of BRVO was 0.6% and the incidence of CRVO was 0.2% ([Klein et al. 2000](#)); at 15 years, the incidences were 1.8% and 0.5% ([Klein et al. 2008](#)), respectively. In Australia, the incidence of BRVO (1.2%) is about three times greater than CRVO (0.4%) over 10 years ([Cugati et al. 2006](#)).

Macular edema (ME) is a major complication of RVO that results in significant visual impairment. Macular edema has been reported to develop in about 5%–15% of BRVO cases within the first year of diagnosis, while most CRVO diagnoses are accompanied

by signs of ME ([Laouri et al. 2011](#)). In Australia, the frequency of ME among cases with BRVO  $\geq$  49 years of age was reported to be 18.5% in a span of 10 years (1994–2004) ([Cugati et al. 2006](#)). [Klein et al.](#) found that the frequency of ME among RVO patients was 30.4% in a span of 15 years (1990–2005). Furthermore, in Canada, the annual incidence of visual impairment due to ME secondary to RVO among patients 40 years and older was reported to be 0.06% for BRVO and 0.02% for CRVO ([Petrella et al. 2012](#)).

**Table 9 Reported Incidence of RVO Worldwide**

Country, Study Period	Follow-up Time, years	Sample Size	No. of events	Baseline Mean Age $\pm$ SD or Age Range, years	IC, % (RVO)	Reference
Global, 2000–2018	10	—	—	43–89	5-year cumulative incidence 0.86 (95% CI: 0.70, 1.07) 10-year: 1.63 (95% CI: 1.38, 1.92)	<a href="#">Song et al. 2019</a>
United States, 1988–1995	5	3,684	28	48–89	5-year cumulative incidence RVO: 0.80 (95% CI: 0.53, 1.1) BRVO: 0.6 (95% CI: 0.3, 0.8) CRVO: 0.2 (95% CI: 0.1, 0.3)	<a href="#">Klein et al. 2000</a>
United States, 1988–2005	15	—	83	43–85	15-year cumulative incidence RVO: 2.3 BRVO: 1.8 (95% CI: 1.4, 2.2) CRVO: 0.5 (95% CI: 0.3, 0.8)	<a href="#">Klein et al. 2008</a>
Australia, 1992–2004	10	2346	37	$\geq 49$	10-year cumulative incidence RVO: 1.6 (95% CI: 1.1, 2.2) BRVO: 1.2 (95% CI: 0.8, 1.7) CRVO: 0.4 (95% CI: 0.1, 1.7)	<a href="#">Cugati et al. 2006</a>
China, 2001–2011	10	—	49 (51 eye)	$\geq 40$	10-year cumulative incidence RVO: 1.9 BRVO: 1.6 CRVO: 0.3	<a href="#">Zhou et al. 2013</a>
South Korea, 2002–2015	12	49,705,663	240,495	—	12-year cumulative incidence RVO: 0.48 (95% CI: 0.48, 0.49)	<a href="#">Park et al. 2020</a>
Japan, 1998–2007	9	1,369	41	60.0 $\pm$ 10.0	9-year cumulative incidence RVO: 3.0 (95% CI: 2.2, 4.0) BRVO: 2.7 CRVO: 0.3	<a href="#">Arakawa et al. 2011</a>

BRVO=branch retinal vein occlusion; CI=confidence interval; CRVO=central retinal vein occlusion; IC=cumulative incidence; RVO=retinal vein occlusion; SD=standard deviation.

## Prevalence

In Europe, a meta-analysis of four studies reported a pooled prevalence of RVO of 0.7% in the population aged 55 years and above ([Li et al. 2019](#)).

Overall, the prevalence of RVO appears to be similar across all countries; however, Japan reported a higher prevalence compared to other countries ([Yasuda et al. 2010](#)).

A pooled analysis of 17 studies reported an overall age-standardized global prevalence of RVO, BRVO, and CRVO as 0.77%, 0.64% and 0.13% respectively among individuals aged 30–89 years in 2015 ([Song et al. 2019](#)). Another pooled analysis using individual population-based data on BRVO and CRVO in the United States, Europe, Asia, and Australia estimated an age- and sex-standardized global prevalence of 0.44% for any RVO, 0.38% for BRVO, and 0.07% for CRVO in the population aged  $\geq 30$  years in 2008 ([Rogers et al. 2010a](#)). As of 2015, approximately 28.06 million adults worldwide were affected by any type RVO, of which 23.38 million are BRVO patients and 4.67 million are CRVO patients. The estimated global prevalence of RVO increased with advanced age but did not show significant differences between sexes ([Song et al. 2019](#)).

The prevalence of RVO in individual studies was reported to be 0.6% in the United States ([Klein et al. 2000](#)), 0.87% in Australia ([Keel et al. 2018](#)), 0.7% in Singapore ([Lim et al. 2008](#)), and 2.1% in Japan ([Yasuda et al. 2010](#)) in populations older than 40 years.

There are no studies specifically describing the prevalence of ME secondary to RVO. For information on the incidence of ME secondary to RVO, please refer to the Incidence section above.

[Table 10](#) represents evidence describing the prevalence of RVO.

**Table 10 Reported Prevalence of RVO Worldwide**

Country, Study, Period	Study Type, Population Characteristics	Sample Size	Age Range, Years	Prevalence, % (RVO)	Reference
Global	Pooled analysis of 17 studies	120,771	30–89	RVO: 0.77 (95% CI: 0.55, 1.08) BRVO: 0.64 (95% CI: 0.47, 0.87) CRVO: 0.13 (95% CI: 0.08, 0.21)	<a href="#">Song et al. 2019</a>
Global	Pooled analysis of 15 population-based studies	68,751	30–101	RVO: 0.44 (95% CI: 0.40, 0.51) BRVO: 0.38 (95% CI: 0.31, 0.45) CRVO: 0.07 (95% CI: 0.05, 0.08)	<a href="#">Rogers et al. 2010a</a>
Europe	Meta-analysis of 4 studies published between 1996 and 2016	25,002	55 and above	RVO: 0.7 (95% CI: 0.5, 0.9)	<a href="#">Li et al. 2019</a>
United States, 1988–1995	Population-based retrospective cohort study	4,926	48–89	BRVO: 0.6 (95% CI: 0.4, 0.9) CRVO: 0.1 (95% CI: 0, 0.3)	<a href="#">Klein et al. 2000</a>
Singapore, 2004	Population-based, cross-sectional study	3,280	40–80	RVO: 0.7 (95% CI: 0.4, 1.0)	<a href="#">Lim et al. 2008</a>
Japan, 1998	Population-based prospective cohort study	1,775	40 years and above	RVO: 2.1 (95% CI: 1.6, 2.9) BRVO: 2.0 (95% CI: 1.4, 2.7) CRVO: 0.17 (95% CI: 0.06, 0.5)	<a href="#">Yasuda et al. 2010</a>
Australia, 2015–2016	Cross-sectional, population-based study	4,692	40–98	RVO: 0.87 (95% CI: 0.64, 1.2) BRVO: 0.72 (95% CI: 0.52, 1.0) CRVO: 0.15 (95% CI: 0.07, 0.31)	<a href="#">Keel et al. 2018</a>

BRVO=branch retinal vein occlusion; CI=confidence interval; CRVO=central retinal vein occlusion; RVO=retinal vein occlusion.

## Demographics

**Age:** Across the age spectrum from the early 30s to late 80s, the prevalence of any RVO increased steadily with increasing age. Based on a meta-analysis of 17 prevalence studies, the prevalence of BRVO ranges between 0.23% in people aged 30–39 years to 2.64% in those aged 80–89 years, and that of CRVO from 0.03% (30–39 year age group) to 0.75% (80–89 year age group). For any RVO, the prevalence increased from 0.26% in individuals aged 30–39 years to 3.39% in those aged 80–89 years ([Song et al. 2019](#)).

**Gender:** A systematic review described that the prevalence of RVO was similar between men and women in all studies that reported the prevalence by gender ([Laouri et al. 2011](#)). A similar finding was observed by another systematic review and meta-analysis with overall prevalence of any RVO (aged 30–89 years) was 0.74% in men and 0.81% in women. In subtype analysis, the overall prevalence in men versus women was also similar for BRVO (0.64% vs 0.65%) and CRVO (0.13% vs 0.13%) ([Song et al. 2019](#)).

**Racial disparity:** Limited studies compared the prevalence of RVO by race, as most of the studies enrolled subjects belonging to a single ethnic group. Prevalence varied by race/ethnicity and increased with age, but did not differ by gender. In the pooled analysis, the age- and sex-standardized prevalence of any RVO was 0.37% (95% CI: 0.28, 0.46) in Whites (5 studies), 0.39% (95% CI: 0.18, 0.60%) in Blacks (1 study), 0.57% (95% CI: 0.45, 0.68%) in Asians (6 studies), and 0.69% (95% CI: 0.57, 0.83%) in Hispanics (3 studies). The prevalence for CRVO was lower than BRVO in all ethnic populations. The prevalence for any RVO was highest in Asians and Hispanics and lowest in Whites ([Rogers et al. 2010a](#)).

## The main existing treatment options

Based on clinical practice guidelines in Europe ([Schmidt-Erfurth et al. 2019](#)), United States ([Flaxel et al. 2020](#)), and the United Kingdom ([The Royal College of Ophthalmologists 2022](#)), anti-VEGF agents are recommended as the first-line treatment of ME secondary to RVO, based on the fact that VEGF-A is a key cytokine that mediates vascular leakage which causes ME in RVO. Currently, two anti-VEGF drugs are used to treat RVO, ranibizumab and aflibercept, which are both EMA and U.S. FDA approved. Additionally, bevacizumab (Avastin®), which is unlicensed for ocular use, is broadly used to treat RVO in clinical practice worldwide.

Intravitreal corticosteroids are also used to treat RVO patients, but largely as a second-line treatment, due to the risk of complications such as steroid-induced cataract and glaucoma ([Ip et al. 2009](#)). However, corticosteroids may be considered as a first-line therapy for patients who have had a recent history of a major cardiovascular event and who are therefore contraindicated for anti-VEGF treatment. Corticosteroids may also be considered as first-line therapy in patients who are non-compliant with

monthly injections (and/or monitoring) in the first six months of therapy. Triamcinolone was the first steroid used intravitreally as an off-label treatment for ME ([Schmidt-Erfurth et al. 2019](#)). The EMA (in 2010) and U.S. FDA (in 2009) approved a sustained-release intravitreal 0.7 mg dexamethasone (Ozurdex®) delivery system for the treatment of ME secondary to RVO. The drug is also licensed in the United Kingdom for the treatment of adult patients with ME following CRVO.

Panretinal laser photocoagulation (PRP) is the standard of care for the treatment of neovascular complications associated with RVO. These include retinal and disc neovascularization secondary to BRVO or CRVO, as well as iris neovascularization. Laser treatment can be withheld in patients with extensive retinal ischemia who require close follow-up until neovascularization is detected. Otherwise, prophylactic laser photocoagulation should be considered. Laser treatment for ME secondary to BRVO has been shown to be effective for visual improvement but in view of the availability of anti-VEGF therapy, focal laser photocoagulation should be considered only as a second-line treatment ([Schmidt-Erfurth et al. 2019](#); [Flaxel et al. 2020](#); [The Royal College of Ophthalmologists 2022](#)).

### Risk factors for the disease

The most recognized risk factors for RVO are age and systemic vascular disorders. In over half of the cases, the age of onset is over 65 years. Systemic diseases such as hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes mellitus are very strongly associated with the development of RVO ([Kolar 2014](#)). The most common ocular risk factor associated with RVO is glaucoma. Some known risk factors are summarized in [Table 11](#).

**Table 11 Risk Factors for RVO**

Systemic risk factors	Ocular risk factors
Hypertension	Glaucoma
Diabetes mellitus	Decreased ocular perfusion pressure
Hyperlipidemia	External retrobulbar compression-orbital neoplasia and endocrine orbitopathy
Atherosclerotic associated diseases: ischemic heart disease, obesity (high body mass index), and cigarette smoking	Retinal arteriolar signs-focal arteriolar narrowing and arteriovenous nicking
Systemic vasculitis: systemic lupus erythematosus, sarcoidosis, and syphilis	
Hematologic neoplasia: polycythemia vera, multiple myeloma, and leukemia	
Hypercoagulation diseases: antiphospholipid syndrome, and protein S deficiency	
Drug therapy: oral contraceptives, diuretics, and hypotensive drugs	

RVO=retinal vein occlusion.

Source: [Kolar 2014](#).



## **Natural history of the indicated condition in the (untreated) population:**

### **Disease Progression and Outcome:**

A systematic review of the natural history of BRVO patients found that the overall visual acuity in untreated symptomatic BRVO cases is poor at baseline, ranging from 20/40 to less than 20/200 ([Rogers et al. 2010b](#)). Over time, visual acuity generally improves, with between one-third and three-quarters of eyes with BRVO showing at least a 2-line improvement in visual acuity, and mean visual acuity improving by 1 letter at 3 months to 15 letters over 18 months. However, clinically significant improvement beyond 20/40 is uncommon. The review suggested that over a 1-year period, 5% to 15% of eyes with BRVO develop ME, although for those with ME at baseline, 18% to 41% resolve. BRVO is categorized as mild, moderate, or marked, based on the level of capillary nonperfusion seen angiographically.

Eyes with BRVO and significant capillary nonperfusion can develop retinal neovascularization and vitreous hemorrhage, but they are much less likely to develop neovascular glaucoma than eyes with CRVO or hemi-CRVO ([Flaxel et al. 2020](#)). Early clinical findings include vascular tortuosity, venous dilation of the affected veins, retinal edema, intraretinal hemorrhages, cotton wool spots, and occasionally hard exudates or even retinal detachment in the affected region. Over time, the acute process resolves, and the hemorrhages may clear, along with the cotton wool spots. In general, the ME persists and is a common cause of visual dysfunction unless appropriately treated. Collaterals may also develop between the superior and inferior retinal veins in a BRVO ([Flaxel et al. 2020](#)).

Typically, patients present with acute visual symptoms in one eye due to ME. At the time patients were recruited to the studies from the systematic review, bilateral BRVO was present in 4.5% to 6.5% of BRVO cases. Nine percent of patients with BRVO had RVO in the fellow eye, but it is unclear whether this was at baseline or developed over time ([Rogers et al. 2010b](#)). Over an unknown length of time, 10% of BRVO cases developed a BRVO in the second eye ([Rogers et al. 2010b](#)).

A systematic review of the natural history of untreated CRVO patients found that in all CRVO cases, including nonischemic CRVO, baseline visual acuity was generally poor (<20/40) and most studies reported a mean decrease in visual acuity over time. Ischemic CRVO cases had poorer vision (i.e., baseline visual acuity of 9 letters; approximate Snellen equivalent 20/640) at presentation and follow-up. Up to 34% of nonischemic CRVO eyes converted to ischemic CRVO over a 3-year period ([McIntosh et al. 2010](#)).

Patients with CRVO were likely to develop ME ([Laouri et al. 2011](#)). Additionally, approximately 25% of patients with CRVO will develop iris neovascularization, and some patients may go on to develop retinal neovascularization ([Hayreh and Zimmerman 2012](#); [Flaxel et al. 2020](#)). In patients with nonischemic CRVO, ME resolved in approximately

30% over time and development of neovascular glaucoma was rare. In ischemic CRVO cases, neovascular glaucoma developed in at least 23% within 15 months (McIntosh et al. 2010). At the time of presentation, bilateral CRVO was present in 0.4% to 43% of CRVO cases (note that the latter proportion is based on a case series of 7 patients). Over the various follow-up periods, 1.4% of CRVO cases developed a CRVO in the second eye over a 3-year period, 5% developed a BRVO in the second eye over a 30-month period, and 5% of CRVO cases developed any RVO over a 1-year period (McIntosh et al. 2010).

**Mortality and Morbidity:** Patients with a CRVO have a higher mortality rate than controls in an age-adjusted general population. A register-based cohort study in Denmark reported that mortality was higher in patients with CRVO (adjusted HR 1.45; 95% CI: 1.19, 1.76) compared to the control cohort (adjusted for age, gender, and time of diagnosis). However, the risk association became less pronounced and statistically insignificant between the two groups (HR: 1.19; 95% CI: 0.96, 1.46) when adjusting for overall occurrence of cardiovascular disease and diabetes (Bertelsen et al. 2014). Another study in Denmark found that RVO was associated with incident cardiovascular disorders (adjusted HR 1.13; 95% CI: 1.09, 1.17) but not mortality (adjusted HR 1.01; 95% CI: 0.98, 1.03). Almost similar risks of CVD were found for patients with BRVO and CRVO (adjusted HR 1.14; 95% CI: 1.03, 1.25, and adjusted HR 1.12; 95% CI: 1.00, 1.25, respectively), but only patients with CRVO exhibited increased mortality (adjusted HR 1.18; 95% CI: 1.11, 1.26) (Frederiksen et al. 2022).

### **Important co-morbidities**

The key comorbidities in the RVO population in patients aged 40 years and above are listed in Table 12.

**Table 12 Important Comorbidities in the RVO Population**

Comorbidity	Prevalence, %	Reference
Cardiovascular disease*	79	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al, 2012</a>
Hypertension	83.6; 72	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al, 2012</a> ; <a href="#">Chen et al. 2020</a>
Hyperlipidemia	29.8	<a href="#">Chen et al. 2020</a>
Diabetes	19.8; 13	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al, 2012</a> ; <a href="#">Chen et al. 2020</a>
Glaucoma	12.4	<a href="#">Chen et al. 2020</a>
Obesity	11.1	<a href="#">Chen et al. 2020</a>
Ischemic heart disease	11	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al. 2012</a>
Cerebrovascular disease	6.6	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al. 2012</a>
Peripheral artery disease	4.4	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al, 2012</a>
Myocardial Infarction	3.8	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al. 2012</a>
Heart failure	2.2	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al. 2012</a>
Renal disease	1.4	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al. 2012</a>
Liver disease	0.6	<a href="#">Bertelsen et al. 2012</a>

Note: \*Cardiovascular disease includes hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, ischaemic heart disease, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, and use of cardiovascular drugs.

## **PART II: MODULE SII — NONCLINICAL PART OF THE SAFETY SPECIFICATION**

Key safety findings from nonclinical studies and relevance to human usage are presented below.

### **Repeat-dose toxicity:**

In the 2-month and 6-month Good Laboratory Practice studies in cynomolgus monkeys ([Report 1053361](#); [Report 1057630](#)), dose-dependent ocular inflammatory cell infiltration and clinical signs of ocular inflammation occurred in faricimab-treated eyes following intravitreal injection every 4 weeks (Q4W), starting from the mid doses of 3- or 1.5-mg/eye/dose up to the high doses of 6- and 3-mg/eye/dose, respectively. Ocular findings in the 2- and 6-month studies generally correlated with the systemic presence of anti-drug antibodies and exposure loss in the serum of all animals with ocular inflammation until the end of the treatment period. Subsequent immunohistochemistry (IHC) evaluations confirmed these findings to be consistent with an immune-mediated response (and subsequent complement activation) to a humanized antibody such as faricimab in non-human primates, as previously shown in rabbits ([Meyer 1987](#)).

No clinical ocular findings were observed in recovery animals after a 4- or 13-week treatment-free recovery period in the 2- and 6-month studies, respectively.

Histopathological ocular findings of inflammatory cell infiltration seen in recovery animals were considered to represent partial reversal of the inflammatory cell infiltration seen at the terminal sacrifice in other animals. There were no relevant findings in the untreated eyes receiving vehicle injections Q4W up to 6 months of treatment.

At the end of the 4-week recovery period in the 2-month study, faricimab-related minimal mixed cell inflammation was present in the aortic root in one male from each of the 6 mg/eye/dose intravitreal injection and 5 mg/kg intravenous (IV) dose groups. IHC evaluations also confirmed these findings to be consistent with an immune-mediated response (and subsequent complement activation) in monkeys. No extra-ocular findings were observed in the 6-month study in monkeys ([Report 1057630](#)).

### Relevance to human use:

The observed inflammatory response in the eye and at the aortic root is attributed to an immune-mediated response against the humanized full-length immunoglobulin G1 antibody faricimab in cynomolgus monkeys. Therefore, limited clinical relevance is attributed to these findings in terms of predicting the potential immunogenicity/ADA formation against faricimab in humans. This assessment is further supported by the development experience with the anti-VEGF antibody fragment ranibizumab. Although the repeat-dose intravitreal injection ocular toxicity studies in monkeys with ranibizumab resulted in ADA-related intraocular inflammation (IOI), the clinical safety and ADA data from the Phase I, II, and III studies across multiple disease indications showed no clear correlation between serum antibodies and ocular inflammation or decrease in visual acuity ([Lucentis Summary of Product Characteristics \[SmPC\]](#)). These findings further support that immunogenicity in nonclinical species is caused by xenoantigens (i.e., immune reactions not occurring in the autologous species) and is of limited value as a predictor of immunogenicity in humans ([van Meer et al. 2013](#)).

As with all therapeutic proteins, there is a potential for immunogenicity with faricimab. Anti-drug antibodies are indicators of an immune response to the administered therapeutic protein, which for intravitreal injection drugs could potentially result in IOI. The incidence of ADA induction/boosting across all Phase II studies was approximately 10%, and, consistent with Phase II studies, the incidence of ADA induction/boosting across all Phase III studies was approximately 10% (nAMD: 13.8%; DME: 9.6%, RVO: 8.0%) ([Annex 7C.1](#); [Annex 7C.2](#); [Annex 7C.3](#)). Overall, the incidence rate of IOI was low in nAMD (3.0%), DME (1.6%), and RVO (1.4%) Phase III studies ([Annex 7C.16](#); [Annex 7C.18](#); [Annex 7C.20](#)). Based on all available data to date, no meaningful impact of ADA was observed on efficacy, pharmacodynamics, and overall safety. A higher incidence of IOI was observed in ADA-positive patients (nAMD: 12/98 [12.2%], [Annex 7C.4](#); DME: 15/128 [11.7%], [Annex 7C.5](#); RVO: 1/54 [1.9%], [Annex 7C.6](#)) compared with ADA-negative patients (nAMD: 8/562 [1.4%], [Annex 7C.7](#); DME: 5/1124 [0.4%], [Annex 7C.8](#); RVO: 8/543 [1.5%], [Annex 7C.9](#)), however the clinical impact of this observation is currently not known. Based on the small number of ADA-positive patients compared to ADA-negative patients, and the low incidence of IOI for which the majority of the events were of mild-to-moderate severity and had a reversible character, patients receiving faricimab in ongoing Phase III clinical trials will continue to be monitored for signs and symptoms that might be suggestive of immunogenicity.

### **Reproductive/developmental toxicity:**

The 2- and 6-month studies in cynomolgus monkeys did not reveal any effects of faricimab on fertility or reproductive organs ([Report 1053361](#); [Report 1057630](#)). In the 6-month monkey toxicology study, systemic exposures at the highest dose were 8–10-fold greater than faricimab human steady-state systemic exposure estimates in nAMD, DME, and RVO patients. In an embryofetal development study in pregnant cynomolgus monkeys there were no effects of faricimab on the course and outcome of pregnancy or fetal viability following 5 weekly IV injections at up to 3 mg/kg ([Report 1093222](#)). Serum exposure (maximum serum concentration [ $C_{\max}$ ]) at the no-observed-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL) dose of 3 mg/kg was approximately 500-fold greater than faricimab human steady-state systemic exposure estimates in nAMD, DME, and RVO patients.

### **Relevance to human use:**

VEGF is a major angiogenic factor involved in the formation of new blood vessels during embryonic and fetal development and placentation. VEGF inhibition has been shown to affect follicular development, corpus luteum function, and fertility. The pharmacological inhibition of angiogenesis by faricimab is generally expected to have adverse consequences on the female reproductive cycle, since angiogenesis plays a critical role in ovarian and endometrial function ([Klauber et al. 1997](#)). In general, all anti-angiogenic agents are expected to be teratogenic or otherwise harmful for the fetus and are thus not recommended for use during pregnancy ([Lambertini et al. 2015](#); [Lucentis E.U. SmPC](#); [Avastin E.U. SmPC](#)).

Angiopoietin-2 (Ang-2) is expressed at sites of vascular remodeling in the embryo and placenta ([Seval et al. 2008](#)). Knockout mice deficient in Ang-2 die at birth due to vessel defects as Ang-2 is required for postnatal angiogenesis and lymphatic patterning, and only the latter role is rescued by Ang-1 ([Gale et al. 2002](#)). As with VEGF, inhibition of Ang-2 is expected to cause impairment in embryofetal development, if systemic exposure and transplacental uptake is sufficient. In the eye, Ang-2 depletion caused pericyte dropout in the normal retina ([Hammes et al. 2004](#)). There are currently no marketed drugs that solely inhibit Ang-2.

In patients, the systemic exposure to faricimab following unilateral intravitreal administrations of 6 mg faricimab is low, with a mean  $C_{\max}$  of 0.2  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  appearing approximately 2 days post-dose and mean trough concentration ( $C_{\text{trough}}$ ) of 0.003  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , for every 8 weeks (Q8W) dosing without accumulation after multiple administrations. In line with the low systemic exposure, no suppression from baseline in VEGF-A or Ang-2 was observed in plasma of patients dosed with faricimab in the Phase III studies (TENAYA, LUCERNE, YOSEMITE, RHINE, BALATON, COMINO).

Furthermore, in pregnant cynomolgus monkeys, faricimab at serum exposure ( $C_{\max}$ ) more than 500-times greater than the faricimab human steady-state systemic exposure

estimates there were no developmental toxicity, teratogenicity, or effect on weight or structure of the placenta observed. However, because of the anti-angiogenic mechanism of action, faricimab should be regarded as potentially teratogenic and embryo-/fetotoxic, and as a precautionary measure it is preferable to avoid use during pregnancy unless the potential benefit outweighs the potential risk to the fetus.

#### **General safety pharmacology:**

In compliance with International Council for Harmonisation S6 (R1) guidance, safety pharmacological endpoints were integrated in the 2- and 6-month cynomolgus monkey studies ([Report 1053361](#); [Report 1057630](#)). Faricimab did not induce any neurological findings up to 6 months of treatment. Heart rate and electrocardiogram endpoints, including QT and QTc, were comparable between control and faricimab-dosed groups. In addition, no notable findings were recorded for respiratory rate or body temperature measurements.

#### **Relevance to human use:**

In patients, the systemic exposure to faricimab via intravitreal injections is low. No adverse effects on general safety pharmacology endpoints were observed in the nonclinical program up to the highest doses, achieving  $C_{max}$  of about 10- up to more than 700-fold greater than faricimab human steady-state systemic exposure estimates in nAMD, DME, and RVO patients (based on human exposures from population pharmacokinetics [popPK] model following 6 mg Q8W dosing). Consistent with the absence of nonclinical effects on safety pharmacology endpoints, the incidence of non-ocular adverse events (AEs) in the faricimab arms was comparable to the ranibizumab and aflibercept arms across the clinical development program. Faricimab was generally well tolerated by patients, with no systemic toxicities observed for any system organ class.

#### **Other toxicity-related information or data:**

No unspecific tissue binding of faricimab was observed in cross reactivity studies of normal human tissues ([Report 1055832](#); [Report 1056445](#)). The results from in vitro whole blood assays suggest that there is no substantial risk of cytokine release syndrome, direct complement activation, or peripheral immune-cell depletion with administration of faricimab ([Report 1055400](#); [Report 1059118](#)).

#### **Relevance to human use:**

In line with nonclinical data, there was no evidence for cytokine release syndrome in the clinical development program.

### **PART II: MODULE SIII — CLINICAL TRIAL EXPOSURE**

The exposure and safety data included in this Risk Management Plan (RMP) are derived from nine studies ([Table 13](#)).

Safety data pooling of Phase II studies (i.e., nAMD AVENUE + nAMD STAIRWAY + DME/DR BOULEVARD studies) with Phase III studies (i.e., nAMD TENAYA/LUCERNE + DME YOSEMITE/RHINE studies) is not appropriate because of notable differences in study design and treatment duration. Examples of notable study design differences between the Phase II and Phase III include study duration, treatment dosage, choice of active comparator, and treatment frequency.

Exposure data is provided from the Phase III pivotal studies during the entire global enrollment phase (excluding regional extensions) for the nAMD studies (through Week 112) and DME studies (through Week 100) and through the day before the Week 24 visit (hereafter referred to as “before the Week 24 visit”; i.e., the time-point for primary analysis) for RVO studies. Outputs that contain data from RVO studies are titled with “through primary endpoint time” for consistency. Exposure from the Phase II nAMD and DME supportive studies is provided separately.

**Table 13 Overview of Studies Contributing to the Safety Population**

Protocol Name/No.	Countries	Study Design	Patient Population	Objectives	Dose, Duration	No. of Patients	Study Status
<b>Pivotal Studies</b>							
<b>BALATON</b> (GR41984)	Global	Phase III, Two-Part, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Masked, Active Comparator -Controlled <sup>a</sup> , Parallel-Group Study	Patients with RVO: BRVO (BALATON) or CRVO/HRVO (COMINO)	Efficacy, Safety, PK and PD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Part 1 (Q4W dosing): Arm A: 6 mg faricimab IVT injections Q4W from Day 1 through Week 20 (6 injections) Arm B: 2 mg aflibercept IVT injections Q4W from Day 1 through Week 20 (6 injections)</li> <li>Part 2 (PTI regimen<sup>b</sup>): Patients in both Arms A and B received 6 mg faricimab IVT injections according to a PTI dosing regimen from Week 24 through Week 68</li> </ul>	<b>Total</b> Randomized = 1282  <b>Safety-Evaluable</b> BALATON = 550 COMINO = 726  <b>Pooled</b> Safety-Evaluable = 1276  All faricimab: 641/1276 All aflibercept: 635/1276	BALATON: Completed (LPLV 12 Jun 2023)  COMINO: Ongoing (CCOD [LPLV of global enrollment phase]: 12 July 2023)  Primary analysis at Week 24



**Table 13 Overview of Studies Contributing to the Safety Population (Cont.)**

Protocol Name/No.	Countries	Study Design	Patient Population	Objectives	Dose, Duration	No. of Patients	Study Status
TENAYA (GR40306)	Global	Phase III, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Masked, Active Comparator -Controlled, 112-week Study	Treatment naive patients with nAMD	Efficacy, Safety, Durability, PK and PD	<u>Faricimab up to Q16W:</u> 6 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W up to Week 12 followed by fixed Q16W, Q12W or Q8W (based on disease activity assessed at Week 20 and Week 24) up to Week 60, followed by PTI through Week 108  <u>Aflibercept Q8W:</u> 2 mg aflibercept intravitreal injections Q4W up to Week 8, followed by Q8W through Week 108  Patients will return for a final visit at Week 112	<b>Total</b> Randomized = 1329 (treatment-naïve)  <b>Safety-Evaluable</b> TENAYA = 669 LUCERNE = 657  <b>Pooled</b> Safety-Evaluable = 1326 All faricimab: 664/1326 Aflibercept: 662/1326	TENAYA: Completed (LPLV 12 Jul 2022)  LUCERNE: Ongoing (CCOD [LPLV of global enrollment phase]: 7 Jan 2022) <sup>c</sup>  Efficacy analysis at Week 104/108/112 <sup>d</sup>  Safety analysis at Week 112

**Table 13 Overview of Studies Contributing to the Safety Population (cont.)**

Protocol Name/No.	Countries	Study Design	Patient Population	Objectives	Dose, Duration	No. of Patients	Study Status
<b>Pivotal Studies</b>							
<b>YOSEMITE</b> (GR40349)	Global	Phase III, Randomized, Double-Masked, Active	Patients with DME	Efficacy, Safety, PK and PD	<u>Faricimab Q8W</u> : 6 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W to Week 20 followed by Q8W to Week 96	<b>Total</b> Randomized = 1891 1481 – treatment naive	YOSEMITE: Completed (LPLV: 3 Sep 2021)
<b>RHINE</b> (GR40398)		Comparator-Controlled, Three Parallel Groups, 100-week Study			<u>Faricimab PTI</u> <sup>b</sup> : 6 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W to at least Week 12, followed by PTI to Week 96 <u>Aflibercept Q8W</u> : 2 mg aflibercept intravitreal injections Q4W to Week 16 followed by Q8W to Week 96	410 – previously treated with anti-VEGF <b>Safety-Evaluable</b> YOSEMITE = 937 RHINE = 950 <b>Pooled</b> Safety-Evaluable = 1887 All faricimab: 1262/1887 Aflibercept: 625/1887	RHINE: Ongoing <sup>c</sup> ([LPLV of global enrollment phase] CCOD: 27 Aug 2021) Year 2 efficacy analysis at Week 92/96/100 <sup>e</sup> Year 2 safety analysis at Week 100
<b>Supportive Studies</b>							
<b>STAIRWAY</b> (CR39521)	United States	Phase II, Multiple Regimen, Randomized, Active Comparator-Controlled, Subject and Assessor Masked, Three Parallel Groups, 52-week Study	Treatment naive patients with nAMD	Efficacy, Safety, PK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Faricimab Q12W</u>: 6 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W up to Week 12, followed by Q12W up to Week 48</li> <li><u>Faricimab Q16W</u>: 6 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W up to Week 12, followed by Q16W up to Week 48. Patients assessed with active disease at Week 24 were switched to a Q12W regimen for the remainder of the study.</li> <li><u>Ranibizumab Q4W</u>: 0.5 mg ranibizumab intravitreal injections Q4W for 48 weeks</li> </ul>	<b>Total</b> Randomized = 76 (treatment-naive) Safety-Evaluable = 71	Completed

**Table 13 Overview of Studies Contributing to the Safety Population (cont.)**

Protocol Name/No.	Countries	Study Design	Patient Population	Objectives	Dose, Duration	No. of Patients	Study Status
<b>Supportive Studies</b>							
<b>AVENUE</b> (BP29647)	United States	Phase II, Multiple Center, Multiple Dose and Regimen, Randomized, Active Comparator-Controlled, Double-Masked, Five Parallel Groups, 36-week study	Treatment naive patients with nAMD	Safety, Tolerability, PK, Efficacy	<u>1.5 mg Faricimab Q4W</u> : 1.5 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W for 32 weeks <u>6 mg Faricimab Q4W</u> : 6 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W for 32 weeks <u>6 mg Faricimab Q8W</u> : 6 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W up to Week 12, followed by Q8W (i.e., on Weeks 20 and 28) <u>0.5 mg Ranibizumab Q4W</u> : 0.5 mg ranibizumab intravitreal injections Q4W for 32 weeks <u>0.5 mg Ranibizumab Q4W + 6 mg Faricimab Q4W</u> : 0.5 mg ranibizumab intravitreal injections Q4W up to Week 8, followed by 6 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W to Week 32	<b>Total</b> Randomized = 273 (treatment-naïve) Safety-Evaluable = 262	Completed

**Table 13 Overview of Studies Contributing to the Safety Population (cont.)**

Protocol Name/No.	Countries	Study Design	Patient Population	Objectives	Dose, Duration	No. of Patients	Study Status
<b>Supportive Studies</b>							
<b>BOULEVARD</b> (BP30099)	United States	Phase II, Multiple Center, Multiple Dose, Randomized, Active Comparator-Controlled, Double-Masked, Three Parallel Groups, 36-week Study	Patients with DME	Safety, Tolerability, PK, Efficacy	<u>1.5 mg Faricimab Q4W</u> : 1.5 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W for 20 weeks <u>6 mg Faricimab Q4W</u> : 6 mg faricimab intravitreal injections Q4W for 20 weeks <u>0.3 mg Ranibizumab Q4W</u> : 0.3 mg ranibizumab intravitreal injections Q4W for 20 weeks Followed by an observational period (up to 16 weeks); if eligible, patients received one injection of 0.3 mg ranibizumab then exited the study	<b>Total</b> Randomized = 229 <sup>f</sup> 168 – treatment naive 61 – previously treated with anti-VEGF Safety-Evaluable = 224	Completed

BCVA=best corrected visual acuity; BRVO=branch retinal vein occlusion; CCOD=clinical cutoff date; CRVO=central retinal vein occlusion; CST=central subfield thickness; DME=diabetic macular edema; HRVO=hemiretinal vein occlusion; IVT=intravitreal; LPLV=Last Patient Last Visit; nAMD=neovascular age-related macular degeneration; PD=pharmacodynamics; PK=pharmacokinetics; PTI=personalized treatment interval; Q4W=every 4 weeks; Q8W=every 8 weeks; Q12W=every 12 weeks; Q16W=every 16 weeks; RVO=retinal vein occlusion; VEGF=vascular endothelial growth factor.

<sup>a</sup> Studies BALATON (GR41984) and COMINO (GR41986) are only active comparator-controlled during Part 1.

<sup>b</sup> Study drug dosing for patients on the PTI is extended, reduced or maintained at study drug dosing visits using 4-week increments to a maximum of Q16W or a minimum of Q4W based on the relative change of the CST and BCVA compared with the patient's reference CST and reference BCVA.

<sup>c</sup> The global enrollment phase of the study has completed; a China extension is currently ongoing.

<sup>d</sup> The Week 112 efficacy analysis, change from baseline in BCVA, was averaged over Weeks 104, 108, and 112 (represented by 'Week 104/108/112').

<sup>e</sup> The Year 2 efficacy analysis, change from baseline in BCVA, was averaged over Weeks 92, 96, and 100 (represented by 'Week 92/96/100').

<sup>f</sup> Two enrolled patients were excluded from analysis due to Good Clinical Practice non-compliance.

### **Duration of Exposure**

The faricimab safety population provides data from 2567 patients with 3652 years person-time of exposure in the Phase III program. This population consists of 664 patients with nAMD (1257 years person-time exposure), 1262 patients with DME (2152 years person-time exposure), 276 patients with BRVO (104 years person-time exposure), and 365 patients with central/hemiretinal RVO (C/HRVO) (139 years person-time exposure). The majority of nAMD patients (86.9%) received treatment for > 1.5 years, consistent with the Week 112 time point. The majority of DME patients (87.9%) received treatment for > 1.5 years, consistent with the Week 100 time-point. The majority of BRVO patients (97.1%) and C/HRVO patients (98.1%) received treatment for 3 to <6 months, since no patients were treated beyond 6 months as of the Week 24 time point ([Table 14](#)).

The Phase II nAMD and DME program provides an additional 384 patients exposed to faricimab with 194 years person-time ([Annex 7A.1](#)). All Phase II patients had a duration of exposure less than 1 year (100.0% across both indications).

### **Number of Study Drug Administrations in the Study Eye**

Overall, in the nAMD Phase III faricimab safety population (n=664) during the entire study, the median duration of exposure was 108.1 weeks with an average of 10.6 study drug administrations ([Annex 7A.2](#)). Of the total 664 nAMD faricimab patients, 45.6% (n=303) patients received 11 or more faricimab administrations, with <9% receiving 15 or more injections. Twenty-three patients (3.5%) received the maximum number of 16 injections ([Table 15](#)).

Overall, in the DME Phase III faricimab safety population (n=1262) during the entire study, the median duration of exposure was 96.1 weeks with an average of 12.7 faricimab administrations ([Annex 7A.3](#)). Of the total 1262 DME faricimab patients, 40.2% (n=507) received 15 or more faricimab administrations, with <5% receiving 18 or more injections. Twelve patients (1.0%) received the maximum number of 25 administrations ([Table 15](#)).

Overall, in the BRVO Phase III faricimab safety population (n=276) before the Week 24 visit, the median duration of exposure was 20.1 weeks with an average of 5.8 faricimab administrations ([Annex 7A.4](#)). Of the total 276 BRVO patients, 85.9% (n=237) received the maximum number of 6 administrations ([Table 15](#)). Overall, in the C/HRVO Phase III faricimab safety population (n=365) before the Week 24 visit, the median duration of exposure was 20.1 weeks with an average of 5.7 faricimab administrations ([Annex 7A.4](#)). Of the total 365 C/HRVO patients, 83.0% (n=303) received the maximum number of 6 administrations ([Table 15](#)).

The total number of faricimab injections was 26,701 across the Phase III program: 7,022 injections in nAMD patients, 15,990 injections in DME patients ([Annex 7A.4](#)), 1591 injections in BRVO, and 2098 injections in C/HRVO patients ([Annex 7A.5](#)).

Most patients (90.6%, n=348) in the Phase II program received six faricimab injections ([Annex 7A.6](#)). There were a total of 1,958 faricimab 6 mg injections and 696 faricimab 1.5 mg injections across both indications ([Annex 7A.7](#)).

### **Exposure by Age Group and Gender**

In the overall faricimab safety population from the Phase III program, 1379 patients were male and 1188 patients were female [Table 16](#). Male patients had 1957 patient-years of exposure versus 1695 patient-years in female patients. In the pooled population, the highest proportion of males were in the <65 years age group (49.7%), and the highest proportion of females in the 65 to <75 years age group (37.6%).

The majority of faricimab patients with nAMD were female, and the highest proportion of patients of each gender were in the 75 to <85 years age group ([Table 16](#)). In the faricimab DME group, the majority of patients were male, and the majority of patients of both genders were in the <65 years age group ([Table 16](#)).

In the BRVO group, there was a comparable number of male and female patients, with the highest proportion of male patients in the <65 years age group and the highest proportion of female patients in the 65 to <75 years age group. In the C/HRVO group, there was a comparable number of male and female patients, and the highest proportion of patients of each gender were in the <65 years age group ([Table 16](#)).

The Phase II program provides exposure from 233 female and 151 male patients, with the highest proportion in the 75 to <85 years age group ([Annex 7A.8](#)).

### **Exposure by Faricimab Dose**

In the Phase III studies, there were a total of 664 patients with nAMD (1257 years person-time exposure), 1262 patients with DME (2152 years person-time exposure), 276 patients with BRVO (104 years person-time exposure), and 365 patients with CRVO (139 years person-time exposure), all receiving faricimab 6 mg ([Annex 7A.9](#)).

The Phase II program provides an additional 384 patients exposed to faricimab with 194 years person-time. The Phase II program included two doses of faricimab (1.5 mg and 6 mg). Most patients (73.7%, n=283) received 6 mg faricimab, and 26.3% (n=101) received 1.5 mg faricimab ([Annex 7A.10](#)).

### **Exposure by Race**

In the overall safety population from the Phase III program, the majority (76.8%) of faricimab patients were White (1972 patients, 2940 patient-years of exposure), which was consistent across nAMD (87.3%, 580 patients, 1098 patient-years of exposure), DME (77.5%, 978 patients, 1685 patient-years of exposure), BRVO (62.3%, 172 patients, 65 patient-years of exposure), and C/HRVO (66.3%, 242 patients, 92 patient-years of exposure) ([Table 17](#)).

Patients in the Phase II program were also mostly White (90.4%, n=347) in the pooled population ([Annex 7A.11](#)).

### **Exposure by Ethnicity**

The ethnicity of 82.7% of the overall faricimab Phase III safety population was Not Hispanic or Latino (2124 patients, 3070 patient-years of exposure) ([Table 18](#)). This was consistent across nAMD (89.0%, 591 patients, 1112 patient-years of exposure), DME (81.1%, 1024 patients, 1765 patient-years of exposure), BRVO (81.2%, 224 patients, 84 patient-years of exposure), and C/HRVO (78.1%, 285 patients, 109 patient-years of exposure).

The Phase II program was consistent with the Phase III population, with 90.6% (n=348) patients that were Not Hispanic or Latino ([Annex 7A.12](#)).

**Table 14 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	nAMD (N=664)		DME (N=1262)		BRVO (N=276)	
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=664)		Faricimab 6 mg All (N=1262)		Faricimab 6 mg All (N=276)	
Duration of exposure	Patients	Person time(years)*	Patients	Person time(years)*	Patients	Person time(years)*
< 1 month	6 ( 0.9%)	0	8 ( 0.6%)	0	4 ( 1.4%)	0
1 to <3 months	5 ( 0.8%)	1	19 ( 1.5%)	3	4 ( 1.4%)	1
3 to <6 months	12 ( 1.8%)	4	28 ( 2.2%)	11	268 (97.1%)	103
6 to <9 months	14 ( 2.1%)	9	22 ( 1.7%)	14	0	NE
9 to <1 year	20 ( 3.0%)	17	25 ( 2.0%)	22	0	NE
1 to <1.5 years	30 ( 4.5%)	36	51 ( 4.0%)	64	0	NE
>1.5 years	577 (86.9%)	1189	1109 (87.9%)	2038	0	NE
Total patients	664 ( 100%)	1257	1262 ( 100%)	2152	276 ( 100%)	104
numbers/person time						

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).  
Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).  
NE = Not Evaluable.  
nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:15

Page 1 of 2



**Table 14 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

Duration of exposure	C/HRVO (N=365)		Combined Indications (N=2567)	
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=365)		Faricimab 6 mg All (N=2567)	
	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*
< 1 month	1 ( 0.3%)	0	19 ( 0.7%)	1
1 to <3 months	6 ( 1.6%)	1	34 ( 1.3%)	6
3 to <6 months	358 (98.1%)	138	666 (25.9%)	257
6 to <9 months	0	NE	36 ( 1.4%)	22
9 to <1 year	0	NE	45 ( 1.8%)	39
1 to <1.5 years	0	NE	81 ( 3.2%)	100
>1.5 years	0	NE	1686 (65.7%)	3227
Total patients	365 ( 100%)	139	2567 ( 100%)	3652
numbers/person time				

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).  
Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).  
NE = Not Evaluable.  
nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:15

Page 2 of 2

**Table 15 Number of Study Drug Administrations in the Study Eye through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	nAMD (N=664)	DME (N=1262)	BRVO (N=276)	C/HRVO (N=365)	Combined Indications (N=2567)
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=664)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=1262)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=276)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=365)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=2567)
1 or more study drug administration					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	664 ( 100%)	1262 ( 100%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2567 ( 100%)
No	0	0	0	0	0
2 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	661 (99.5%)	1257 (99.6%)	274 (99.3%)	363 (99.5%)	2555 (99.5%)
No	3 ( 0.5%)	5 ( 0.4%)	2 ( 0.7%)	2 ( 0.5%)	12 ( 0.5%)
3 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	657 (98.9%)	1250 (99.0%)	272 (98.6%)	362 (99.2%)	2541 (99.0%)
No	7 ( 1.1%)	12 ( 1.0%)	4 ( 1.4%)	3 ( 0.8%)	26 ( 1.0%)
4 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	653 (98.3%)	1242 (98.4%)	270 (97.8%)	358 (98.1%)	2523 (98.3%)
No	11 ( 1.7%)	20 ( 1.6%)	6 ( 2.2%)	7 ( 1.9%)	44 ( 1.7%)
5 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	641 (96.5%)	1227 (97.2%)	262 (94.9%)	347 (95.1%)	2477 (96.5%)
No	23 ( 3.5%)	35 ( 2.8%)	14 ( 5.1%)	18 ( 4.9%)	90 ( 3.5%)
6 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	627 (94.4%)	1213 (96.1%)	237 (85.9%)	303 (83.0%)	2380 (92.7%)
No	37 ( 5.6%)	49 ( 3.9%)	39 (14.1%)	62 (17.0%)	187 ( 7.3%)
7 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	603 (90.8%)	1196 (94.8%)	0	0	1799 (70.1%)
No	61 ( 9.2%)	66 ( 5.2%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	768 (29.9%)

Percentages are based on the N in the column headings. For BRVO and C/HRVO, the maximum number of injection is 6 at week 24 cut off.  
nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_admin.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_admin\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:15

**Table 15 Number of Study Drug Administrations in the Study Eye through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	nAMD (N=664)	DME (N=1262)	BRVO (N=276)	C/HRVO (N=365)	Combined Indications (N=2567)
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=664)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=1262)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=276)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=365)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=2567)
8 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	587 (88.4%)	1175 (93.1%)	0	0	1762 (68.6%)
No	77 (11.6%)	87 ( 6.9%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	805 (31.4%)
9 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	563 (84.8%)	1145 (90.7%)	0	0	1708 (66.5%)
No	101 (15.2%)	117 ( 9.3%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	859 (33.5%)
10 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	516 (77.7%)	1073 (85.0%)	0	0	1589 (61.9%)
No	148 (22.3%)	189 (15.0%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	978 (38.1%)
11 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	303 (45.6%)	874 (69.3%)	0	0	1177 (45.9%)
No	361 (54.4%)	388 (30.7%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	1390 (54.1%)
12 or more study drug administration					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	211 (31.8%)	788 (62.4%)	0	0	999 (38.9%)
No	453 (68.2%)	474 (37.6%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	1568 (61.1%)
13 or more study drug administration					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	160 (24.1%)	726 (57.5%)	0	0	886 (34.5%)
No	504 (75.9%)	536 (42.5%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	1681 (65.5%)

Percentages are based on the N in the column headings. For BRVO and C/HRVO, the maximum number of injection is 6 at week 24 cut off.  
nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_admin.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_admin\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:15

Page 2 of 4

**Table 15 Number of Study Drug Administrations in the Study Eye through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	nAMD (N=664)	DME (N=1262)	BRVO (N=276)	C/HRVO (N=365)	Combined Indications (N=2567)
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=664)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=1262)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=276)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=365)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=2567)
14 or more study drug administration					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	94 (14.2%)	641 (50.8%)	0	0	735 (28.6%)
No	570 (85.8%)	621 (49.2%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	1832 (71.4%)
15 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	59 ( 8.9%)	507 (40.2%)	0	0	566 (22.0%)
No	605 (91.1%)	755 (59.8%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2001 (78.0%)
16 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	23 ( 3.5%)	99 ( 7.8%)	0	0	122 ( 4.8%)
No	641 (96.5%)	1163 (92.2%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2445 (95.2%)
17 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	0	74 ( 5.9%)	0	0	74 ( 2.9%)
No	664 ( 100%)	1188 (94.1%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2493 (97.1%)
18 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	0	57 ( 4.5%)	0	0	57 ( 2.2%)
No	664 ( 100%)	1205 (95.5%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2510 (97.8%)
19 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	0	46 ( 3.6%)	0	0	46 ( 1.8%)
No	664 ( 100%)	1216 (96.4%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2521 (98.2%)
20 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	0	40 ( 3.2%)	0	0	40 ( 1.6%)
No	664 ( 100%)	1222 (96.8%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2527 (98.4%)

Percentages are based on the N in the column headings. For BRVO and C/HRVO, the maximum number of injection is 6 at week 24 cut off.  
nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_admin.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_admin\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:15

Page 3 of 4

**Table 15 Number of Study Drug Administrations in the Study Eye through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	nAMD (N=664)	DME (N=1262)	BRVO (N=276)	C/HRVO (N=365)	Combined Indications (N=2567)
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=664)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=1262)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=276)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=365)	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=2567)
21 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	0	28 ( 2.2%)	0	0	28 ( 1.1%)
No	664 ( 100%)	1234 (97.8%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2539 (98.9%)
22 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	0	23 ( 1.8%)	0	0	23 ( 0.9%)
No	664 ( 100%)	1239 (98.2%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2544 (99.1%)
23 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	0	19 ( 1.5%)	0	0	19 ( 0.7%)
No	664 ( 100%)	1243 (98.5%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2548 (99.3%)
24 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	0	16 ( 1.3%)	0	0	16 ( 0.6%)
No	664 ( 100%)	1246 (98.7%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2551 (99.4%)
25 or more study drug administrations					
n	664	1262	276	365	2567
Yes	0	12 ( 1.0%)	0	0	12 ( 0.5%)
No	664 ( 100%)	1250 (99.0%)	276 ( 100%)	365 ( 100%)	2555 (99.5%)

Percentages are based on the N in the column headings. For BRVO and C/HRVO, the maximum number of injection is 6 at week 24 cut off.  
nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_admin.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_admin\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:15

Page 4 of 4

**Table 16 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Age Group and Gender, Safety-Evaluable Population**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

nAMD (N=664)						
Faricimab 6 mg All (N=664)						
Age Group (years)	Male		Female		Total	
	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*
<65	33 (12.3%)	65	31 ( 7.8%)	59	64 ( 9.6%)	125
65 to <75	86 (32.0%)	163	136 (34.4%)	267	222 (33.4%)	430
75 to <85	117 (43.5%)	222	171 (43.3%)	327	288 (43.4%)	549
>=85	33 (12.3%)	56	57 (14.4%)	97	90 (13.6%)	154
Total patients numbers/person time	269 ( 100%)	507	395 ( 100%)	750	664 ( 100%)	1257

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).

Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_age.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_age\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:18

Page 1 of 5

**Table 16 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Age Group and Gender, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

DME (N=1262)						
Faricimab 6 mg All (N=1262)						
Age Group (years)	Male		Female		Total	
	Patients	Person time(years)*	Patients	Person time(years)*	Patients	Person time(years)*
<65	477 (61.5%)	821	238 (48.9%)	404	715 (56.7%)	1225
65 to <75	242 (31.2%)	413	198 (40.7%)	336	440 (34.9%)	749
75 to <85	52 ( 6.7%)	84	51 (10.5%)	89	103 ( 8.2%)	172
>=85	4 ( 0.5%)	6	0	NE	4 ( 0.3%)	6
Total patients numbers/person time	775 ( 100%)	1323	487 ( 100%)	828	1262 ( 100%)	2152

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).

Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_age.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_age\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:18

Page 2 of 5

**Table 16 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Age Group and Gender, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

Age Group (years)	BRVO (N=276)					
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=276)					
	Male		Female		Total	
	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*
<65	80 (55.9%)	30	53 (39.8%)	20	133 (48.2%)	50
65 to <75	42 (29.4%)	16	58 (43.6%)	22	100 (36.2%)	38
75 to <85	16 (11.2%)	6	17 (12.8%)	7	33 (12.0%)	13
>=85	5 ( 3.5%)	2	5 ( 3.8%)	2	10 ( 3.6%)	4
Total patients numbers/person time	143 ( 100%)	54	133 ( 100%)	50	276 ( 100%)	104

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).

Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_age.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_age\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:18

Page 3 of 5



**Table 16 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Age Group and Gender, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

Age Group (years)	C/HRVO (N=365)					
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=365)					
	Male		Female		Total	
	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*
<65	95 (49.5%)	36	66 (38.2%)	25	161 (44.1%)	61
65 to <75	58 (30.2%)	22	55 (31.8%)	21	113 (31.0%)	44
75 to <85	30 (15.6%)	12	41 (23.7%)	15	71 (19.5%)	27
>=85	9 ( 4.7%)	4	11 ( 6.4%)	4	20 ( 5.5%)	8
Total patients numbers/person time	192 ( 100%)	73	173 ( 100%)	66	365 ( 100%)	139

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).

Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_age.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_age\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:18

Page 4 of 5

**Table 16 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Age Group and Gender, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

Combined Indications (N=2567)						
Faricimab 6 mg All (N=2567)						
Age Group (years)	Male		Female		Total	
	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*
<65	685 (49.7%)	952	388 (32.7%)	509	1073 (41.8%)	1461
65 to <75	428 (31.0%)	614	447 (37.6%)	646	875 (34.1%)	1260
75 to <85	215 (15.6%)	324	280 (23.6%)	437	495 (19.3%)	761
>=85	51 ( 3.7%)	68	73 ( 6.1%)	104	124 ( 4.8%)	171
Total patients numbers/person time	1379 ( 100%)	1957	1188 ( 100%)	1695	2567 ( 100%)	3652

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).

Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_age.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_age\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:18

Page 5 of 5

**Table 17 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Race, Safety-Evaluable Population**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

Race	nAMD (N=664)		DME (N=1262)		BRVO (N=276)	
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=664)		Faricimab 6 mg All (N=1262)		Faricimab 6 mg All (N=276)	
	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*
American Indian or Alaska Native	2 ( 0.3%)	4	11 ( 0.9%)	18	3 ( 1.1%)	1
Asian	64 ( 9.6%)	122	127 (10.1%)	223	90 (32.6%)	34
Black or African American	2 ( 0.3%)	4	88 ( 7.0%)	145	6 ( 2.2%)	2
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0	NE	4 ( 0.3%)	6	1 ( 0.4%)	0
White	580 (87.3%)	1098	978 (77.5%)	1685	172 (62.3%)	65
Multiple	1 ( 0.2%)	1	4 ( 0.3%)	4	0	NE
Unknown	15 ( 2.3%)	28	50 ( 4.0%)	71	4 ( 1.4%)	2
Total patients numbers/person time	664 ( 100%)	1257	1262 ( 100%)	2152	276 ( 100%)	104

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).

Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_race.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_race\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:19

Page 1 of 2

**Table 17 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Race, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

Race	C/HRVO (N=365)		Combined Indications (N=2567)	
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=365)		Faricimab 6 mg All (N=2567)	
	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*
American Indian or Alaska Native	2 ( 0.5%)	1	18 ( 0.7%)	24
Asian	89 (24.4%)	34	370 (14.4%)	414
Black or African American	10 ( 2.7%)	4	106 ( 4.1%)	155
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0	NE	5 ( 0.2%)	6
White	242 (66.3%)	92	1972 (76.8%)	2940
Multiple	1 ( 0.3%)	0	6 ( 0.2%)	5
Unknown	21 ( 5.8%)	8	90 ( 3.5%)	108
Total patients numbers/person time	365 ( 100%)	139	2567 ( 100%)	3652

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).

Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_race.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_race\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:19

Page 2 of 2

**Table 18 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Ethnicity, Safety-Evaluable Population**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

Ethnicity	nAMD (N=664)		DME (N=1262)		BRVO (N=276)	
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=664)		Faricimab 6 mg All (N=1262)		Faricimab 6 mg All (N=276)	
	Patients	Person time (years) *	Patients	Person time (years) *	Patients	Person time (years) *
Hispanic or Latino	60 ( 9.0%)	119	211 (16.7%)	345	47 (17.0%)	18
Not Hispanic or Latino	591 (89.0%)	1112	1024 (81.1%)	1765	224 (81.2%)	84
Not Stated	6 ( 0.9%)	11	16 ( 1.3%)	26	1 ( 0.4%)	0
Unknown	7 ( 1.1%)	15	11 ( 0.9%)	17	4 ( 1.4%)	2
Total patients numbers/person time	664 ( 100%)	1257	1262 ( 100%)	2152	276 ( 100%)	104

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).  
Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).  
nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp\_ethn.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_ethn\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:18

Page 1 of 2

**Table 18 Overall Extent of Exposure through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) by Ethnicity, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

Duration of exposure	C/HRVO (N=365)		Combined Indications (N=2567)	
	Faricimab 6 mg All (N=365)		Faricimab 6 mg All (N=2567)	
	Patients	Person time (years)*	Patients	Person time (years)*
< 1 month	1 ( 0.3%)	0	19 ( 0.7%)	1
1 to <3 months	6 ( 1.6%)	1	34 ( 1.3%)	6
3 to <6 months	358 (98.1%)	138	666 (25.9%)	257
6 to <9 months	0	NE	36 ( 1.4%)	22
9 to <1 year	0	NE	45 ( 1.8%)	39
1 to <1.5 years	0	NE	81 ( 3.2%)	100
>1.5 years	0	NE	1686 (65.7%)	3227
Total patients numbers/person time	365 ( 100%)	139	2567 ( 100%)	3652

\* Person time is the sum of exposure across all patients in unit: years (days/365.25).  
Duration of treatment is defined as the time from first study treatment to treatment end date (as defined in the individual study).  
NE = Not Evaluable.  
nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_ex\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_ex\_rmp\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:15

Page 2 of 2

## **PART II: MODULE SIV — POPULATIONS NOT STUDIED IN CLINICAL TRIALS**

### **SIV.1 EXCLUSION CRITERIA IN PIVOTAML CLINICAL STUDIES WITHIN THE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM**

Key exclusion criteria in pivotal clinical studies within the development program are presented in [Table 19](#).

**Table 19 Important Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Studies in the Development Program**

	Criterion	Reason for Exclusion	Is it to be included as missing information? (Yes/No)	Rationale (if not included as missing information)
<b>Ocular</b>				
<b>All indications</b>	Active ocular inflammation or suspected or active ocular or periocular infection in either eye on Day 1	Active inflammation or infection can predispose and/or result in serious intraocular complications resulting in vision loss after an intravitreal injection. Reason for exclusion was to minimize the possibility of infectious or inflammatory complications; inability to administer study treatment for a prolonged period; impacting possibility to interpret study results in an unbiased way.	No	A contraindication in patients with active intraocular inflammation is included in the SmPC. A contraindication in patients with active or suspected ocular or periocular infection is included in the SmPC.
	CNV due to causes other than AMD, such as ocular histoplasmosis, trauma, pathological myopia, angioid streaks, choroidal rupture, or uveitis	The current studies aim to characterize the safety and efficacy profile of faricimab in patients with nAMD, not in patients with retinal and/or CNV due to other causes for which the efficacy may differ.	No	Faricimab is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with nAMD, and thus should not be administered in patients with CNV due to other causes.
	RPE tear involving the macula on Day 1	RPE tears are a complication that patients with nAMD may develop and may limit visual potential for improvement. Patients with RPE tear were not included as it may confound the efficacy and safety profile of faricimab.	No	The exclusion criterion was selected in order to avoid any potential efficacy or safety confounders. No change in the safety profile of faricimab is foreseen in this patient population. A caution regarding the initiation of faricimab treatment in patients with factors associated with higher risk of RPE tear is included in the SmPC under special warnings and precautions for use.



**Table 19 Important Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Studies in the Development Program (cont.)**

	Criterion	Reason for Exclusion	Is it to be included as missing information? (Yes/No)	Rationale (if not included as missing information)
<b>Ocular (cont.)</b>				
<b>nAMD (cont.)</b>	Spherical equivalent of refractive error demonstrating more than 8 diopters of myopia	To exclude patients whose CNV may be due to pathologic myopia in order to allow a clear assessment of the efficacy and safety of faricimab in patients with nAMD.	No	Patients with high myopia have a thin sclera and retina and are susceptible for retinal detachments and tears in addition to developing a CNV secondary to pathologic myopia and therefore potentially confounding the safety and efficacy profile for faricimab.
<b>DME and nAMD</b>	Uncontrolled glaucoma	To allow unbiased study data interpretation. Uncontrolled glaucoma could lead to serious complications, loss of vision, and need for intervention.	No	A warning to use with caution in patients with poorly controlled glaucoma and to not inject faricimab if the intraocular pressure is $\geq +30\text{mmHg}$ is included in the SmPC.
<b>DME and RVO</b>	History of retinal detachment or macular hole (Stage 3 or 4)	To allow unbiased study data interpretation. These conditions could seriously and irreversibly impact vision and confound proper evaluation of the safety and efficacy profile of a new pharmacological intervention.	No	Retinal detachment is an identified risk for faricimab intravitreal injections (under Section 4.8 of the SmPC), and patients with a history of retinal detachment or macular hole are at high risk of vision loss and or visual improvement limitations. This risk will be monitored through routine pharmacovigilance activities. A warning to withhold treatment in patients with rhegmatogenous retinal detachment or Stage 3 or 4 macular hole until adequately repaired is included in the SmPC under special warnings and precautions for use.

**Table 19 Important Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Studies in the Development Program (cont.)**

			Is it to be included as missing information? (Yes/No)	Rationale (if not included as missing information)
Criterion		Reason for Exclusion		
<b>Systemic</b>				
<b>All indications</b>	Systemic treatment for suspected or active systemic infection on Day 1	To reduce the possibility of serious complications or death caused by active systemic infection or interference and side effects potentially caused by anti-infective treatment.	No	Precaution in clinical trial setting. It is at the prescriber's discretion to evaluate patients' eligibility for treatment, based on individual case-by-case benefit-risk evaluation.
	Uncontrolled blood pressure (defined as systolic >180 mmHg and/or diastolic >100 mmHg while a patient is at rest) on Day 1	Uncontrolled blood pressure is associated with other events (e.g., stroke, among others). Excluding patients with uncontrolled blood pressure may allow a better characterization of the safety and efficacy profile of faricimab and potentially lead to less dropouts/missed visits that could impact study interpretation.	No	Precaution in clinical trial setting. It is at the prescriber's discretion to evaluate patients' eligibility for treatment, based on individual case-by-case benefit-risk evaluation.
	Stroke (cerebrovascular accident) or MI within 6 months prior to Day 1	To allow for a cleaner assessment of the safety and efficacy profile of faricimab. A previous stroke puts a patient at higher risk of having an additional one. Included to reduce the possibility of serious complications or death caused by stroke, impacting patients' ability to continue in study, thus negatively impacting the possibility of study interpretation.	No	ATE have been reported following intravitreal injection of VEGF inhibitors and are a known class effect related to systemic VEGF inhibition. A warning regarding the potential risk of ATE related to VEGF inhibition is included in the SmPC.
	Known hypersensitivity to faricimab or any component of the faricimab injection	To eliminate the possibility of potentially lethal allergic reactions to any product that may be administered to patients during the study.	No	A contraindication in patients with hypersensitivity to faricimab or any component of the excipients listed in prescribing information is included in the SmPC.

**Table 19 Important Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Studies in the Development Program (cont.)**

Criterion		Reason for Exclusion	Is it to be included as missing information? (Yes/No)	Rationale (if not included as missing information)
<b>Systemic (cont.)</b>				
<b>DME</b>	Administration of systemic pro-angiogenic treatments, such as VEGF-based therapies for the peripheral or coronary ischemia (e.g., limb ischemia or MI) within 3 months or 5 half-lives prior to Day 1	To reduce the possibility of interference with study treatment limiting the possibility to interpret the study results and clearly characterizing safety profile.	No	The exclusion criterion was imposed in order to avoid any potential efficacy or safety confounders and does not justify the restriction of treatment recommendation in this population. It is at the prescriber's discretion to evaluate patients' eligibility for treatment, based on individual case by case benefit-risk evaluation.

AMD = age-related macular degeneration; ATE = arterial thromboembolic events; CNV = choroidal neovascularization; DME = diabetic macular edema; MI = myocardial infarction; nAMD = neovascular age-related macular degeneration; RPE = retinal pigment epithelium; RVO = retinal vein occlusion; SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics; VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor.

#### **SIV.2 LIMITATIONS TO DETECT ADVERSE REACTIONS IN CLINICAL TRIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS**

The clinical trial development program for faricimab was unable to detect adverse drug reactions that are:

- Rare adverse reactions
- Caused by prolonged exposure
- Caused by cumulative exposure
- Have a long latency

#### **SIV.3 LIMITATIONS IN RESPECT TO POPULATIONS TYPICALLY UNDERREPRESENTED IN CLINICAL TRIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS**

##### **Use in Pregnancy and Lactation**

No developmental toxicity, teratogenicity, or effect on weight or structure of the placenta were observed in nonclinical studies in pregnant cynomolgus monkeys treated with faricimab ([Report 1053361](#); [Report 1057630](#); [Report 1093222](#)).

Pregnant women were not eligible for inclusion in the clinical development program of faricimab. Faricimab has an anti-angiogenic mechanism of action and is regarded as potentially teratogenic and embryo-/fetotoxic. As a precautionary measure, there is guidance in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) to warn against the use of faricimab during pregnancy unless the potential benefit outweighs the potential risk to the fetus. Together with the label warning and recognizing that in the DME patient population pregnancy is possible, "Use in pregnancy" is considered Missing Information for faricimab and will be further characterized as data becomes available (see [Part II, SVII.3.2](#) for further information).

**Table 20 Exposure of Special Populations Included or Not in Clinical Trial Development Program**

Type of Special Population	Exposure
Pregnant women	Not included in the clinical development program. Four pregnancy cases were reported during the conduct of the Phase III studies ( <a href="#">Annex 7A.13</a> ), of which two patients were treated with faricimab, both had the outcome "live birth without congenital anomaly" and one was from GR40349 (YOSEMITE) and the other was from GR41987 (RHONE-X).
Breastfeeding women	Not included in the clinical development program
Patients with relevant comorbidities:	
Patients with hepatic impairment	Not included in the clinical development program
Patients with renal impairment	In the overall faricimab clinical development program, 63% of faricimab treated patients with available serum creatinine measurements had renal impairment (mild 38%, moderate 23%, and severe 2%) ( <a href="#">Report 1120410</a> ).
Patients with cardiovascular impairment	Not included in the clinical development program
Immunocompromised patients	Not included in the clinical development program
Patients with a disease severity different from inclusion criteria in clinical trials	Not included in the clinical development program
Population with relevant different ethnic origin	Clinical trial exposure data by racial origin are provided in <a href="#">Table 17</a> . Clinical trial exposure data by ethnicity are provided in <a href="#">Table 18</a> .
Subpopulations carrying relevant genetic polymorphisms	Not included in the clinical development program
Other	Not applicable

## **PART II: MODULE SV — POST-AUTHORIZATION EXPERIENCE**

### **SV.1 POST-AUTHORIZATION EXPOSURE**

#### **SV.1.1 Method Used to Calculate Exposure**

Faricimab estimates of exposure have been historically based on manufacturing and sales data. The cornerstone information is the quantity sold worldwide per year.

#### **Methodology for Patient Exposure in the European Economic Area (EEA) and Rest of World (RoW)**

The estimated number of patients exposed to faricimab was based on the volume of vials sold and an estimation of the total amount administered per patient. The volume sold by Roche is sourced from Roche supply chain and financial systems (Controlling Profitability Analysis [COPA]). The sales data are provided on a monthly basis;

therefore, the exposure is available from the International Birth Date (IBD: 28 January 2022) to 31 January 2024 (the nearest month end point to DLP of the latest Periodic Benefit–Risk Evaluation Report).

New patient exposure is calculated at the monthly level by dividing the number of vials remaining after being administered to maintenance patients with the vials per patient per injection (1 vial for unilateral and 2 vials for bilateral patients) for each dosage regimen i.e., Q4W, Q8W, every 12 weeks (Q12W), every 16 weeks (Q16W), and every 20 weeks (Q12W)+. Maintenance patients is calculated by applying the monthly persistence rate, derived from United Kingdom (UK) real-world data (RWD) to the previous month's patients. The patient counts are estimated for each category (Q4W, Q8W, Q12W, Q16W and Q20W+) separately and then aggregated.

The number of existing patients is the sum of all new and maintenance patients. Interval patient exposure is calculated by taking the sum of new patients in the interval. The cumulative exposure is calculated as the summation of the patient exposure of the previous cumulative exposure and the current interval exposure.

The indication, age and gender splits are derived from the data shared by the Genentech team where the "Other" category contains all the indications except AMD and DME. These proportions are calculated using data from the Verana database.

Please note that the methodology described above has been implemented starting from the second PBRER ([PBRER 1123653](#)) onwards to ensure more accurate and robust patient exposure numbers. The initial PBRER ([PBRER 1120522](#)) relied more on simplified estimation methods using volume sales and average dosing for the respective indications.

### **Methodology for Patient Exposure in the United States**

The estimated number of patients is derived using the inventory management program OUTs (total vials out of the inventory) data informed by Verana's detail on NBRx (New Prescriptions)". The monthly ratio of New vs. Continued obtained from Verana (secondary data source) is applied on to the OUTs to get the estimate. Excluding AMD, DME, and RVO indications, all remaining indications are classified under the "Others" bucket. RVO is a new indication approved in October 2023 and consequently added in this PBRER. The restatements and returns in the OUT data, that are due to the dynamic nature of Verana database, may lead to variations in the calculated post-authorization exposure in the US. Therefore, the post-authorization cumulative exposure data presented in the previous PBRER ([PBRER 1123653](#)) plus the post-authorization interval exposure data for the latest PBRER ([PBRER 1128811](#)) might not add up to the postauthorization cumulative exposure data presented in the latest PBRER ([PBRER 1128811](#)).

## **Methodology for Patient Exposure in Japan**

The estimated number of patients exposed to faricimab was calculated based on the volume of vials sold. The treatment continuation rate and dosing frequency in nAMD and DME were calculated based on previous receipt data of other anti-VEGF drugs, and the number of newly acquired patients per month was calculated by applying these factors to the number of the vials supplied per month. In addition, Japan now has the patient exposure at gender and age granularity. Hence, the numbers, which were previously reported in unknown, have been moved to their respective categories.

### **SV.1.2 Exposure**

Since the IBD until the nearest month end point to the DLP 27 January 2024, an estimated cumulative total of 439,172 patients have received faricimab from marketing experience; see [Annex 7E](#) for further details.

## **PART II: MODULE SVI — ADDITIONAL E.U. REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SAFETY SPECIFICATION**

### **POTENTIAL FOR MISUSE FOR ILLEGAL PURPOSES**

Drugs that have a potential for misuse for illegal purposes are expected to share general characteristics such as psychoactive, stimulant, or sedative effects, or less commonly, anabolic effects or enhancement of hemoglobin levels. It is unlikely that faricimab will be misused for illegal purposes.

## **PART II: MODULE SVII — IDENTIFIED AND POTENTIAL RISKS**

### **SVII.1 IDENTIFICATION OF SAFETY CONCERNS IN THE INITIAL RMP SUBMISSION**

The information presented in [Modules SVII.1.1](#) and [SVII.1.2](#) is only applicable at the time of the initial marketing authorization application with data during the entire study period of Phase II DME and nAMD studies (i.e., BOULEVARD, AVENUE, STAIRWAY) and Phase III DME studies (i.e., YOSEMITE, RHINE), as well as data through Week 48 of Phase III nAMD studies (i.e., TENAYA, LUCERNE).

#### **SVII.1.1 Risks Not Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP**

**Reason for NOT including an identified or potential risk in the list of safety concerns in the RMP:**

**Known risks that required no further characterization and are followed up via routine pharmacovigilance and for which the risk-minimization messages in the Product Information are adhered to by prescribers:**

#### **Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment/ retinal tear**

Intravitreal injection through the retina instead of the pars plana creates the risk of causing an iatrogenic retinal hole, which is the cause of most retinal tears/detachments associated with intravitreal injections. A rhegmatogenous retinal detachment occurs when a break (tear or hole) in the retina leads to fluid passage and accumulation and separation of the neurosensory retina from the underlying retinal pigment epithelium. Vitreoretinal traction is responsible for most of the rhegmatogenous retinal detachments ([Sultan et al. 2020](#)). In some eyes, strong vitreoretinal adhesions are present, and the occurrence of a posterior vitreous detachment can lead to the formation of a retinal tear. The liquefied vitreous can then seep through the tear and under the retina, leading to a retinal detachment.

In the Phase III studies with nAMD (i.e., TENAYA and LUCERNE) through Week 48, there were no events of retinal detachment / retinal tear reported in the faricimab-treated patients. In the Phase III DME studies (i.e., YOSEMITE and RHINE) during the entire study, 0.3% of faricimab-treated patients (n4) experienced at least one event of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment / retinal tear. Two events of retinal tear were reported as mild, one as moderate and one event of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment as severe in intensity. All four events were



considered serious and were resolved with treatment. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment was treated with pars plana vitrectomy, and three retinal tears were treated with laser.

Overall, low incidence of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment and retinal tear was observed in faricimab clinical trials and was manageable with standard treatment. Risk of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment and retinal tear is a known risk associated with approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies. Based on data available to date from the faricimab clinical development program, the risk of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment and retinal tear is shown to be consistent with approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies and considered sufficiently characterized. This risk is considered to be adequately addressed within the Warnings and Precautions of the product labelling and will be monitored via routine pharmacovigilance (PV) activities.

#### Retinal Pigment Epithelial Tear (nAMD only)

Retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) tear can be part of the natural course of nAMD or can occur as a complication following anti-VEGF intravitreal injections. RPE tears most commonly occur in nAMD eyes with a pigment epithelial detachment (PED), but the exact mechanism of RPE tear formation is unknown. Various hypotheses have been proposed for tear formation following PEDs that include an increase in hydrostatic pressure of serous fluid that collects under the retinal pigment epithelium (i.e., within the PED) that ultimately results in a tear to the retinal pigment epithelium ([Gass 1984](#)). Important risk factors for RPE tear are the type of PED (vascularized PED), increased PED height (reports suggest that the larger the PED, the greater the risk of RPE tear development), increased surface area, and large basal diameter of PED and choroidal neovascularization lesion type ([Chan et al. 2010](#); [Doguizi and Ozdek 2014](#); [Saraf et al. 2014](#)).

In the Phase III faricimab safety population with nAMD (i.e., TENAYA and LUCERNE) through Week 48, 2.9% of patients (n=19) experienced at least one event of RPE tear. Most events were non-serious and not severe. Non-serious AEs had minimal impact on long-term visual outcomes, with patients in general maintaining visual acuity levels similar to those prior to the AE in the majority of cases. Four patients (0.6%) experienced serious events, of which one patient sustained vision loss of  $\geq 30$  letters and two patients sustained vision loss of  $\geq 15$  letters up to Week 48 (primary analysis endpoint time).

RPE tear most commonly occurs in nAMD eyes with a PED (i.e., confounded by an underlying condition). Monitoring of risk factors and predictors defined by retinal imaging in high-risk patients can contribute to the prevention of RPE tears. The risk factors are adequately described within the Warnings and Precautions of the product labelling. There are no additional risk minimization measures proposed for this risk. Risk of RPE tear is a known risk associated with approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies. Based on the data available to date from the faricimab clinical development program, the risk is shown to be consistent with approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies and considered sufficiently characterized and will be monitored via routine PV activities.

**Risks with clinical consequences, even serious, but occurring with a low frequency and considered to be acceptable in relation to the severity of the indication treated:**

Traumatic cataract

Intravitreal injections have been associated with traumatic cataract. The potential risk of traumatic cataract with faricimab treatment is based on the observed association of traumatic cataract with the intravitreal injection administration of anti-VEGF monotherapy agents. During the intravitreal injection, any direct trauma to the lens by the needle touching the lens could result in traumatic cataract. However, no case of traumatic cataract in the study eye was reported in the faricimab treatment arms of the completed Phase II studies and Phase III studies (i.e., up to Week 48 for nAMD studies [TENAYA and LUCERNE] and during the entire study for DME studies [YOSEMITE and RHINE]). Therefore, traumatic cataract will remain as a potential risk not important for inclusion in the RMP and will be monitored via routine PV activities.

**Known risks that do not impact the risk-benefit profile:**

Transient post intravitreal injection-related intraocular pressure (IOP) increases

Transient IOP increase is attributed to an increase in vitreous volume after faricimab injection. Increases in IOP have been observed while being treated with repeated intravitreal injections of anti-VEGF monotherapy agents.

In the Phase III studies of faricimab in nAMD and DME, transient increases in IOP have been observed within 30±15 minutes of injection. IOP-increased AEs in the study eye were observed in 17 patients (2.6%) in the faricimab arms of the nAMD Phase III studies through Week 48 and in 53 patients (4.2%) in the faricimab arms of the DME Phase III studies during the entire study.

These AEs of IOP increase were mostly non-serious and self-limiting or managed with standard of care. There were two serious events of IOP increased reported (one each in the nAMD and DME studies); one was reported as secondary to herpetic uveitis and second was considered related to procedure. Both events resolved with treatment.

In addition, there were no clinically meaningful differences in the mean change from pre-dose to post-dose IOP across the treatment arms, and there was no observable increase in pre-dose IOP from baseline over time.

Based on available data, transient post intravitreal injection-related IOP increases are not expected to impact the benefit-risk profile of faricimab; the risk is not considered important for inclusion in the RMP, and it will be addressed within the Warnings and Precautions of the product labelling. In addition, risk of transient IOP increase is considered sufficiently characterized and monitored via routine PV activities.

## Immunogenicity

Potential risk factors which may contribute to an induction of a humoral immune response (ADA response) to the administered drug in patients include patient- and disease-specific factors (e.g., disease state, age, concomitant medications), trial design specific factors (e.g., dose level and frequency, duration and route of administration), and drug product specific factors (e.g., protein sequence and structure, formulation, aggregation and protein modifications, contaminants and impurities). Consequences for ADA may be, but are not limited to, immune-mediated AEs or AEs related to immune complex formation, decrease of efficacy, and alteration of pharmacokinetics. These risk factors were taken into consideration in assessing the likelihood of an immune response to faricimab. This information, in addition to the potential clinical consequences of an immune response, including how severe the consequences of an immune response might be, were considered in assessing the immunogenicity risk of faricimab in the nAMD and DME patient populations.

The risk of immunogenicity from faricimab was low, with an incidence of ADA induction/boosting across all Phase III studies of approximately 10% (nAMD: 10.4%; DME: 9.6%). Incidence rate of IOI was low and not more than 2% in the nAMD and DME Phase III studies. Although a higher incidence of IOI was observed in ADA-positive patients (nAMD: 5/75 [6.7%]; DME: 15/128 [11.7%]) compared with ADA-negative patients (nAMD: 7/582 [1.2%]; DME: 5/1124 [0.4%]), this observation is not currently considered to be clinically relevant. Based on the low incidence of immunogenicity, the low incidence of IOI for which the majority of the events were of mild to moderate severity and had a reversible character. Patients receiving faricimab in clinical trials will continue to be monitored for signs and symptoms that might be suggestive of immunogenicity.

IOI events are included in this RMP as important identified risks. In addition, a patient/carer education guide will be provided to facilitate awareness regarding the presenting signs and symptoms of these adverse reactions so that they can promptly inform the treating physician to ensure appropriate intervention and treatment as needed. The impact of ADA on safety, especially the incidence and severity of IOI events, will continue to be monitored via routine PV in all ongoing Phase III faricimab studies.

### **SVII.1.2 Risks Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP**

#### **Important Identified Risk of Infectious Endophthalmitis**

Risk-benefit impact:

In total, seven infectious endophthalmitis events have been reported in faricimab-treated patients in the clinical development program. The frequency of infectious endophthalmitis in the Phase III studies (GR40306 TENAYA, GR40844 LUCERNE,

GR40349 YOSEMITE, and GR40398 RHINE) was 6 events (0.3%). In the Phase II studies, no events were reported in the faricimab 6 mg arms, and the frequency of events was 1.0% (1 event) in the pooled (nAMD and DME) faricimab 1.5 mg arm. The event rate per-1000 injections in the Phase III studies was 0.3. Four events were reported as severe and three as moderate in intensity, and all events were considered serious. All but two events were resolved, one event was resolving, and the remaining event had not resolved. All except one patient in general achieved visual acuity levels similar to those prior to the AE; the remaining patient had early termination following event onset, visual acuity was improving at the time of last visit.

Infectious endophthalmitis usually presents with sudden onset of decreased vision and severe eye pain. It can result in variable degree of visual loss, including some cases reporting total loss of vision and no light perception. It requires prompt intervention to reduce risk of vision loss and maximize recovery potential. Considering the severity and seriousness of these events, it represents an important risk for faricimab. Although the observed events in faricimab-treated patients were serious, they were generally manageable with antibiotic and steroid treatment with or without vitrectomy, and the overall frequency of infectious endophthalmitis events reported in the faricimab clinical development program was low. Therefore, the impact of infectious endophthalmitis on the benefit-risk balance of faricimab is considered low. Appropriate labelling and patient/carer educational materials as a risk minimization activity increases the likelihood of an early diagnosis followed by appropriate treatment, further reducing the impact of infectious endophthalmitis on the benefit-risk balance of the product.

### **Important Identified Risk of Intraocular Inflammation**

Risk-benefit impact:

IOI, including the wide selection of preferred terms of anterior chamber inflammation, chorioretinitis, iridocyclitis, iritis, keratic precipitates, keratouveitis, uveitis, and vitritis, were reported in 1.7% (n=33) of patients treated with faricimab in the Phase III studies. The frequency of IOI in the Phase II studies was 3.0% (n=3) in the faricimab 1.5 mg arms and 1.4% (n=4) in the faricimab 6 mg arms. All except for one event were mild in severity in the Phase II studies. In the Phase III studies, the majority of faricimab-treated patients experienced events that were mild (0.7%, n=13) or moderate (0.7%, n=13) in severity. Mild or moderate IOI events had no long-term impact on patients' visual outcomes. Seven (0.4%) patients experienced severe events, of which five patients had a visual acuity reduction of  $\geq 15$  letters (2 patients) and  $\geq 30$  letters (3 patients). These severe events were managed with treatment for the AE and study drug interruption (2 patients) or discontinuation (5 patients).

IOI can range from a mild inflammation of the eye that may resolve without vision loss to severe with sequelae leading to vision loss. Permanent visual acuity loss of two or more lines has been associated with rapid presentation, severely diminished visual acuity at presentation, the presence of fibrin, and older patient age. Considering the low incidence of severe events, and that the majority of intraocular events observed in

faricimab-treated patients were manageable with standard treatment and that most events resolved, the impact on the benefit-risk balance of faricimab is considered low. Appropriate labelling and the patient/carer educational materials as a risk minimization activity increases the likelihood of an early diagnosis followed by appropriate treatment, further reducing the impact of IOI on the benefit-risk balance of the product.

### **Important Potential Risk of Arterial Thromboembolic Events (ATE) and Central Nervous System Hemorrhagic Events**

*To account for variations in how thrombotic and hemorrhagic events may be reported, CNS hemorrhagic events (hemorrhagic CNS vascular conditions and cerebrovascular accidents) are included with ATE (as a safety concern for faricimab), in line with the Anti-Platelet Trialists' Collaboration (APTC) defined events which include both thrombotic and hemorrhagic events.*

In Phase III studies in nAMD (GR40306 TENAYA and GR40844 LUCERNE) and in DME/DR (GR40349 YOSEMITE and GR40398 RHINE), potential APTC events reported during the study were adjudicated (according to APTC definition) by an independent Clinical Events Committee (CEC) at Cleveland Clinic. The role of the CEC was to adjudicate potential APTC events in a blinded, consistent, and unbiased manner. Events based on external adjudication are presented in [Table 21](#). ATE and CNS hemorrhagic events (adjudicated) were reported in 3.7% (n=71) of patients treated with faricimab in the Phase III studies. Overall, incidence of APTC events in the faricimab arm was low across all four Phase III studies, and consistent with what has been observed with approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies ([Rosenfeld et al. 2006](#); [Brown et al. 2009](#); [Schmidt-Erfurth et al. 2014](#); [Heier et al. 2016](#); [Zarbin et al. 2017](#); [Zarbin et al. 2018](#)).

**Table 21 Adjudicated APTC-Defined Adverse Events**

APTC Event	nAMD TENAYA and LUCERNE (through Week 48) Faricimab (N=664)	DME YOSEMITE and RHINE (during the Entire Study) Faricimab (N=1262)
Vascular or cardiac death or death of unknown cause	2 (0.3%)	31 (2.5%)
Non-fatal myocardial infarction	3 (0.5%)	12 (1.0%)
Non-fatal stroke	2 (0.3%)	21 (1.7%)
Combined APTC events	7 (1.1%)	64 (5.1%)

APTC=Anti-Platelet Trialists' Collaboration; DME=diabetic macular edema;  
nAMD=neovascular age-related macular degeneration.

The frequency of ATE and CNS hemorrhagic events (unadjudicated) in the Phase II studies was 2.0% (n=2) in the faricimab 1.5 mg arms and 3.5% (n=10) in the faricimab 6 mg arms.

Overall, the majority of faricimab-treated patients experienced severe ATE and CNS hemorrhagic events in the Phase III and Phase II trials. While these events have been observed in the faricimab clinical development program, most of the events were assessed as unrelated to the study treatment by the investigators in all treatment arms, or the events were confounded by the patient's concurrent medical history.

It is well known that there is an increased risk of thromboembolic events and non-ocular hemorrhage associated with IV administration of high doses of VEGF-inhibitors used in the treatment of cancer. Cancer itself is also a risk factor for these types of events. (Navi et al. 2019). Yet, there is currently no clear evidence of this class effect leading to an increased incidence of systemic thromboembolic events and non-ocular hemorrhage when much lower intravitreal doses of VEGF-inhibitors are administered in patients with nAMD and DME (Thulliez et al. 2014; Zarbin et al. 2017; Zarbin et al. 2018).

The systemic exposure to faricimab, following unilateral intravitreal administrations of 6 mg faricimab is low (refer to [Part II Module SII](#) for further details) therefore, systemic PD effects including the development of ATEs and non-ocular hemorrhagic events are unlikely, and the risk remains potential.

The safety concern of ATE and CNS hemorrhagic events will be further characterized for long-term use by two ongoing long-term extension studies (AVONELLE-X and RHONE-X). No additional risk minimization is proposed for this risk as healthcare professionals are well aware of the class effect related to systemic VEGF inhibition and guidance is also provided in the faricimab EU SmPC to sufficiently mitigate this risk.

## **Missing Information of Long-term Safety**

Benefit-risk impact:

The current overall extent of exposure to faricimab accounts for a limited number of patients followed-up for a restricted amount of time (beyond 1 year). Currently, there is data available from the Phase III pivotal studies up to Week 48 for nAMD studies (i.e., the time point for primary analysis) and the entire study (through Week 100) for DME studies. Although limited long-term safety data are available, faricimab is intended for long-term use. Thus, long-term safety data is being collected and monitored from the ongoing long-term extension clinical studies, AVONELLE-X (nAMD) and RHONE-X (DME). Refer to [Part III.2](#), [III.3](#) for further details.

## **Missing Information of Use in Pregnancy**

Benefit-risk impact:

No developmental toxicity, teratogenicity, or effect on weight or structure of the placenta were observed in nonclinical studies in pregnant cynomolgus monkeys treated with faricimab ([Report 1053361](#); [Report 1057630](#); [Report 1093222](#)).

Pregnant women were not eligible for inclusion in the clinical development program of faricimab. Faricimab has an anti-angiogenic mechanism of action and is regarded as potentially teratogenic and embryo-/fetotoxic. As a precautionary measure, there is guidance in the SmPC to warn against the use of faricimab during pregnancy unless the potential benefit outweighs the potential risk to the fetus. Together with the label warning and recognizing that in the DME patient population pregnancy is possible, "Use in pregnancy" is considered Missing Information for faricimab and will be further characterized as data becomes available (see [Part II](#), [SVII.3.2](#) for further information).

## **SVII.2 NEW SAFETY CONCERNS AND RECLASSIFICATION WITH A SUBMISSION OF AN UPDATED RMP**

Not applicable.

### **SVII.3 DETAILS OF IMPORTANT IDENTIFIED RISKS, IMPORTANT POTENTIAL RISKS, AND MISSING INFORMATION**

#### **SVII.3.1. Presentation of Important Identified Risks and Important Potential Risks**

##### **SVII.3.1.1 Information on Important Identified Risks**

##### **Infectious Endophthalmitis**

Potential mechanisms:

Improper sterile technique during the administration of the intravitreal injections procedure may lead to intraocular contamination with microorganisms, eventually leading to infectious endophthalmitis ([Avery et al. 2014](#); [Storey et al. 2020](#)).

Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence:

This important identified risk is based on data from the faricimab safety population in the Phase III studies (GR40306 TENAYA, GR40844 LUCERNE, GR40349 YOSEMITE, GR40398 RHINE, GR41984 BALATON, and GR41986 COMINO) and the Phase II studies (BP29647 AVENUE, CR39521 STAIRWAY, and BP30099 BOULEVARD).

The frequency of endophthalmitis reported with approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies is presented in [Table 22](#).



**Table 22 Frequency of Occurrence of Endophthalmitis in other Observational Studies and Clinical Trials**

Event type	nAMD Population (incidence proportion)		DME Population (incidence proportion)		RVO Population (incidence proportion)	
	Observational Studies	Clinical Trials	Observational Studies	Clinical Trials	Observational Studies	Clinical Trials
All events	NR	0.45%–0.93%	NR	0.61%		0.6%
Source	—	<a href="#">Meredith et al. 2015</a> ; <a href="#">Berg et al. 2016</a>	—	<a href="#">Bhavsar et al. 2009</a>	—	<a href="#">Scott et al. 2017</a>
Serious events	0.14%	0.13%–0.85%	0.08%	0.51%–2.0%	—	0.4%–0.9%
Source	<a href="#">Holz et al. 2020</a>	<a href="#">Busbee et al. 2013</a> ; <a href="#">Silva et al. 2013</a> ; <a href="#">Schmidt-Erfurth et al. 2014</a> ; <a href="#">Silva et al. 2018</a> ; <a href="#">Dugel et al. 2021</a>	<a href="#">Ziemssen et al. 2018</a>	<a href="#">Massin et al. 2010</a> ; <a href="#">Brown et al. 2013</a> ; <a href="#">Heier et al. 2016</a>	—	<a href="#">Brown et al. 2011</a> ; <a href="#">Boyer et al. 2012</a> ; <a href="#">Heier et al. 2012b</a>

DME = diabetic macular edema; nAMD = neovascular age-related macular degeneration; NR = not reported; RVO = retinal vein occlusion.

In an observational study that reported per injection rate of endophthalmitis with other anti-VEGF treatments, the rate of endophthalmitis following aflibercept, bevacizumab, and ranibizumab intravitreal injections was 0.100% (136/135,973), 0.056% (268/481,572), and 0.047% (94/201,013), respectively ([Kiss et al. 2018](#)).

#### Characterization of the risk:

Of the 664 faricimab-treated patients from the Phase III studies with nAMD (i.e., TENAYA and LUCERNE), 0.5% of patients (n=3) experienced at least one event of infectious endophthalmitis in the study eye ([Table 23](#)). All three events were considered serious and reported as severe and were also considered resolved by the end of the study.

Of the 1262 faricimab-treated patients from the Phase III population with DME (i.e., YOSEMITE and RHINE), 0.5% of patients (n=6) experienced at least one event of infectious endophthalmitis in the study eye ([Table 23](#)). These events were considered serious. Three events were reported as severe and three were reported as moderate in severity. Of the patients with events (n=6), four patients had events that were considered resolved, one patient had an event that was resolving and one patient had an event that had not resolved during the entire study (through Week 100).

No faricimab-treated patients from the Phase III population with BRVO (i.e., BALATON) and C/HRVO (i.e., COMINO) experienced any infectious endophthalmitis event in the study eye through Week 24 ([Table 23](#)).

The per injection rate of infectious endophthalmitis events was 0.34% ([Annex 7A.5](#)) in the overall faricimab Phase III population, pooled across all indications.

**Table 23 Important Identified Endophthalmitis Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) in the Study Eye, Safety-Evaluable Population**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	nAMD (N=1326)		DME (N=1887)	
	Faricimab (N=664)	Aflibercept (N=662)	Faricimab (N=1262)	Aflibercept (N=625)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE	3 (0.5%)	2 ( 0.3%)	6 ( 0.5%)	1 (0.2%)
95% CI for % of patients with at least one AE	(0.15%, 1.32%)	(0.08%, 1.09%)	(0.22%, 1.03%)	(0.03%, 0.90%)
Difference in % of patients with at least one AE	0.1%		0.3%	
95% CI for difference	(-0.70%, 1.04%)		(-0.47%, 0.89%)	
Total number of AEs	3	2	6	1
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by severity				
Mild	0	0	0	0
Moderate	0	1 ( 0.2%)	3 ( 0.2%)	0
Severe	3 (0.5%)	1 ( 0.2%)	3 ( 0.2%)	1 (0.2%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one serious AE	3 (0.5%)	2 ( 0.3%)	6 ( 0.5%)	1 (0.2%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by outcome				
Fatal	0	0	0	0
Not recovered/Resolved	0	1 (50.0%)	1 (16.7%)	0
Recovering/Resolving	0	0	1 (16.7%)	0
Recovered/Resolved	3 (100%)	1 (50.0%)	4 (66.7%)	0
Resolved with sequelae	0	0	0	1 (100%)
Unknown outcome	0	0	0	0

Investigator text for AEs encoded using MedDRA version 24.1 for nAMD, MedDRA version 24.0 for DME and MedDRA version 25.0 for RVO (BRVO and C/HRVO). Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE", "Number of patients with at least one serious AE", and "Number of patients with at least one AE by severity" are based on the N in the column headings.

Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE by outcome" are based on the N in "Number of patients with at least one AE".

Table summary includes adverse events that started or worsened (for existing condition) on or after the date of the first injection of active study drug.

AE=adverse event; CI=Confidence Interval; 95% CI were computed using the Wilson method. Difference in frequency rates is relative to AFLIBERCEPT and 95% CI of the difference were computed using Newcombe Risk difference. Multiple occurrences of qualifying events in a patient are counted only once at the patient's worst severity.

Faricimab dosing is Faricimab 6MG intravitreal Q4W, Q8W and personalized treatment interval.

Aflibercept dosing is Aflibercept 2 mg Q4W and Q8W.

Endophthalmitis terms = Endophthalmitis, Candida endophthalmitis, Mycotic endophthalmitis, Pseudoendophthalmitis.

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_saf\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_saf\_rmp\_ENDO\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:00

Page 1 of 3

**Table 23 Important Identified Endophthalmitis Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) in the Study Eye, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	BRVO (N=550)		C/HRVO (N=726)	
	Faricimab (N=276)	Aflibercept (N=274)	Faricimab (N=365)	Aflibercept (N=361)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE	0	0	0	1 (0.3%)
95% CI for % of patients with at least one AE	(0.00%, 1.37%)	(0.00%, 1.38%)	(0.00%, 1.04%)	(0.05%, 1.55%)
Difference in % of patients with at least one AE	NE		-0.3%	
95% CI for difference	NE		(-1.55%, 0.79%)	
Total number of AEs	0	0	0	1
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by severity				
Mild	0	0	0	0
Moderate	0	0	0	0
Severe	0	0	0	1 (0.3%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one serious AE	0	0	0	1 (0.3%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by outcome				
Fatal	0	0	0	0
Not recovered/Resolved	0	0	0	0
Recovering/Resolving	0	0	0	0
Recovered/Resolved	0	0	0	0
Resolved with sequelae	0	0	0	1 (100%)
Unknown outcome	0	0	0	0

Investigator text for AEs encoded using MedDRA version 24.1 for nAMD, MedDRA version 24.0 for DME and MedDRA version 25.0 for RVO (BRVO and C/HRVO). Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE", "Number of patients with at least one serious AE", and "Number of patients with at least one AE by severity" are based on the N in the column headings.

Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE by outcome" are based on the N in "Number of patients with at least one AE".

Table summary includes adverse events that started or worsened (for existing condition) on or after the date of the first injection of active study drug.

AE=adverse event; CI=Confidence Interval; 95% CI were computed using the Wilson method. Difference in frequency rates is relative to AFLIBERCEPT and 95% CI of the difference were computed using Newcombe Risk difference. Multiple occurrences of qualifying events in a patient are counted only once at the patient's worst severity.

Faricimab dosing is Faricimab 6MG intravitreal Q4W, Q8W and personalized treatment interval.

Aflibercept dosing is Aflibercept 2 mg Q4W and Q8W.

Endophthalmitis terms = Endophthalmitis, Candida endophthalmitis, Mycotic endophthalmitis, Pseudoendophthalmitis.

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_saf\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_saf\_rmp\_ENDO\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:00

Page 2 of 3

**Table 23 Important Identified Endophthalmitis Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) in the Study Eye, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	Combined Indications (N=4489)	
	Faricimab (N=2567)	Aflibercept (N=1922)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE	9 ( 0.4%)	4 ( 0.2%)
95% CI for % of patients with at least one AE	(0.18%, 0.67%)	(0.08%, 0.53%)
Difference in % of patients with at least one AE	0.1%	
95% CI for difference	(-0.22%, 0.48%)	
Total number of AEs	9	4
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by severity		
Mild	0	0
Moderate	3 ( 0.1%)	1 (<0.1%)
Severe	6 ( 0.2%)	3 ( 0.2%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one serious AE	9 ( 0.4%)	4 ( 0.2%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by outcome		
Fatal	0	0
Not recovered/Resolved	1 (11.1%)	1 (25.0%)
Recovering/Resolving	1 (11.1%)	0
Recovered/Resolved	7 (77.8%)	1 (25.0%)
Resolved with sequelae	0	2 (50.0%)
Unknown outcome	0	0

Investigator text for AEs encoded using MedDRA version 24.1 for nAMD, MedDRA version 24.0 for DME and MedDRA version 25.0 for RVO(BRVO and C/HRVO). Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE", "Number of patients with at least one serious AE", and "Number of patients with at least one AE by severity" are based on the N in the column headings.

Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE by outcome" are based on the N in "Number of patients with at least one AE".

Table summary includes adverse events that started or worsened (for existing condition) on or after the date of the first injection of active study drug.

AE=adverse event; CI=Confidence Interval; 95% CI were computed using the Wilson method. Difference in frequency rates is relative to AFLIBERCEPT and 95% CI of the difference were computed using Newcombe Risk difference. Multiple occurrences of qualifying events in a patient are counted only once at the patient's worst severity.

Faricimab dosing is Faricimab 6MG intravitreal Q4W, Q8W and personalized treatment interval.

Aflibercept dosing is Aflibercept 2 mg Q4W and Q8W.

Endophthalmitis terms = Endophthalmitis, Candida endophthalmitis, Mycotic endophthalmitis, Pseudoendophthalmitis.

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_saf\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_saf\_rmp\_ENDO\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:00

Page 3 of 3

In the Phase II studies in nAMD, one patient (2.2%) receiving 1.5 mg faricimab experienced infectious endophthalmitis in the study eye ([Annex 7B.1](#)). The reported event was a serious AE and evaluated as severe. The event was considered resolved by the end of study.

In the Phase II study in DME (BP30099 BOULEVARD), no faricimab patients (n= 135) experienced endophthalmitis in the study eye.

The per injection rate of endophthalmitis was 0.144% in the pooled faricimab Phase II patients ([Annex 7A.7](#)).

Based on data available to date for the clinical development program, the risk of infectious endophthalmitis has been sufficiently characterized and the frequency of occurrence is shown to be consistent with other approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies.

Risk factors and risk groups:

Patients with ocular or periocular infections or patients with active IOI are at increased risk of endophthalmitis. There is an increased risk of endophthalmitis if the intravitreal injection procedure is not performed under aseptic conditions.

Preventability:

Use of proper aseptic injection technique when administering faricimab is required to minimize the risk of endophthalmitis ([Avery et al. 2014](#); [Storey et al. 2020](#)). Patients with ocular or periocular infection should not receive faricimab. Patients should be monitored following the injection and instructed to promptly report symptoms that may be associated with endophthalmitis. These measures would permit early diagnosis and treatment, should an infection occur, limiting the possibility of long-term sequelae.

Impact on the benefit-risk balance of the product:

Endophthalmitis presents with sudden onset of decreased vision and severe eye pain that leads to urgent visit to an ophthalmologist. Cases may develop with variable degree of visual loss, including some cases reporting total loss of vision and no light perception, which, in time, would lead to phthisis. An aqueous/vitreous sample tap should be performed, and patients treated with standard of care, including intravitreal antibiotic injections (e.g., vancomycin and ceftazidime) with or without ophthalmic or intravitreal steroids. An additional component of treatment which may be performed in some situations is PPV. Full recovery is expected for most cases; however, loss of vision and loss of the eye itself has also been reported with cases of endophthalmitis ([Kresloff et al. 1998](#); [Verma and Chakravarti 2017](#)). Based on the data available to date from the faricimab clinical development program, the reporting rate of infectious endophthalmitis following an intravitreal injection of faricimab is low and reported events

were generally manageable with treatment. The impact of infectious endophthalmitis on the benefit-risk balance of faricimab is considered low.

Public health impact:

Infectious endophthalmitis is expected to be uncommon, with a frequency of  $\geq 1/1,000$  to  $< 1/100$  events.

### **Intraocular Inflammation**

Potential mechanisms:

Several potential mechanisms could explain the development of IOI after the intravitreal injection administration of anti-VEGF agents. Mechanical injury during the invasive injection procedure could elicit a mild intraocular inflammatory response associated with the trauma, which may manifest with anterior chamber cells and flare.

IOI could also develop because of a specific immunogenic response to the administered protein agent ([Baumal et al. 2020](#)) or due to an innate inflammatory reaction caused by the active substance or its excipients ([Cox et al. 2021](#)). There is no current evidence from the published literature or from the postmarketing data to support the occurrence of these events due to immunogenic response in patients treated with the currently approved anti-VEGF agents ranibizumab and aflibercept.

Other causes unrelated to intravitreal injection include autoimmune or other immune-mediated and inflammatory disorders, infections (e.g., herpes zoster), and eye injury or surgery.

Evidence sources and strength of evidence:

This important identified risk is based on data from the faricimab safety population from the Phase III studies (GR40306 TENAYA, GR40844 LUCERNE, GR40349 YOSEMITE, GR40398 RHINE, GR41984 BALATON, and GR41986 COMINO) and the Phase II studies (BP29647 AVENUE, CR39521 STAIRWAY, and BP30099 BOULEVARD).

The frequency of IOI reported with approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies is presented in [Table 24](#).

**Table 24 Frequency of Occurrence of Intraocular Inflammation in Clinical Trials with Intravitreal Anti-VEGF Monotherapies**

Event	nAMD Population (incidence proportion)		DME Population (incidence proportion)		RVO Population (incidence proportion)	
	Clinical Trials (All Events)	Clinical Trials (Serious Events)	Clinical Trials (All Events)	Clinical Trials (Serious Events)	Clinical Trials (All Events)	Clinical Trials (Serious Events)
Intraocular Inflammation (defined per respective study) Source	0.6%–17.1%  Brown et al. 2009; Dugel et al. 2021	NR  —	1%–8%  Wells et al. 2015; Sivaprasad et al. 2017	NR  —	1.0%-1.9%  Brown et al. 2010; Brown et al. 2011; Holz et al. 2013	NR  —
Iridocyclitis  Source	0.6%–2.2% (25, 26) Dugel et al. 2017; Khurana et al. 2020	0.09%  Busbee et al. 2013	NR  —	NR  —	NR  —	NR  —
Iritis  Source	0.27%–1.1%  Busbee et al. 2013; Dugel et al. 2021	NR  —	0.46%–2.0%  Wells et al. 2015	0.2%  Brown et al. 2013	1.5%  Brown et al. 2010	NR  —
Uveitis  Source	0.13%–1.5%  Rosenfeld et al. 2006; Dugel et al. 2021	0.33%–0.71%  Brown et al. 2009; Chakravarthy et al. 2012; Dugel et al. 2021	NR  —	NR  —	1%  Holz et al. 2013	NR  —
Vitritis Source	NR —	0.10% Dugel et al. 2021	NR —	NR —	0.8% Brown et al. 2010	NR —

DME = diabetic macular edema; nAMD = neovascular age-related macular degeneration; NR = not reported; VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor; RVO = retinal vein occlusion.



#### Characterization of the risk:

For the purposes of reporting of IOI, iritis, iridocyclitis, and vitritis are types of uveitis reported based on the anatomical location of inflammation ([Jabs et al. 2005](#)).

In the Phase III faricimab safety population with nAMD (i.e., TENAYA and LUCERNE), 3.0% of patients (n=20) experienced at least one event of IOI in the study eye ([Table 25](#)). Five events (0.8%) were considered serious. By severity, a mild event was experienced by 1.7% (n=11) of patients, moderate in 0.9% (n=6) of patients, and severe in 0.5% (n=3) of patients. Of the patients with IOI events, two (10.0%) patients had at least one event that was considered not recovered/resolved, one (5.0%) patient had at least one event recovering/resolving, and one (5.0%) patient had at least one event resolved with sequelae.

By Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) Preferred Term, 1.2% of patients (n=8) experienced iritis, 0.6% of patients (n=4) experienced uveitis, 0.6% of patients (n=4) experienced vitritis, and 0.3% of patients (n=2) experienced iridocyclitis in the Phase III nAMD population ([Annex 7C.16](#)). The per-1000 injection rate by Preferred Term was 1.28 for iritis, 0.71 for both uveitis and vitritis, and 0.57 for iridocyclitis ([Annex 7C.17](#)).

In the faricimab arms from the Phase III safety population with DME (i.e., YOSEMITE and RHINE), 1.6% of patients (n=20) experienced at least one event of IOI in the study eye ([Table 25](#)). Four patients (0.3%) experienced at least one serious event. By severity, the most severe event was mild in 0.6% (n=7) of patients, moderate in 0.7% (n=9) and severe in 0.3% (n=4). Of the patients with events, one (5.0%) patient had at least one event that was considered not recovered/resolved, two (10.0%) patients had at least one event resolved with sequelae, and six (30.0%) patients had at least one event recovering/resolving.

By MedDRA Preferred Term, 0.6% of patients (n=7) experienced uveitis, 0.4% of patients (n=5) experienced iritis, 0.4% of patients (n=5) experienced iridocyclitis, 0.2% of patients (n=2) experienced post procedural inflammation, and 0.2% of patients (n=2) experienced vitritis in the Phase III DME population ([Annex 7C.18](#)). The per-1000 injection rate by Preferred Term was 0.56 for uveitis, 0.31 for both iritis and iridocyclitis, and 0.13 for both post procedural inflammation and vitritis ([Annex 7C.19](#)).

In the Phase III faricimab safety population with BRVO (i.e., BALATON), there were no IOI events occurring in the study eye (1 patient in the faricimab arm had 1 event of vitreal cells [by MedDRA Preferred Term] reported but the verbatim term was “non-inflammatory vitreous cells”) ([Table 25](#); [Annex 7C.20](#)). In the Phase III faricimab safety population with C/HRVO (i.e., COMINO), 2.2% of patients (n=8) experienced at least one event of IOI in the study eye ([Table 25](#)). Two patients (0.5%) experienced at least one serious event. By severity, a mild event was experienced by 1.6% (n=6) patients and moderate in 0.5% (n=2) of patients. Of the patients with IOI events, only one

patient had at least one event recovering/resolving, while all seven other patients had at least one recovered/resolved event.

By MedDRA Preferred Term, 3 patients (0.8%) experienced vitritis, 2 patients (0.5%) experienced iritis, 2 patients (0.5%) experienced uveitis, and 1 patient (0.3%) experienced iridocyclitis in the Phase III C/HRVO population ([Annex 7C.20](#)). The per-1000 injection rate by Preferred Term was 0.81 for vitritis, 0.54 for both iritis and uveitis, and 0.27 for iridocyclitis ([Annex 7C.21](#)).

In the overall Phase III population pooled across all indications, the rate of IOI events was 0.228% ([Annex 7A.5](#)).

**Table 25 Important Identified Intraocular Inflammation Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) in the Study Eye, Safety-Evaluable Population**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022\_

	nAMD (N=1326)		DME (N=1887)	
	Faricimab (N=664)	Aflibercept (N=662)	Faricimab (N=1262)	Aflibercept (N=625)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE	20 ( 3.0%)	15 ( 2.3%)	20 ( 1.6%)	7 (1.1%)
95% CI for % of patients with at least one AE	(1.96%, 4.61%)	(1.38%, 3.70%)	(1.03%, 2.44%)	(0.54%, 2.29%)
Difference in % of patients with at least one AE	0.7%		0.5%	
95% CI for difference	(-1.04%, 2.57%)		(-0.83%, 1.49%)	
Total number of AEs	26	16	26	10
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by severity				
Mild	11 ( 1.7%)	8 ( 1.2%)	7 ( 0.6%)	5 (0.8%)
Moderate	6 ( 0.9%)	5 ( 0.8%)	9 ( 0.7%)	2 (0.3%)
Severe	3 ( 0.5%)	2 ( 0.3%)	4 ( 0.3%)	0
Number (%) of patients with at least one serious AE	5 ( 0.8%)	3 ( 0.5%)	4 ( 0.3%)	1 (0.2%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by outcome				
Fatal	0	0	0	0
Not recovered/Resolved	2 (10.0%)	2 (13.3%)	1 ( 5.0%)	0
Recovering/Resolving	1 ( 5.0%)	0	6 (30.0%)	0
Recovered/Resolved	17 (85.0%)	13 (86.7%)	14 (70.0%)	7 (100%)
Resolved with sequelae	1 ( 5.0%)	0	2 (10.0%)	0
Unknown outcome	0	0	0	0

Investigator text for AEs encoded using MedDRA version 24.1 for nAMD, MedDRA version 24.0 for DME and MedDRA version 25.0 for RVO (BRVO and C/HRVO). Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE", "Number of patients with at least one serious AE", and "Number of patients with at least one AE by severity" are based on the N in the column headings. Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE by outcome" are based on the N in "Number of patients with at least one AE". Table summary includes adverse events that started or worsened (for existing condition) on or after the date of the first injection of active study drug.

AE=adverse event; CI=Confidence Interval; 95% CI were computed using the Wilson method. Difference in frequency rates is relative to AFLIBERCEPT and 95% CI of the difference were computed using Newcombe Risk difference. Multiple occurrences of qualifying events in a patient are counted only once at the patient's worst severity.

Faricimab dosing is Faricimab 6MG intravitreal Q4W, Q8W and personalized treatment interval. Aflibercept dosing is Aflibercept 2 mg Q4W and Q8W. Intraocular inflammation (IOI) terms = Anterior chamber cell, Anterior chamber flare, Anterior chamber inflammation, Chorioretinitis, Choroiditis, Cyclitis, Eye inflammation, Iridocyclitis, Iritis, Keratic precipitates, Keratouveitis, Noninfective chorioretinitis, Non-infectious Endophthalmitis, Ocular vasculitis, Post procedural inflammation, Retinal occlusive vasculitis, Retinal vasculitis, Haemorrhagic occlusive retinal vasculitis, Uveitis, Vitritis, and Vitreal cells.

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_saf\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_saf\_rmp\_IOI\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:05

Page 1 of 3

**Table 25 Important Identified Intraocular Inflammation Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) in the Study Eye, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	BRVO (N=550)		C/HRVO (N=726)	
	Faricimab (N=276)	Aflibercept (N=274)	Faricimab (N=365)	Aflibercept (N=361)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE	1 (0.4%)	0	8 ( 2.2%)	4 (1.1%)
95% CI for % of patients with at least one AE	(0.06%, 2.02%)	(0.00%, 1.38%)	(1.11%, 4.26%)	(0.43%, 2.81%)
Difference in % of patients with at least one AE	0.4%		1.1%	
95% CI for difference	(-1.05%, 2.02%)		(-0.93%, 3.26%)	
Total number of AEs	1	0	8	4
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by severity				
Mild	1 (0.4%)	0	6 ( 1.6%)	3 (0.8%)
Moderate	0	0	2 ( 0.5%)	1 (0.3%)
Severe	0	0	0	0
Number (%) of patients with at least one serious AE	0	0	2 ( 0.5%)	1 (0.3%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by outcome				
Fatal	0	0	0	0
Not recovered/Resolved	0	0	0	0
Recovering/Resolving	0	0	1 (12.5%)	0
Recovered/Resolved	1 (100%)	0	7 (87.5%)	4 (100%)
Resolved with sequelae	0	0	0	0
Unknown outcome	0	0	0	0

Investigator text for AEs encoded using MedDRA version 24.1 for nAMD, MedDRA version 24.0 for DME and MedDRA version 25.0 for RVO (BRVO and C/HRVO). Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE", "Number of patients with at least one serious AE", and "Number of patients with at least one AE by severity" are based on the N in the column headings. Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE by outcome" are based on the N in "Number of patients with at least one AE". Table summary includes adverse events that started or worsened (for existing condition) on or after the date of the first injection of active study drug.

AE=adverse event; CI=Confidence Interval; 95% CI were computed using the Wilson method. Difference in frequency rates is relative to AFLIBERCEPT and 95% CI of the difference were computed using Newcombe Risk difference. Multiple occurrences of qualifying events in a patient are counted only once at the patient's worst severity.

Faricimab dosing is Faricimab 6MG intravitreal Q4W, Q8W and personalized treatment interval. Aflibercept dosing is Aflibercept 2 mg Q4W and Q8W. Intraocular inflammation (IOI) terms = Anterior chamber cell, Anterior chamber flare, Anterior chamber inflammation, Chorioretinitis, Choroiditis, Cyclitis, Eye inflammation, Iridocyclitis, Iritis, Keratic precipitates, Keratouveitis, Noninfective chorioretinitis, Non-infectious Endophthalmitis, Ocular vasculitis, Post procedural inflammation, Retinal occlusive vasculitis, Retinal vasculitis, Haemorrhagic occlusive retinal vasculitis, Uveitis, Vitritis, and Vitreal cells.

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_saf\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_saf\_rmp\_IOI\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:05

Page 2 of 3

**Table 25 Important Identified Intraocular Inflammation Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME) in the Study Eye, Safety-Evaluable Population (cont)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	Combined Indications (N=4489)	
	Faricimab (N=2567)	Aflibercept (N=1922)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE	49 ( 1.9%)	26 ( 1.4%)
95% CI for % of patients with at least one AE	(1.45%, 2.51%)	(0.92%, 1.97%)
Difference in % of patients with at least one AE	0.6%	
95% CI for difference	(-0.22%, 1.30%)	
Total number of AEs	61	30
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by severity		
Mild	25 ( 1.0%)	16 ( 0.8%)
Moderate	17 ( 0.7%)	8 ( 0.4%)
Severe	7 ( 0.3%)	2 ( 0.1%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one serious AE	11 ( 0.4%)	5 ( 0.3%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by outcome		
Fatal	0	0
Not recovered/Resolved	3 ( 6.1%)	2 ( 7.7%)
Recovering/Resolving	8 (16.3%)	0
Recovered/Resolved	39 (79.6%)	24 (92.3%)
Resolved with sequelae	3 ( 6.1%)	0
Unknown outcome	0	0

Investigator text for AEs encoded using MedDRA version 24.1 for nAMD, MedDRA version 24.0 for DME and MedDRA version 25.0 for RVO (BRVO and C/HRVO). Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE", "Number of patients with at least one serious AE", and "Number of patients with at least one AE by severity" are based on the N in the column headings. Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE by outcome" are based on the N in "Number of patients with at least one AE". Table summary includes adverse events that started or worsened (for existing condition) on or after the date of the first injection of active study drug.

AE=adverse event; CI=Confidence Interval; 95% CI were computed using the Wilson method. Difference in frequency rates is relative to AFLIBERCEPT and 95% CI of the difference were computed using Newcombe Risk difference. Multiple occurrences of qualifying events in a patient are counted only once at the patient's worst severity.

Faricimab dosing is Faricimab 6MG intravitreal Q4W, Q8W and personalized treatment interval. Aflibercept dosing is Aflibercept 2 mg Q4W and Q8W. Intraocular inflammation (IOI) terms = Anterior chamber cell, Anterior chamber flare, Anterior chamber inflammation, Chorioretinitis, Choroiditis, Cyclitis, Eye inflammation, Iridocyclitis, Iritis, Keratic precipitates, Keratouveitis, Noninfective chorioretinitis, Non-infectious Endophthalmitis, Ocular vasculitis, Post procedural inflammation, Retinal occlusive vasculitis, Retinal vasculitis, Haemorrhagic occlusive retinal vasculitis, Uveitis, Vitritis, and Vitreal cells.

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED (nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_saf\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_saf\_rmp\_IOI\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 1:05

Page 3 of 3

In the Phase II studies in nAMD, 6.5% of patients (n=3) receiving 1.5 mg faricimab and 2.0% of patients (n=4) receiving 6 mg faricimab experienced IOI in the study eye ([Annex 7B.2](#)). One event in the 1.5 mg arm was serious. All events were mild except for one reported as severe. The majority of events resolved by end of study.

In the Phase II study in DME (BP30099 BOULEVARD), no faricimab patients (n= 135) experienced IOI events in the study eye.

The rates of IOI events were 0.431% and 0.204% in the 1.5 mg and 6 mg pooled faricimab Phase II patients, respectively ([Annex 7A.7](#)).

Based on data available to date for the clinical development program, the risk of IOI has been sufficiently characterized and the frequency of occurrence is shown to be consistent with other approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies.

#### Post-Marketing Information:

Intraocular inflammation can occur in any part of the eye and is generally categorized per the predominant site of the inflammation using the Standard Uveitis Nomenclature criteria. In very rare cases, IOI presents with concurrent RV or can progress to include RV, which is an inflammation of the blood vessels in the retina involving a cascade of inflammatory processes.

In the clinical trials data described above, there were no observed AEs of RV or ROV. In the post-marketing setting, from the IBD through 29 August 2023, there were 26 spontaneously reported AEs of RV (17 cases) and ROV (9 cases). The majority (23/26) of cases were reported as serious. The impact on patient's vision was not reported in 7/26 cases. In the remaining 19 cases, the impact on vision varied from mild to severe. In 9/19 cases, reporting vision loss, 7 patients reported a vision loss of  $\geq 30$  letters; in one patient, the vision loss was  $\geq 15$  letters, and in one patient, visual acuity was not provided before the event but patient had light perception only.

By the end of August 2023, the cumulative post-marketing exposure of faricimab vials dosed was 1,513,099 vials, with an estimated cumulative patient exposure of 270,936 patients. Based on cumulative post-marketing exposure for faricimab and the number of post-marketing cases for RV or ROV (n=26) identified from the Company's safety database (data cutoff date: 29 August 2023), an estimated reporting rate for combined RV and ROV events is 0.01 per 100 patients and 0.017 per 1000 faricimab intravitreal injections. Separately, the estimated reporting rate for RV is 0.006 per 100 patients and 0.011 per 1000 faricimab intravitreal injections; for ROV is 0.003 per 100 patients and 0.006 per 1000 faricimab intravitreal injections ([DSR 1126314](#)).

#### Risk factors and risk groups:

Patients with ocular or periocular infections or patients with known hypersensitivity to faricimab or any of the excipients are at increased risk of IOI. IOI could develop

because of a specific immunogenic response to the administered protein agent (positive ADA).

#### Preventability:

Proper aseptic injection techniques must always be used when administering faricimab. In the postmarketing setting, patients should be instructed to report any signs or symptoms of IOI such as pain, photophobia, or worsening redness, which might be a clinical sign attributable to hypersensitivity. These measures would permit early diagnosis and treatment, should an inflammation occur, limiting the possibility of long-term sequelae.

#### Impact on the benefit-risk balance of the product:

IOI can range from a mild inflammation of the eye to severe with sequelae leading to vision loss. Symptoms can consist of blurred vision, floaters, pain, and photophobia. Pain is significantly associated with severe vitreous or anterior chamber inflammation. IOI associated with intravitreal administration of VEGF inhibitors may resolve without vision loss. Treatment is typically non-invasive, consisting of observation alone or topical corticosteroids. This can be supplemented with topical antibiotics, cycloplegics, or systemic corticosteroids. More invasive interventions have also been employed, including in-office vitreous tap, intravitreal antibiotics, and PPV ([Agrawal et al. 2013](#); [Cox et al. 2021](#)). Severe vision loss has been reported in some cases of posterior uveitis such as retinal vasculitis and/or retinal vascular occlusion, typically occurring in the presence of IOI ([Novartis 2020](#); [Whitkin et al. 2020](#)).

Based on the data available to date from the faricimab clinical development program, the frequency of occurrence and the severity of these events is outweighed by the overall benefit of faricimab.

#### Public health impact:

IOI is expected to be common, with a frequency of  $\geq 1/100$  to  $< 1/10$  events.

### **SVII.3.1.2 Information on Important Potential Risks**

#### **Arterial Thromboembolic Events (ATE) and Central Nervous System (CNS) Hemorrhagic Events**

##### Potential mechanisms:

Interaction of VEGF with VEGF-receptor on endothelial cells (ECs) induces production of nitric oxide and prostaglandin I<sub>2</sub>, both of which are important for EC survival, proliferation and migration, vasodilatation, as well as maintenance of the integrity and antithrombotic/antiadherent state of the EC lining. Inhibition of the VEGF pathway may therefore impair angiogenesis, disrupt vascular integrity, and disturb the normal EC interaction with platelets. This may compromise the integrity of the EC lining and

promote platelet aggregation, thereby increasing the risk of ATE events ([Chen and Cleck 2009](#)).

Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence:

This important potential risk is based on data from the faricimab safety population in the Phase III studies (GR40306 TENAYA, GR40844 LUCERNE, GR40349 YOSEMITE, GR40398 RHINE, GR41984 BALATON, and GR41986 COMINO) and the Phase II studies (BP29647 AVENUE, CR39521 STAIRWAY, and BP30099 BOULEVARD).

The frequency of ATE and CNS hemorrhagic events reported with approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies is presented in [Table 26](#).



**Table 26 Frequency of Occurrence of APTC Events in Clinical Trials with Intravitreal anti-VEGF Monotherapies**

Event	nAMD Population (incidence proportion)	DME Population (incidence proportion)	RVO Population (incidence proportion)
	Clinical Trials (All Events)	Clinical Trials (All Events)	Clinical Trials (All Events)
APTC events	2.0% – 3.2%	4.1% – 6.4%	1.0%–3.2%
Source	Busbee et al. 2013; Schmidt-Erfurth et al. 2014; Zarbin et al. 2018	Nguyen et al. 2012; Brown et al. 2015; Zarbin et al. 2017	Brown et al. 2010; Brown et al. 2011; Heier et al. 2012b; Campochiaro et al. 2014; Scott et al. 2017
ATE	0.68% – 6.0%	0.4% – 5.2%	—
Source	Boyer et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2011; Chakravarthy et al. 2012; Busbee et al. 2013; Zarbin et al. 2018	Mitchell et al. 2011; Ishibashi et al. 2015; Zarbin et al. 2017	
Vascular deaths	0.32% – 1.4%	0.7% – 2.2%	0.6%
Source	Rosenfeld et al. 2006; Boyer et al. 2009; Brown et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2011; Chakravarthy et al. 2012; Busbee et al. 2013; Schmidt-Erfurth et al. 2014; Zarbin et al. 2018	Nguyen et al. 2012; Brown et al. 2015; Zarbin et al. 2017	Scott et al. 2017
MI	0.3% – 2.2%	0.5% – 3.2%	0.4%–0.8%
Source	Rosenfeld et al. 2006; Antoszyk et al. 2008; Brown et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2011;	Mitchell et al. 2011; Nguyen et al. 2012; Brown et al. 2015; Ishibashi et al. 2015; Wells et al. 2015;	Brown et al. 2010; Brown et al. 2011; Larsen et al. 2018; Scott et al. 2017

**Table 26 Frequency of Occurrence of APTC Events in Clinical Trials with Intravitreal anti-VEGF Monotherapies (cont.)**

Event	nAMD Population (incidence proportion)	DME Population (incidence proportion)	RVO Population (incidence proportion)
	Clinical Trials (All Events)	Clinical Trials (All Events)	Clinical Trials (All Events)
Source (cont.)	Chakravarthy et al. 2012; Busbee et al. 2013; Schmidt-Erfurth et al. 2014; Silva et al. 2018; Zarbin et al. 2018; Holz et al. 2020	Zarbin et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2020	
Stroke	0.55% – 1.9%	1.0% – 2.1%	0.24%–0.4%
Source	Rosenfeld et al. 2006; Boyer et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2011; Chakravarthy et al. 2012; Busbee et al. 2013; Schmidt-Erfurth et al. 2014; Zarbin et al. 2018	Mitchell et al. 2011; Brown et al. 2015; Wells et al. 2015; Zarbin et al. 2017	Brown et al. 2011; Heier et al. 2012b
TIA	0.32% – 0.95%	0.2 – 1.0%	0.4%–1.0%
Source	Antoszyk et al. 2008; Martin et al. 2011; Chakravarthy et al. 2012; Silva et al. 2018	Nguyen et al. 2012; Brown et al. 2015	Brown et al. 2010; Heier et al. 2012b
CVA	0.3% – 4.7%	0.4% – 2.2%	0.5%–0.6%
Source	Antoszyk et al. 2008; Brown et al. 2009; Silva et al. 2013; Silva et al. 2018; Holz et al. 2020	Mitchell et al. 2011; Nguyen et al. 2012; Brown et al. 2015	Heier et al. 2012b; Campochiaro et al. 2014; Larsen et al. 2018; Scott et al. 2017

APTC = Anti-Platelet Trialists' Collaboration, ATE = arterial thromboembolic events; CVA = cerebrovascular accident; DME = diabetic macular edema; MI = myocardial infarction; nAMD = neovascular age-related macular degeneration; RVO = retinal vein occlusion; TIA = transient ischemic attack; VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor.

#### Characterization of the risk:

Of the 664 faricimab-treated patients from the Phase III safety population with nAMD (i.e., TENAYA and LUCERNE), 3.3% of patients (n=22) experienced at least one adjudicated APTC-defined event (see [Table 27](#)). Of these, 3.0% of patients (n=20) had severe events and 0.3% of patients (n=2) had moderate events. All of these events were considered serious.

Of the 1262 faricimab-treated patients from the Phase III safety population with DME (i.e., YOSEMITE and RHINE), 5.1% of patients (n=64) experienced at least one adjudicated APTC-defined event (see [Table 27](#)). Of these, 4.0% of patients (n=51) had severe events and 1.0% of patients (n=12) had moderate events. Most of these events were considered serious.

Of the 276 faricimab-treated patients from the Phase III safety population with BRVO (i.e., BALATON), 1.1% of patients (n=3) experienced at least one adjudicated APTC-defined event ([Table 27](#)). All three patients had severe events and were considered serious. Of the 365 faricimab-treated patients from the Phase III safety population with C/HRVO (i.e., COMINO), 1.1% of patients (n=4) experienced at least one adjudicated APTC-defined event ([Table 27](#)). Of these, three patients had severe events and one patient had at least one moderate event. In the overall Phase III population pooled across all indications, the per injection rate of ATE/CNS hemorrhagic events (adjudicated) was 0.352% ([Annex 7A.14](#)).

**Table 27 Important Potential Adjudicated APTC-defined Adverse Event Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	nAMD (N=1326)		DME (N=1887)	
	Faricimab (N=664)	Aflibercept (N=662)	Faricimab (N=1262)	Aflibercept (N=625)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE	22 ( 3.3%)	20 ( 3.0%)	64 ( 5.1%)	32 ( 5.1%)
95% CI for % of patients with at least one AE	(2.20%, 4.97%)	(1.96%, 4.62%)	(3.99%, 6.42%)	(3.65%, 7.14%)
Difference in % of patients with at least one AE	0.3%		0.0%	
95% CI for difference	(-1.66%, 2.25%)		(-2.34%, 1.95%)	
Total number of AEs	23	20	64	32
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by severity				
Mild	0	1 ( 0.2%)	1 (<0.1%)	2 ( 0.3%)
Moderate	2 ( 0.3%)	4 ( 0.6%)	12 ( 1.0%)	5 ( 0.8%)
Severe	20 ( 3.0%)	15 ( 2.3%)	51 ( 4.0%)	25 ( 4.0%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one serious AE	22 ( 3.3%)	19 ( 2.9%)	61 ( 4.8%)	31 ( 5.0%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by outcome				
Fatal	16 (72.7%)	11 (55.0%)	30 (46.9%)	14 (43.8%)
Not recovered/Resolved	0	1 ( 5.0%)	2 ( 3.1%)	2 ( 6.3%)
Recovering/Resolving	2 ( 9.1%)	0	2 ( 3.1%)	0
Recovered/Resolved	2 ( 9.1%)	7 (35.0%)	21 (32.8%)	15 (46.9%)
Resolved with sequelae	3 (13.6%)	1 ( 5.0%)	9 (14.1%)	1 ( 3.1%)
Unknown outcome	0	0	0	0

Investigator text for AEs encoded using MedDRA version 24.1 for nAMD, MedDRA version 24.0 for DME and MedDRA version 25.0 for RVO (BRVO and C/HRVO). Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE", "Number of patients with at least one serious AE", and "Number of patients with at least one AE by severity" are based on the N in the column headings.

Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE by outcome" are based on the N in "Number of patients with at least one AE".

Table summary includes adverse events that started or worsened (for existing condition) on or after the date of the first injection of active study drug.

AE=adverse event; APTC = anti-Platelet Trialist's Collaboration; CI=Confidence Interval; 95% CI were computed using the Wilson method. Difference in frequency rates is relative to AFLIBERCEPT and 95% CI of the difference were computed using Newcombe Risk difference. Multiple occurrences of qualifying events in a patient are counted only once at the patient's worst severity.

Faricimab dosing is Faricimab 6MG intravitreal Q4W, Q8W and personalized treatment interval.

Aflibercept dosing is Aflibercept 2 mg Q4W and Q8W.

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_saf\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_saf\_rmp\_APTC\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 0:57

Page 1 of 3

**Table 27 Important Potential Adjudicated APTC-defined Adverse Event Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	BRVO (N=550)		C/HRVO (N=726)	
	Faricimab (N=276)	Aflibercept (N=274)	Faricimab (N=365)	Aflibercept (N=361)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE	3 ( 1.1%)	4 ( 1.5%)	4 ( 1.1%)	5 ( 1.4%)
95% CI for % of patients with at least one AE	(0.37%, 3.15%)	(0.57%, 3.69%)	(0.43%, 2.78%)	(0.59%, 3.20%)
Difference in % of patients with at least one AE	-0.4%		-0.3%	
95% CI for difference	(-2.72%, 1.87%)		(-2.22%, 1.58%)	
Total number of AEs	3	4	4	5
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by severity				
Mild	0	0	0	1 ( 0.3%)
Moderate	0	0	1 ( 0.3%)	1 ( 0.3%)
Severe	3 ( 1.1%)	4 ( 1.5%)	3 ( 0.8%)	3 ( 0.8%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one serious AE	3 ( 1.1%)	3 ( 1.1%)	3 ( 0.8%)	5 ( 1.4%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by outcome				
Fatal	0	0	0	1 (20.0%)
Not recovered/Resolved	0	1 (25.0%)	2 (50.0%)	1 (20.0%)
Recovering/Resolving	1 (33.3%)	0	0	0
Recovered/Resolved	1 (33.3%)	3 (75.0%)	2 (50.0%)	3 (60.0%)
Resolved with sequelae	1 (33.3%)	0	0	0
Unknown outcome	0	0	0	0

Investigator text for AEs encoded using MedDRA version 24.1 for nAMD, MedDRA version 24.0 for DME and MedDRA version 25.0 for RVO (BRVO and C/HRVO). Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE", "Number of patients with at least one serious AE", and "Number of patients with at least one AE by severity" are based on the N in the column headings.

Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE by outcome" are based on the N in "Number of patients with at least one AE".

Table summary includes adverse events that started or worsened (for existing condition) on or after the date of the first injection of active study drug.

AE=adverse event; APTC = anti-Platelet Trialist's Collaboration; CI=Confidence Interval; 95% CI were computed using the Wilson method. Difference in frequency rates is relative to AFLIBERCEPT and 95% CI of the difference were computed using Newcombe Risk difference. Multiple occurrences of qualifying events in a patient are counted only once at the patient's worst severity.

Faricimab dosing is Faricimab 6MG intravitreal Q4W, Q8W and personalized treatment interval.

Aflibercept dosing is Aflibercept 2 mg Q4W and Q8W.

nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.

Program: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/program/t\_saf\_rmp.sas  
Output: root/clinical\_studies/RO6867461/share/pool\_RMP\_DMEY2\_AMDY2\_RVO24/prod/output/t\_saf\_rmp\_APTC\_SE.out  
20JAN2023 0:57

Page 2 of 3

**Table 27 Important Potential Adjudicated APTC-defined Adverse Event Risks: Seriousness, Outcomes, Severity, Frequency with 95% CI through Primary Endpoint Time (Week 24 RVO) and during Entire Study (Week 112 nAMD, Week 100 DME), Safety-Evaluable Population (cont.)**

Protocol: GR40349, GR40398, GR40306, GR40844, GR41984, GR41986  
Clinical Cutoff Date: BALATON 06JUL2022, COMINO 09AUG2022

	Combined Indications (N=4489)	
	Faricimab (N=2567)	Aflibercept (N=1922)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE	93 ( 3.6%)	61 ( 3.2%)
95% CI for % of patients with at least one AE	(2.97%, 4.42%)	(2.48%, 4.06%)
Difference in % of patients with at least one AE	0.4%	
95% CI for difference	(-0.65%, 1.51%)	
Total number of AEs	94	61
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by severity		
Mild	1 (<0.1%)	4 ( 0.2%)
Moderate	15 ( 0.6%)	10 ( 0.5%)
Severe	77 ( 3.0%)	47 ( 2.4%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one serious AE	89 ( 3.5%)	58 ( 3.0%)
Number (%) of patients with at least one AE by outcome		
Fatal	46 (49.5%)	26 (42.6%)
Not recovered/Resolved	4 ( 4.3%)	5 ( 8.2%)
Recovering/Resolving	5 ( 5.4%)	0
Recovered/Resolved	26 (28.0%)	28 (45.9%)
Resolved with sequelae	13 (14.0%)	2 ( 3.3%)
Unknown outcome	0	0
Investigator text for AEs encoded using MedDRA version 24.1 for nAMD, MedDRA version 24.0 for DME and MedDRA version 25.0 for RVO (BRVO and C/HRVO).		
Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE", "Number of patients with at least one serious AE", and "Number of patients with at least one AE by severity" are based on the N in the column headings.		
Percentages for "Number of patients with at least one AE by outcome" are based on the N in "Number of patients with at least one AE".		
Table summary includes adverse events that started or worsened (for existing condition) on or after the date of the first injection of active study drug.		
AE=adverse event; APTC = anti-Platelet Trialist's Collaboration; CI=Confidence Interval; 95% CI were computed using the Wilson method. Difference in frequency rates is relative to AFLIBERCEPT and 95% CI of the difference were computed using Newcombe Risk difference. Multiple occurrences of qualifying events in a patient are counted only once at the patient's worst severity.		
Faricimab dosing is Faricimab 6MG intravitreal Q4W, Q8W and personalized treatment interval.		
Aflibercept dosing is Aflibercept 2 mg Q4W and Q8W.		
nAMD pools GR40306 and GR40844; DME pools GR40349 and GR40398; BRVO GR41984; C/HRVO GR41986; POOLED(nAMD, DME, BRVO, C/HRVO) pools all six studies.		
Program: root/clinical_studies/RO6867461/share/pool_RMP_DMEY2_AMDY2_RVO24/prod/program/t_saf_rmp.sas		
Output: root/clinical_studies/RO6867461/share/pool_RMP_DMEY2_AMDY2_RVO24/prod/output/t_saf_rmp_APTC_SE.out		
20JAN2023 0:57		

In the Phase II studies in nAMD, one patient (2.2%) receiving 1.5 mg faricimab and seven patients (3.4%) receiving 6 mg faricimab experienced an ATE/CNS hemorrhagic event (unadjudicated) in the study eye ([Annex 7B.3](#)). Six patients experienced events that were serious (one patient in the 1.5 mg faricimab arm, and five patients in the 6 mg faricimab arm).

In the Phase II studies in DME, one patient (1.8%) receiving 1.5 mg faricimab and three patients (3.8%) receiving 6 mg faricimab experienced an ATE/CNS hemorrhagic event (unadjudicated) ([Annex 7B.3](#)). Two patients experienced events that were serious (both reported in the 6 mg faricimab arm).

The per injection rates of ATE/CNS hemorrhagic events (unadjudicated) were 0.287% and 0.613% in the 1.5 mg and 6 mg pooled faricimab Phase II patients, respectively ([Annex 7A.15](#)).

Risk factors and risk groups:

nAMD is associated with CV disease and the risk factors include moderate-to-severe hypertension, raised high density lipoprotein levels, and anatomic measures of atherosclerotic disease. Patients with nAMD with comorbidities such as hypertension, arrhythmias, or a previous history of myocardial infarction and cerebrovascular accidents have an increased risk of experiencing ATEs ([Alexander et al. 2007](#)). The majority of subjects in ranibizumab trials who experienced an ATE had a medical history that included  $\geq 1$  cardiovascular risk factors and were  $\geq 75$  years old ([Rosenfeld et al. 2006](#)).

DME is more common in older patients with T2DM. The risk of CV diseases in diabetic patients increases by two to threefold (hypertension increases the prevalence of DME to threefold) ([Acan et al. 2018](#)). There is also a correlation between patients with DR and CV disease, who are at an increased risk of stroke and heart failure ([Bandello et al. 2020](#)). In a retrospective cohort study (United States) (2006–2015) with DME patients that had a history of cerebrovascular and CV diseases, the prevalence of myocardial infarction, CV disease, stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, and transient ischemic attack was 5.5%, 13%, 5.2%, 0.38%, and 3.3% respectively ([Maloney et al. 2019](#)).

Risk factors for RVO include advancing age, arterial hypertension, diabetes, C.V disease, hypercholesterolaemia, glaucoma, systemic inflammatory diseases and coagulability disorders ([Kolar et al. 2014](#); [Song et al. 2019](#); [Wu et al. 2019](#); [Frederiksen et al. 2022](#)). RVO, in particular CRVO, is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality ([Bertelsen et al. 2014](#); [Wu et al. 2019](#); [Frederiksen et al. 2022](#)). A Danish case-control registry study found that after development of CRVO, patients who had no prior history of congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and peripheral venous and arterial illness, were more likely to demonstrate these conditions than controls ([Bertelsen et al. 2014](#)). Cardiovascular risk factors associated with CRVO

(before diagnosis of CRVO) were arterial hypertension, diabetes, peripheral artery disease, peripheral vein disease, and ischemic heart disease ([Bertelsen et al. 2014](#)).

#### Preventability:

Patients with CV comorbidities or a previous history of myocardial infarction and cerebrovascular accidents are at an increased risk of ATE events. Due to the lack of early warning signs of onset of most ATEs, patients with known risk factors should be informed of this risk and monitored following faricimab intravitreal injection.

#### Impact on the benefit-risk balance of the product:

A few ATE/CNS hemorrhagic events are associated with serious and life-threatening consequences, particularly in high-risk patients and in certain clinical settings. However, the incidence rate of ATE/CNS hemorrhagic events has been low in the overall faricimab clinical development program and most of the events were assessed as unrelated to the study treatment by the investigators, or the events were confounded by the patient's concurrent medical history.

Also, considering no suppression from baseline in VEGF-A or Ang-2 was observed in plasma of patients dosed with faricimab in the Phase III studies (TENAYA, LUCERNE, YOSEMITE, RHINE, BALATON, COMINO) and that the incidence of ATE/CNS hemorrhagic events in the faricimab arm was consistent with what have been observed with approved intravitreal anti-VEGF monotherapies, the risk of ATE events associated with faricimab remains theoretical like other intravitreal treatments. Therefore, the impact of ATE/CNS hemorrhagic events on the benefit-risk balance of faricimab is considered low.

#### Public health impact:

While the risk of ATE/CNS hemorrhagic events remains theoretical with faricimab treatment, the incidence of ATE/CNS hemorrhagic events is expected to be common (frequency of  $\geq 1/100$  to  $< 1/10$  events) in nAMD, DME, BRVO, and CRVO patients with underlying risk factors.

### **SVII.3.2. Presentation of the Missing Information** **Information on Missing Information**

#### **Long-term Safety**

##### Evidence source:

Patients are expected to receive faricimab over a long treatment duration. The faricimab safety population provides data from 2567 patients with 3652 years person-time of exposure in the Phase III program (see [Part II: Module SIII](#)). The duration of exposure achieved during the clinical development program of faricimab is not yet sufficient to determine any difference in the safety profile in patients with long-term exposure.



Anticipated risk/consequence of the missing information:

The safety profile of faricimab has been well characterized in the clinical trial setting and continues to be analyzed. The safety data of faricimab observed in the Phase 3 studies in nAMD, DME, and RVO was similar with no new or unexpected safety concerns identified in the RVO indication as compared to the other two indications. The safety profile in long-term use is not expected to be significantly different to the current knowledge of the safety profile and the likelihood of any clinically significant differences between the indications is highly unlikely.

Long-term safety data will be collected and monitored from the ongoing long-term extension studies: AVONELLE-X (nAMD) and RHONE-X (DME). Refer to [Part III, III.2](#) for further details.

### Use in Pregnancy

Evidence source:

Given that the prevalence and incidence of nAMD increases with age, and the disease is most prevalent in patients > 65 years of age ([Li et al. 2020a](#)), there is a low likelihood that female patients on treatment for nAMD will be of childbearing potential.

The data on the prevalence of pregnancy in the DME population are limited. Prevalence estimates for presence of DME at any time during pregnancy ranged from 5% to 27% in T1DM and 4% in T2DM ([Morrison et al. 2016](#)).

RVO is an extremely rare event in the young population and even rarer among pregnant women. The diagnosis is always associated with active systemic diseases in young adults and needs thrombophilia workup. Therefore, any suspected RVO event in the pregnancy population should raise suspicion for underlying diseases such as hypertension, diabetes, autoimmune diseases, migraine, pre-eclampsia syndrome, and thrombophilia ([Bahar et al. 2022](#)).

Although pregnancy in this patient population is possible, the likelihood is low, and there is low systemic exposure to faricimab after ocular administration; the rapid plasma clearance of faricimab resulted in systemic plasma exposure approximately 6000-fold lower than in the vitreous. In plasma, mean  $C_{max}$  of 0.2 µg/mL was reached after approximately 2 days post-dose. No apparent suppression of free VEGF-A and free Ang-2 was observed in plasma of patients receiving faricimab in the Phase III studies (TENAYA, LUCERNE, YOSEMITE, RHINE, BALATON, COMINO), consistent with the low faricimab plasma levels.

Furthermore, in pregnant cynomolgus monkeys, the faricimab serum exposure ( $C_{max}$  at the NOAEL dose of 3 mg/kg) was more than 500-times greater than the faricimab human steady-state systemic exposure estimates, and did not reveal any developmental

toxicity, teratogenicity, or effect on weight or structure of the placenta ([Report 1053361](#); [Report 1057630](#); [Report 1093222](#)).

While pregnant women were not eligible for inclusion in the clinical development program of faricimab, a total of three pregnancies (YOSEMITE [n=1] and RHINE [n=2]) have been reported during the conduct of the Phase III studies (all cases in DME studies, none in nAMD and RVO studies); one in the faricimab personalized treatment interval (PTI) arm and two in the aflibercept Q8W arm ([Annex 7A.13](#)). The patient in the faricimab PTI arm received a total of 4 injections of study treatment prior to the confirmation of pregnancy and underwent permanent discontinuation of study treatment due to pregnancy. The patient delivered a baby at a gestation age of 36 weeks and 6 days; the Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity and Respiration (APGAR) score at 10 minutes was normal with a score of 8/9 (Clinical Study Report YOSEMITE narratives, Report 1102956, p. 1655).

Anticipated risk/consequence of the missing information:

Faricimab has an anti-angiogenic mechanism of action and is regarded as potentially teratogenic and embryo-/fetotoxic, and for this reason there is precautionary guidance in the SmPC to warn against the use of faricimab during pregnancy unless the potential benefit outweighs the potential risk to the fetus. In addition, recognizing that pregnancy is possible in the DME and RVO patient populations, the use of faricimab in pregnant patients will be closely monitored, following Roche's standard pregnancy follow-up process (refer to [Part III.1](#) for further details). In addition, pregnancy cases will be summarized in Periodic Safety Update Reports (PSURs)/ Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Reports (PBRERs).

## **PART II: MODULE SVIII— SUMMARY OF THE SAFETY CONCERNS**

**Table 28 Summary of Safety Concerns**

Summary of safety concerns	
Important identified risks	Infectious endophthalmitis Intraocular inflammation
Important potential risks	Arterial thromboembolic events and central nervous system hemorrhagic events
Missing information	Long-term safety Use in pregnancy

## **PART III: PHARMACOVIGILANCE PLAN (INCLUDING POST-AUTHORIZATION SAFETY STUDIES)**

### **III.1 ROUTINE PHARMACOVIGILANCE ACTIVITIES**

The following routine pharmacovigilance activities have been implemented beyond adverse reaction reporting and signal detection for faricimab:

Specific guided questionnaire for the following important identified risks:

- infectious endophthalmitis
- intraocular inflammation

The purpose of the guided questionnaire is to ensure the adequate follow-up of postmarketing case reports and the robust collection of all of the appropriate information deemed necessary to further characterize the important identified risks associated with faricimab. The guided questionnaire is provided in [Annex 4](#) of the RMP.

The Roche standard pregnancy follow-up process has also been implemented for all Roche products to request additional information on the medication history of the exposed parent, relevant medical history for the mother and father, previous obstetric history, the current pregnancy, fetal and infant conditions, and results of tests and investigations for any pregnancy complication or congenital abnormality during pregnancy or within the first year of the infant's life. Cumulative data will be presented in PSURs/ PBRERs.

### **III.2 ADDITIONAL PHARMACOVIGILANCE ACTIVITIES**

Two long-term extension studies (AVONELLE-X and RHONE-X) in patients with nAMD and DME, respectively, are currently ongoing to evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of intravitreal faricimab, which will address the missing information of long-term safety of faricimab, and will provide a cumulative 4 years of exposure data ([Table 29](#) and [Table 30](#)). In addition, data from these two long-term extension studies will also further characterize the important potential risk of ATE/CNS hemorrhagic events.

A secondary data use, retrospective observational study (CR45271) is being conducted to further characterize the incidence of RV and ROV (categorized under important identified risk of IOI) with faricimab compared to other intravitreal therapies ([Table 31](#)).

**Table 29 Study GR42691 (AVONELLE-X)**

<p><b>Study/activity short name and title:</b> Study GR42691 (AVONELLE-X): A multicenter, open-label extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of faricimab in patients with nAMD.</p>
<p><b>Rationale and study objectives:</b> The objective of this study is to evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of the intravitreal faricimab in patients with nAMD, who have completed either of the Phase III (GR40306 or GR40844) studies. The primary objective is to monitor patients who have received at least one injection of faricimab during the LTE, regardless of adherence to treatment or to the protocol, on the basis of the following endpoints:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incidence and severity of ocular adverse events</li> <li>• Incidence and severity of non-ocular adverse events.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Study design:</b> This is a global, multicenter, open-label, study designed to evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of faricimab 6 mg administered by intravitreal injection at a PTI to patients who enrolled in and completed one of the Phase III studies (GR40306 or GR40844), also referred to as the parent studies.</p>
<p><b>Study populations:</b> Patients with nAMD were enrolled upon completion of the end-of-study visit in the parent study (i.e., Week 112 visit in studies GR40306 and GR40844). All assessments from the parent study end-of-study visit must be completed prior to the LTE study enrollment visit assessments.</p>
<p>A total of 964 patients who completed the parent Phase III studies were enrolled in this LTE study. Last patient in occurred on 18 January 2022.</p>
<p><b>Milestones:</b> FPFV: 19 April 2021 Database lock planned April 2024 Final Clinical Study Report planned Q1 2025</p>

FPFV=first patient first visit; GR40306=TENAYA; GR40844=LUCERNE; LTE=long-term extension; nAMD=neovascular age-related macular degeneration; PTI=personalized treatment interval.

**Table 30 Study GR41987 (RHONE-X)**

<p><b>Study/activity short name and title:</b></p> <p>Study GR41987 (RHONE-X): A multicenter, open-label extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of faricimab in patients with DME.</p>
<p><b>Rationale and study objectives:</b></p> <p>The objective of this study is to evaluate the long-term safety, tolerability and efficacy of intravitreal faricimab in patients with DME who have completed either of the Phase III (GR40349 or GR40398) studies. The primary objective is to monitor patients who have received at least one injection of faricimab during the LTE, regardless of adherence to treatment or to the protocol, on the basis of the following endpoints:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incidence and severity of ocular adverse events</li> <li>• Incidence and severity of non-ocular adverse events.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Study design:</b></p> <p>This is a global, multicenter, open-label, study designed to evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of faricimab 6 mg administered by intravitreal injection at a PTI to patients who enrolled in and completed one of the Phase III studies (GR40349 or GR40398), also referred to as the parent studies.</p>
<p><b>Study populations:</b></p> <p>Patients with DME were enrolled upon completion of the end-of-study visit in the parent study (i.e., Week 100 visit in studies [GR40349 or GR40398]). All assessments from the parent study end-of-study visit must be completed prior to the LTE study enrollment visit assessments.</p> <p>A total of 1479 patients who completed the parent Phase III studies were enrolled in the LTE study. Last patient in occurred on 15 September 2021.</p>
<p><b>Milestones:</b></p> <p>FPFV: 5 August 2020.</p> <p>Database lock planned December 2023.</p> <p>Final Clinical Study Report planned Q4 2024.</p>

DME = diabetic macular edema; FPFV = first patient first visit; GR40349 = YOSEMITE; GR40398 = RHINE; LTE = long-term extension; PTI = personalized treatment interval.

**Table 31 Study CR45271 (Real-World Data Study)**

<b>Study/activity short name and title:</b> Study CR45271 (real-world data study): A secondary data use, retrospective observational study to evaluate the incidence of RV and ROV.
<b>Rationale and Study Objectives:</b> The primary objective of this study is to assess and compare the incidence of RV, RV with RO, and IOI (including RV) with RO events across eyes treated with different approved IVT anti-VEGF agents after diagnosis of nAMD or DME, as recorded in an EHR database.
<b>Study design:</b> This is a secondary data use, retrospective observational cohort study. The study will analyze anonymized EHR data from private retina specialists in the United States to assess the incidence of RV, RV with RO, and IOI (including RV) with RO among eyes with nAMD or DME. Incidence will be assessed among eyes treated with IVT anti-VEGF agents approved in nAMD or DME.
<b>Study populations:</b> Patient eyes with nAMD or DME seen at private retina specialist clinics in the routine clinical care setting in the United States.
<b>Milestones:</b> Last Data Extraction: 30 June 2024 Final Clinical Study Report planned 31 March 2025

DME=diabetic macular edema; EHR=electronic health records; IOI=intraocular inflammation; IVT=intravitreal; nAMD=neovascular age-related macular degeneration; RO=retinal vascular occlusion; ROV=retinal occlusive vasculitis; RV=retinal vasculitis; VEGF=vascular endothelial growth factor.

### III.3 SUMMARY TABLE OF ADDITIONAL PHARMACOVIGILANCE ACTIVITIES

See [Table 32](#).

**Table 32 Ongoing and Planned Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities**

Study Status	Summary of Objectives	Safety Concerns Addressed	Milestones	Due Date(s)
<b>Category 1</b> —Imposed mandatory additional pharmacovigilance activities that are conditions of the marketing authorization				
Not applicable				
<b>Category 2</b> —Imposed mandatory additional pharmacovigilance activities that are Specific Obligations in the context of a conditional marketing authorization or a marketing authorization under exceptional circumstances				
Not applicable				
<b>Category 3</b> —Required additional pharmacovigilance activities (by a competent authority such as CHMP/PRAC or NCA)—i.e., studies that investigate a safety concern or evaluate the effectiveness of risk minimization activities				
Study GR42691 (AVONELLE-X): A multicenter, open-label extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of faricimab in patients with nAMD.	The objective of this study is to evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of the intravitreal faricimab in patients with nAMD, who have completed either of the Phase III (GR40306 or GR40844) studies. The primary objective is to monitor patients who have received at least one injection of faricimab during the LTE, regardless of adherence to treatment or to the protocol, on the basis of the following endpoints: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incidence and severity of ocular adverse events</li> <li>• Incidence and severity of non-ocular adverse events</li> </ul>	Long-term safety  ATE and CNS hemorrhagic events	FPFV	19 April 2021
			Database Lock	Planned April 2024
			Final Clinical Study Report	Planned Q1 2025

**Table 32 Ongoing and Planned Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities (cont.)**

Study Status	Summary of Objectives	Safety Concerns Addressed	Milestones	Due Date(s)
<b>Category 3 (cont.)</b>				
Study GR41987 (RHONE-X): A multicenter, open-label extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of faricimab in patients with DME.	The objective of this study is to evaluate the long-term safety, tolerability and efficacy of intravitreal faricimab in patients with DME who have completed either of the Phase III (GR40349 or GR40398) studies. The primary objective is to monitor patients who have received at least one injection of faricimab during the LTE, regardless of adherence to treatment or to the protocol, on the basis of the following endpoints: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incidence and severity of ocular adverse events</li> <li>Incidence and severity of non-ocular adverse events.</li> </ul>	Long-term safety  ATE and CNS hemorrhagic events	FPFV	5 August 2020
			Database Lock	Planned December 2023
			Final Clinical Study Report	Planned Q4 2024
Study CR45271 (real-world data study): A secondary data use, retrospective observational study to evaluate the incidence of RV and ROV.	The primary objective of this study is to assess and compare the incidence of RV, RV with RO, and IOI (including RV) with RO events across eyes treated with different approved IVT anti-VEGF agents after diagnosis of nAMD or DME, as recorded in an EHR database.	Intraocular inflammation	Last data extraction	Planned 30 June 2024
			Final Clinical Study Report	Planned 31 March 2025

ATE=arterial thromboembolic events; CHMP=Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use; DME=diabetic macular edema; EHR=electronic health records; FPFV=first patient first visit; GR40306=TENAYA; GR40349=YOSEMITE; GR40398=RHINE; GR40844=LUCERNE; IOI=intraocular inflammation; IVT=intravitreal; LTE=long-term extension; nAMD=neovascular age-related macular degeneration; NCA=National Competent Authority; PRAC=Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee; RO=retinal vascular occlusion; RV=retinal vasculitis; RVO=retinal vein occlusion; VEGF=vascular endothelial growth factor.



## **PART IV: PLANS FOR POST-AUTHORIZATION EFFICACY STUDIES**

There are no agreed post-authorization efficacy studies with faricimab.

## **PART V: RISK-MINIMIZATION MEASURES (INCLUDING EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RISK-MINIMIZATION ACTIVITIES)**

### **RISK-MINIMIZATION PLAN**

#### **V.1 Routine Risk-Minimization Measures**

**Table 33 Description of Routine Risk-Minimization Measures by Safety Concern**

Safety Concern	Routine Risk-Minimization Activities
Infectious endophthalmitis	<p><b>Routine risk communication:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SmPC Sections 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.8.</li><li>• PIL Sections 2 and 4</li></ul> <p><b>Routine risk-minimization activities recommending specific clinical measures to address the risk:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Recommendation that proper aseptic injection techniques always be used when administering Vabysmo.</li></ul> <p><b>Other risk minimization measures beyond the Product Information:</b></p> <p>None</p> <p><b>Medicine's Legal Status:</b></p> <p>Vabysmo is a prescription only medicine.</p>
Intraocular inflammation	<p><b>Routine risk communication:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SmPC Sections 4.3, 4.4 and 4.8</li><li>• PIL Sections 2 and 4</li></ul> <p><b>Routine risk-minimization activities recommending specific clinical measures to address the risk:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Recommendation that proper aseptic injection techniques always be used when administering Vabysmo.</li></ul> <p><b>Other risk minimization measures beyond the Product Information:</b></p> <p>None</p> <p><b>Medicine's Legal Status:</b></p> <p>Vabysmo is a prescription only medicine.</p>
Arterial thromboembolic events and central nervous system hemorrhagic events	<p><b>Routine risk communication:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SmPC Section 4.4</li><li>• PIL Section 2</li></ul> <p><b>Routine risk-minimization activities recommending specific clinical measures to address the risk:</b></p>

**Table 33 Description of Routine Risk-Minimization Measures by Safety Concern (Cont.)**

Safety Concern	Routine Risk-Minimization Activities
	<p>None</p> <p><b>Other risk minimization measures beyond the Product Information:</b></p> <p>None</p> <p><b>Medicine's Legal Status:</b></p> <p>Vabysmo is a prescription only medicine.</p>
Long-term safety	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>None</p> <p><b>Other risk minimization measures beyond the Product Information:</b></p> <p>None</p>
Use in pregnancy	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SmPC Section 4.6</li> <li>• PIL Section 2</li> </ul> <p><b>Other risk minimization measures beyond the Product Information:</b></p> <p>None</p>

PIL = Patient Information Leaflet; SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics.

## **V.2.Additional Risk-Minimization Measures**

**Table 34 Additional Risk-Minimization Measures**

<b>Additional risk-minimization measure</b>	<b>Patient/Carer Guide</b>
Objective(s)	Patient/carers guide will promote awareness of the information contained within the Vabysmo Package Leaflet. It aims to inform patients/carers adequately on the risks, the key signs and symptoms of those risks, and when to seek urgent attention from their physician with the objective to minimize the important identified risks of infectious endophthalmitis and intraocular inflammation; and to promote communication between the patient and their physician.
Rationale for the additional risk-minimization activity	To provide instructions to patients for early recognition of key signs and symptoms of potential adverse reactions, and timely reporting to their physicians, encouraging prompt intervention to reduce the risk of vision loss and to maximize recovery potential.
Target audience and planned distribution path	The guide is targeted to use in adult patients with nAMD, DME, and RVO it is provided to the physician for distribution to the patient after faricimab is prescribed to them, but prior to their first administration.
Plans for evaluating the effectiveness of the interventions and criteria for success	<p>How effectiveness will be measured:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Distribution metrics of patient educational materials</li><li>• Monitoring of reporting rate and severity of infectious endophthalmitis and intraocular inflammation, through routine pharmacovigilance (i.e., observed vs expected analysis)</li></ul> <p>Milestones for reporting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Periodically in PSURs/PBRERs</li></ul>

DME= diabetic macular edema; nAMD=neovascular age-related macular degeneration; PBRER= Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report; PSUR=Periodic Safety Update Report, RVO=retinal vein occlusion..

### **Removal of Additional Risk-Minimization Activities**

Not applicable.

### **V.3 Summary of Risk Minimization Measures**

**Table 35 Summary Table of Pharmacovigilance Activities and Risk-Minimization Activities by Safety Concern**

<b>Safety concern</b>	<b>Risk minimization measures</b>	<b>Pharmacovigilance activities</b>
Infectious endophthalmitis	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>SmPC Section 4.2 Posology and Method of Administration</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.3 Contraindications</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.8 Undesirable Effects</p> <p>PIL Section 2 What you need to know before you use Vabysmo</p> <p>PIL Section 4 Possible side effects</p> <p>Recommendation that proper aseptic injection techniques always be used when administering Vabysmo.</p> <p>Vabysmo is a prescription only medicine.</p> <p><b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>Patient/carer guide</p>	<p><b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b></p> <p>Guided questionnaire</p> <p>Assess as part of routine PSUR/PBRER reporting</p> <p><b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b></p> <p>None</p>
Intraocular inflammation	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>SmPC Section 4.3 Contraindications</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.8 Undesirable effects</p> <p>PIL Section 2 What you need to know before you use Vabysmo</p>	<p><b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b></p> <p>Guided questionnaire</p> <p>Assess as part of routine PSUR/PBRER reporting</p> <p><b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b></p> <p>Study CR45271</p>

**Table 35 Summary Table of Pharmacovigilance Activities and Risk-Minimization Activities by Safety Concern (Cont.)**

Safety concern	Risk minimization measures	Pharmacovigilance activities
	<p>PIL Section 4 Possible side effects</p> <p>Recommendation that proper aseptic injection techniques always be used when administering Vabysmo.</p> <p>Vabysmo is a prescription only medicine.</p> <p><b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>Patient/carer guide</p>	
Arterial thromboembolic events and central nervous system hemorrhagic events	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SmPC Section 4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use</li> <li>PIL Section 2 What you need to know before you use Vabysmo</li> </ul> <p>Vabysmo is a prescription only medicine.</p> <p><b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>None</p>	<p><b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b></p> <p>Assess as part of routine PSUR/PBRER reporting</p> <p><b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b></p> <p>Ongoing long-term extension studies:            AVONELLE-X (GR42691)            RHONE-X (GR41987)</p> <p><b>Final Study Report Due Dates:</b>            AVONELLE-X (GR42691): Q1 2025            RHONE-X (GR41987): Q4 2024</p>
Long-term safety	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>None</p> <p><b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>None</p>	<p><b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b></p> <p>None</p>

**Table 35 Summary Table of Pharmacovigilance Activities and Risk-Minimization Activities by Safety Concern (Cont.)**

Safety concern	Risk minimization measures	Pharmacovigilance activities
		<b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b> Ongoing long-term extension studies: AVONELLE-X (GR42691) RHONE-X (GR41987)  <b>Final Study Report Due Dates:</b> AVONELLE-X (GR4691): Q1 2025  RHONE-X (GR41987): Q4 2024
Use in pregnancy	<b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SmPC Section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy and lactation</li> <li>PIL Section 2 What you need to know before you use Vabysmo</li> </ul> <b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b> None	<b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b> Roche standard pregnancy follow-up  Assess as part of routine PSUR/PBRER reporting  <b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b> None

PBRER=Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report; PIL=Patient Information Leaflet;  
PSUR=Periodic Safety Update Report; SmPC=Summary of Product Characteristics.

## **REFERENCES**

- [AAO 2013] American Academy of Ophthalmology. Weiner G. Savvy Steroid Use. 2013. [cited 2020 Dec 4]. Available from <https://www.aao.org/eyenet/article/savvy-steroid-use>.
- [AAO 2019] American Academy of Ophthalmology. Age-Related Macular Degeneration PPP 2019. 2019. [cited 2021 Feb 8] Available from <https://www.aao.org/preferred-practice-pattern/age-related-macular-degeneration-ppp>.
- Acan D, Calan M, Er D, et al. The prevalence and systemic risk factors of diabetic macular edema: a cross-sectional study from Turkey. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2018;18(1):91.
- Agrawal S, Joshi M, Christoforidis JB. Vitreous inflammation associated with intravitreal anti-VEGF pharmacotherapy. *Mediators Inflamm* 2013;2013:943409.
- Akuffo KO, Nolan J, Stack J, et al. Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in the Republic of Ireland. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2015;99(8):1037–44.
- Alexander SL, Linde-Zwirble WT, Werther W, et al. Annual rates of arterial thromboembolic events in medicare neovascular age-related macular degeneration patients. *Ophthalmology* 2007;114(12):2174–78.
- Anastasopoulos E, Yu F, Coleman AL. Age-related macular degeneration is associated with an increased risk of hip fractures in the Medicare database. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;142(6):1081–3.
- Antiplatelet Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative overview of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy Prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke by prolonged antiplatelet therapy in various categories of patients. *BMJ* 1994;308:81.
- Antoszyk AN, Tuomi L, Chung CY, et al; FOCUS Study Group. Ranibizumab combined with verteporfin photodynamic therapy in neovascular age-related macular degeneration (FOCUS): year 2 results. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2008;145(5):862–74.
- Arakawa S, Yasuda M, Nagata M, et al. Nine-year incidence and risk factors for retinal vein occlusion in a general Japanese population: the Hisayama Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011;52(8):5905–9.
- Avastin (bevacizumab) E.U. SmPC. Roche Registration GmbH. January 2015 [cited 11 November 2020]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/avastin-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/avastin-epar-product-information_en.pdf)
- Avery RL, Bakri SJ, Blumenkranz MS, et al. Intravitreal injection technique and monitoring: updated guidelines of an expert panel. *Retina* 2014;34 Suppl 12:S1–S18.

- Bahar MM, Ghalandarpour-Attar SN, Shabani A, et al. Idiopathic combined retinal vessels occlusion in a pregnant woman: a case report. *J Med Case Rep*. 2022;16(1):191.
- Bandello F, Toni D, Porta M, et al. Diabetic retinopathy, diabetic macular edema, and cardiovascular risk: the importance of a long-term perspective and a multidisciplinary approach to optimal intravitreal therapy. *Acta Diabetol* 2020;57(5):513–26.
- Baumal CR, Spaide RF, Vajzovic L, et al. Retinal Vasculitis and Intraocular Inflammation after Intravitreal Injection of Brovacizumab. *Ophthalmology* 2020;127(10):1345–59.
- Beovu (brovacizumab) E.U. SmPC. Novartis Europharm Limited. February 2020 [cited 30 October 2020]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/beovu-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/beovu-epar-product-information_en.pdf)
- Berg K, Hadzalic E, Gjertsen I, et al. Ranibizumab or Bevacizumab for Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration According to the Lucentis Compared to Avastin Study Treat-and-Extend Protocol: Two-Year Results. *Ophthalmology* 2016;123(1):51–9.
- Bertelsen M, Linneberg A, Rosenberg T, et al. Comorbidity in patients with branch retinal vein occlusion: case-control study. *BMJ* 2012;345:e7885.
- Bertelsen G, Peto T, Lindekleiv H, et al. Tromsø eye study: prevalence and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2013;91(8):716–21.
- Bertelsen M, Linneberg A, Christoffersen N, et al. Mortality in patients with central retinal vein occlusion. *Ophthalmol* 2014;121(3):637–42.
- Bhavsar AR, Googe JM Jr, Stockdale CR, et al. Risk of endophthalmitis after intravitreal drug injection when topical antibiotics are not required: the diabetic retinopathy clinical research network laser-ranibizumab-triamcinolone clinical trials. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2009;127(12):1581–3.
- Borger PH, van Leeuwen R, Hulsman CAA, et al. Is there a direct association between age-related eye diseases and mortality? The Rotterdam Study. *Ophthalmology* 2003;110:1292–6.
- Boyer DS, Heier JS, Brown DM, et al. A Phase IIIb study to evaluate the safety of ranibizumab in subjects with neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmol* 2009;116(9):1731–9.
- Boyer D, Heier J, Brown DM, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor Trap-Eye for macular edema secondary to central retinal vein occlusion: six-month results of the phase 3 COPENICUS study. *Ophthalmol* 2012;119(5):1024–32.
- Bressler NM, Miller KM, Beck RW, et al. Observational study of subclinical diabetic macular edema. *Eye* 2012;26(6):833–40.



- Bressler NM, Varma R, Doan QV, Get al. Underuse of the health care system by persons with diabetes mellitus and diabetic macular edema in the United States. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2014;132(2):168–73.
- Brown DM, Michels M, Kaiser PK, et al. Ranibizumab versus verteporfin photodynamic therapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: Two-year results of the ANCHOR study. *Ophthalmol* 2009;116(1):57–65.e5.
- Brown DM, Campochiaro PA, Singh RP, et al; CRUISE Investigators. Ranibizumab for macular edema following central retinal vein occlusion: six-month primary end point results of a phase III study. *Ophthalmol* 2010;117(6):1124–33.
- Brown DM, Campochiaro PA, Bhisitkul RB, et al. Sustained benefits from ranibizumab for macular edema following branch retinal vein occlusion: 12-month outcomes of a phase III study. *Ophthalmol* 2011;118(8):1594–602.
- Brown DM, Nguyen QD, Marcus DM, et al. Long-term outcomes of ranibizumab therapy for diabetic macular edema: the 36-month results from two phase III trials: RISE and RIDE. *Ophthalmol* 2013;120(10):2013–22.
- Brown DM, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Do DV, et al. Intravitreal Aflibercept for Diabetic Macular Edema: 100-Week Results From the VISTA and VIVID Studies. *Ophthalmology* 2015;122(10):2044–52.
- Browning DJ, Fraser CM. The predictive value of patient and eye characteristics on the course of subclinical diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2008;145(1):149–54.
- Buch H, Vinding T, La Cour M, et al. Age-related maculopathy: a risk indicator for poorer survival in women: the Copenhagen City Eye Study. *Ophthalmol* 2005;112:305–12.
- Bursell SE, Fonda SJ, Lewis DG, et al. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema in a primary care-based teleophthalmology program for American Indians and Alaskan Natives. *PLoS One* 2018;13(6):e0198551.
- Busbee BG, Ho AC, Brown DM, et al. Twelve-month efficacy and safety of 0.5 mg or 2.0 mg ranibizumab in patients with subfoveal neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmol* 2013;120(5):1046–56.
- Campochiaro PA, Wykoff CC, Singer M, et al. Monthly versus as-needed ranibizumab injections in patients with retinal vein occlusion: the SHORE study. *Ophthalmol* 2014;121(12):2432–42.
- [CATT 2011] CATT Research Group, Martin DF, Maguire MG, Ying GS, et al. Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364(20):1897–908.
- [CATT 2016] Comparison of Age-related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials Research Group, Maguire MG, Martin DF, et al. Five-year outcomes with anti-vascular endothelial growth factor treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: The Comparison of Age-Related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials. *Ophthalmol* 2016;123:1751–61.

- Chan CK, Abraham P, Meyer CH, et al. Optical coherence tomography-measured pigment epithelial detachment height as a predictor for retinal pigment epithelial tears associated with intravitreal bevacizumab injections. *Retina* 2010;30(2):203–11.
- Chen HX and Cleck JN. Adverse effects of anticancer agents that target the VEGF pathway. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2009;6(8):465–77.
- Chen TY, Uppuluri S, Zarbin MA, et al. Systemic and ocular comorbidities in central retinal vein occlusion patients older and younger than 40 years old. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2020;61(7):1329.
- Chen YX, Li XX, Yoon YH, et al. Intravitreal Aflibercept versus Laser Photocoagulation in Asian Patients with Diabetic Macular Edema: The VIVID-East Study. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2020;14:741–50.
- Cheung CM, Laude A, Yeo I, et al. Systemic, Ocular and Genetic Risk Factors for Age-related Macular Degeneration and Polypoidal Choroidal Vasculopathy in Singaporeans. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:41386.
- Cho BJ, Heo JW, Kim TW, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of age-related macular degeneration in Korea: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2010-2011. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014;55(2):1101–8.
- Ciulla TA, Amador AG, Zinman B. Diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema: pathophysiology, screening and novel therapies. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26:2653–64.
- Cohen SY, Mimoun G, Oubraham H, et al. Changes in visual acuity in patients with wet age-related macular degeneration treated with intravitreal ranibizumab in daily clinical practice: the LUMIERE study. *Retina* 2013;33:474–81.
- Cox JT, Elliott D, Sobrin L. Inflammatory Complications of Intravitreal Anti-VEGF Injections. *J Clin Med* 2021;10:981.
- Cruess A, Zlateva G, Xu X, et al. Burden of illness of neovascular age-related macular degeneration in Canada. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2007;42:836–43.
- Cugati S, Wang JJ, Rochtchina E, et al. Ten-year incidence of retinal vein occlusion in an older population: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2006;124(5):726–32.
- Cugati S, Cumming RG, Smith W, et al. Visual impairment, age-related macular degeneration, cataract, and long-term mortality: The Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2007;125:917–24.
- Detaram HD, Joachim N, Liew G, et al. Smoking and treatment outcomes of neovascular age-related macular degeneration over 12 months. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2020;104(7):893–8.
- Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network; Elman MJ, Aiello PL, et al. Randomized trial evaluating ranibizumab plus prompt or deferred laser or triamcinolone plus prompt laser for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 2010;117:1064–77.

- Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network; Wells JA, Glassman AR, et al. Aflibercept, bevacizumab, or ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372(13):1193–203.
- Doguizi S, Ozdek S. Pigment epithelial tears associated with anti-VEGF therapy: incidence, long-term visual outcome, and relationship with pigment epithelial detachment in age-related macular degeneration. *Retina* 2014;34(6):1156–62.
- Drug Safety Report (DSR) for faricimab / Vabysmo / RO6867461. Faricimab and retinal occlusive vasculitis. Report No. 1126314. 20 October 2023.
- Dugel PU, Jaffe GJ, Sallstig P, et al. Brolucizumab Versus Aflibercept in Participants with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration: A Randomized Trial. *Ophthalmol.* 2017;124(9):1296–304.
- Dugel PU, Singh RP, Koh A, et al. HAWK and HARRIER: Ninety-Six-Week Outcomes from the Phase 3 Trials of Brolucizumab for Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Ophthalmol* 2021;128(1):89–99.
- Erke MG, Bertelsen G, Peto T, et al. Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in elderly Caucasians: the Tromso Eye Study. *Ophthalmol* 2012;119:1737–43.
- Eylea (aflibercept) E.U. SmPC. Bayer AG. July 2017 [cited 30 October 2020]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/eylea-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/eylea-epar-product-information_en.pdf).
- Farinha CVL, Cachulo ML, Alves D, et al. Incidence of Age-Related Macular Degeneration in the Central Region of Portugal: The Coimbra Eye Study - Report 5. *Ophthalmic Res* 2019a;61(4):226–35.
- Farinha C, Martins A, Neves A, et al. Ranibizumab for the treatment of diabetic macular oedema in the real-world clinical setting in Portugal: A multicentre study. *Ophthalmologica* 2019b;241:1–8.
- Fenwick EK, Cheung CMG, Ong PG, et al. The impact of typical neovascular age-related macular degeneration and polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy on vision-related quality of life in Asian patients. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2017;101(5):591–6.
- Finger RP, Wiedemann P, Blumhagen F, et al. Treatment patterns, visual acuity and quality-of-life outcomes of the WAVE study — a noninterventional study of ranibizumab treatment for neovascular age-related macular degeneration in Germany. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2013;91:540–6.
- Fisher DE, Jonasson F, Eiriksdottir G, et al. Age-related macular degeneration and mortality in community-dwelling elders: the age, gene/environment susceptibility Reykjavik study. *Ophthalmol* 2015;122(2):382–90.
- Fisher DE, Klein BE, Wong TY, et al. Incidence of Age-Related Macular Degeneration in a Multi-Ethnic United States Population: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Ophthalmology* 2016;123(6):1297–308.

- Flaxel CJ, Adelman RA, Bailey ST, et al. Retinal vein occlusions preferred practice pattern®. *Ophthalmol* 2020;127(2): 288–320.
- Fong DS, Aiello LP, Ferris FL 3rd, et al. Diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27:2540–53.
- Fong DS, Luong TQ, Contreras R, et al. Treatment patterns and 2-year vision outcomes with bevacizumab in diabetic macular edema: an analysis from a large U.S. Integrated health care system. *Retina* 2018;38:1830–8.
- Foo VHX, Yanagi Y, Nguyen QD, et al. Six-Year Incidence and Risk Factors of Age-Related Macular Degeneration in Singaporean Indians: The Singapore Indian Eye Study. *Sci Rep* 2018;8(1):8869.
- Frederiksen KH, Stokholm L, Frederiksen PH, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and all-cause mortality in patients with retinal vein occlusion: a Danish nationwide cohort study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2022;321225.
- Friedman DS, O'Colmain BJ, Muñoz B, et al. Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in the United States. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2004;122(4):564–72.
- Gale NW, Thurston G, Hackett SF, et al. Angiopoietin-2 is required for postnatal angiogenesis and lymphatic patterning, and only the latter role is rescued by Angiopoietin-1. *Dev Cell* 2002;3(3):411–23.
- Gass JD. Pathogenesis of tears of the retinal pigment epithelium. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1984;68(8):513–9.
- Ghoshal R, Kaur S, Fadzil NM, et al. Quality of Life in Patients with Neovascular Age Related Macular Degeneration (n-AMD) Seen in a Public Hospital of Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana* 2018;47(10):2447–54.
- Gopinath B, Liew G, Burlutsky G, et al. Age-related macular degeneration and risk of total and cause-specific mortality over 15 years. *Maturitas* 2016;84:63–7.
- Hallak JA, de Sisternes L, Osborne A, et al. Imaging, Genetic, and Demographic Factors Associated With Conversion to Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration: Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2019;137(7):738–44.
- Hammes HP, Lin J, Wagner P, et al. Angiopoietin-2 causes pericyte dropout in the normal retina: evidence for involvement in diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes* 2004;53(4):1104–10.
- Hammes HP, Welp R, Kempe HP, et al. Risk Factors for Retinopathy and DME in Type 2 Diabetes-Results from the German/Austrian DPV Database. *PLoS One* 2015;10(7):e0132492.
- Hariprasad SM, Mieler WF, Grassi M, et al. Vision-related quality of life in patients with diabetic macular oedema. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2008;92(1):89–92.

- Hayreh SS, Zimmerman MB. Ocular neovascularization associated with central and hemicentral retinal vein occlusion. *Retina* 2012;32(8):1553–65.
- Heier JS, Brown DM, Chong V, et al. Intravitreal aflibercept (VEGF trap-eye) in wet age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmol* 2012a;119(12):2537–48.
- Heier JS, Campochiaro PA, Yau L, et al. Ranibizumab for macular edema due to retinal vein occlusions: long-term follow-up in the HORIZON trial. *Ophthalmol* 2012b;119(4):802–9.
- Heier JS, Korobelnik JF, Brown DM, et al. Intravitreal Aflibercept for Diabetic Macular Edema: 148-Week Results from the VISTA and VIVID Studies. *Ophthalmology* 2016;123(11):2376–85.
- Hietala K, Forsblom C, Summanen P, et al. Higher age at onset of type 1 diabetes increases risk of macular oedema. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2013;91(8):709–15.
- Hirai FE, Knudtson MD, Klein BE, et al. Clinically significant macular edema and survival in type 1 and type 2 diabetes. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2008;145(4):700–6.
- Hodzic-Hadzibegovic D, Sander BA, Monberg TJ, et al. Diabetic macular oedema treated with intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial growth factor - 2-4 years follow-up of visual acuity and retinal thickness in 566 patients following Danish national guidelines. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2018;96:267–78.
- Holz FG, Roider J, Ogura Y, et al. VEGF trap-eye for macular oedema secondary to central retinal vein occlusion: 6-month results of the phase III GALILEO study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2013;97(3):278–84.
- Holz FG, Tadayoni R, Beatty S, et al. Multi-country real-life experience of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy for wet age-related macular degeneration. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2015;99:220–6.
- Holz FG, Minnella AM, Tuli R, et al. Ranibizumab treatment patterns in prior ranibizumab-treated neovascular age-related macular degeneration patients: Real-world outcomes from the LUMINOUS study. *PloS one* 2020;15(12), e0244183.
- Hsieh YT, Tsai MJ, Tu ST, et al. Association of Abnormal Renal Profiles and Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy and Diabetic Macular Edema in an Asian Population With Type 2 Diabetes. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2018;136(1):68–74.
- Hu CC, Lin HC, Sheu JJ, et al. Neovascular age-related macular degeneration is not associated with coronary heart disease in a Chinese Population: a population-based study. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2017;95(7):e587–e591.
- Hyungtaek Rim T, Ryo K, Tham YC, et al. Prevalence and Pattern of Geographic Atrophy in Asia: The Asian Eye Epidemiology Consortium. *Ophthalmol* 2020;127(10):1371–81.
- Ip MS, Scott IU, VanVeldhuisen PC, et al.; SCORE Study Research Group. A randomized trial comparing the efficacy and safety of intravitreal triamcinolone with

- Ip MS, Scott IU, VanVeldhuisen PC, et al.; SCORE Study Research Group. A randomized trial comparing the efficacy and safety of intravitreal triamcinolone with observation to treat vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to central retinal vein occlusion: the Standard Care vs Corticosteroid for Retinal Vein Occlusion (SCORE) study report 5. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2009;127(9):1101–14.
- Ishibashi T, Li X, Koh A, et al. The REVEAL Study: Ranibizumab Monotherapy or Combined with Laser versus Laser Monotherapy in Asian Patients with Diabetic Macular Edema. *Ophthalmol* 2015;122(7):1402–15.
- IVAN Study Investigators, Chakravarthy U, Harding SP, et al. Ranibizumab versus bevacizumab to treat neovascular age-related macular degeneration: one-year findings from the IVAN randomized trial. *Ophthalmol* 2012;119(7):1399–1411.
- Jabs DA, Nussenblatt RB, Rosenbaum JT, et al. Standardization of uveitis nomenclature for reporting clinical data. Results of the First International Workshop. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2005;140(3):509–16.
- Joachim N, Mitchell P, Burlutsky G, et al. The Incidence and Progression of Age-Related Macular Degeneration over 15 Years: The Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Ophthalmol* 2015;122(12):2482–9.
- Jonasson F, Arnarsson A, Eiríksdóttir G, et al. Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in old persons: Age, Gene/environment Susceptibility Reykjavik Study. *Ophthalmol* 2011;118(5):825–30.
- Jones CD, Greenwood RH, Misra A, et al. Incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy during 17 years of a population-based screening program in England. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35(3):592–6.
- Keel S, Xie J, Foreman J, et al. Prevalence of Age-Related Macular Degeneration in Australia: The Australian National Eye Health Survey. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2017;135(11):1242–9.
- Keel S, Xie J, Foreman J, et al. Prevalence of retinal vein occlusion in the Australian National Eye Health Survey. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2018;46(3):260–65.
- Keenan TD, Johnston RL, Donachie PH, et al. United Kingdom National Ophthalmology Database Study: Diabetic Retinopathy; Report 1: prevalence of centre-involving diabetic macular oedema and other grades of maculopathy and retinopathy in hospital eye services. *Eye (Lond)* 2013;27(12):1397–404.
- Khanani AM, Skelly A, Bezlyak V, et al. SIERRA-AMD: A Retrospective, Real-World Evidence Study of Patients with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration in the United States. *Ophthalmol Retina* 2020;4(2):122–33.
- Khurana RN, Kunimoto D, Yoon YH, et al. Two-Year Results of the Phase 3 Randomized Controlled Study of Abicipar in Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration [published online ahead of print, 2020 Nov 19]. *Ophthalmol*. 2020;S0161-6420(20)31109-X:1–12.

- Kiss S, Chandwani HS, Cole AL, et al. Comorbidity and health care visit burden in working-age commercially insured patients with diabetic macular edema. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2016;10:2443–53.
- Kiss S, Dugel PU, Khanani AM, et al. Endophthalmitis rates among patients receiving intravitreal anti-VEGF injections: a USA claims analysis. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2018;12:1625–35.
- Klauber N., Rohan R. M., Flynn E., et al. Critical components of the female reproductive pathway are suppressed by the angiogenesis inhibitor AGM-1470. *Nat Med* 1997;3(4):443–6.
- Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, et al. The epidemiology of retinal vein occlusion: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc* 2000;98:133–41.
- Klein R, Moss SE, Meuer SM, et al. Klein Be. The 15-year cumulative incidence of retinal vein occlusion: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2008;126(4):513–8.
- Klein R, Cruickshanks KJ, Myers CE, et al. The relationship of atherosclerosis to the 10-year cumulative incidence of age-related macular degeneration: the Beaver Dam studies. *Ophthalmol* 2013;120:1012–9.
- Klein R, Knudtson MD, Lee KE, et al. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy XXIII: the twenty-five-year incidence of macular edema in persons with type 1 diabetes. *Ophthalmol* 2009;116(3):497–503.
- Kolar P. Risk factors for central and branch retinal vein occlusion: a meta-analysis of published clinical data. *J Ophthalmol* 2014;2014:724780.
- Korb CA, Kottler UB, Wolfram C, et al. Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in a large European cohort: results from the population-based Gutenberg Health Study. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2014;252(9):1403–11.
- Krasnik V, Stefanickova J, Popov I, et al. Prevalence of Age-Related Macular Degeneration in Slovakia and Associated Risk Factors: A Mobile Clinic-Based Cross-Sectional Epidemiological Survey. *Semin Ophthalmol* 2018;33(4):506–11.
- Kresloff MS, Castellarin AA, Zarbin MA. Endophthalmitis. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1998;43(3):193–224.
- Lambertini M, Peccatori FA, Azim Jr HA. Targeted agents for cancer treatment during pregnancy. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2015;41(4):301–9.
- Laouri M, Chen E, Looman M, et al.. The burden of disease of retinal vein occlusion: review of the literature. *Eye (Lond)* 2011;25(8):981–8.
- Larsen M, Waldstein SM, Priglinger S, et al; CRYSTAL Study Group. Sustained benefits from ranibizumab for central retinal vein occlusion with macular edema: 24-month results of the CRYSTAL study. *Ophthalmol Retina* 2018;2(2):134–42.

- Leasher JL, Bourne RR, Flaxman SR, et al. Global estimates on the number of people blind or visually impaired by diabetic retinopathy: a meta-analysis from 1990 to 2010. *Diabetes Care* 2016;39:1643–9.
- Lee WA, Cheng CL, Lee CH, et al. Risks of newly onset hemorrhagic stroke in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2017;26(10):1277–85.
- Li JQ, Terheyden JH, Welchowski T, et al. Prevalence of retinal vein occlusion in Europe: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmologica* 2019;241:183–89.
- Li JQ, Welchowski T, Schmid M, et al. Prevalence and incidence of age-related macular degeneration in Europe: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2020a;104(8):1077–84.
- Li JQ, Welchowski T, Schmid M, et al. Prevalence, incidence and future projection of diabetic eye disease in Europe: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2020b;35(1):11–23.
- Lim LL, Cheung N, Wang JJ, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of retinal vein occlusion in an Asian population. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2008;92(10):1316–9.
- Lim LS, Mitchell P, Seddon JM, et al. Age-related macular degeneration. *Lancet* 2012;379:1728–38.
- Lindekleiv H, Erke MG. Projected prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in Scandinavia 2012-2040. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2013;91(4):307–11.
- Lucentis (ranibizumab) E.U. SmPC. Novartis Europharm Limited. November 2016 [cited 30 October 2020] Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/lucentis-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/lucentis-epar-product-information_en.pdf) Maloney MH, Schilz SR, Herrin J, et al. Risk of Systemic Adverse Events Associated with Intravitreal Anti-VEGF Therapy for Diabetic Macular Edema in Routine Clinical Practice. *Ophthalmol* 2019;126(7):1007–15.
- Mao F, Yang X, Yang K, et al. Six-Year Incidence and Risk Factors for Age-Related Macular Degeneration in a Rural Chinese Population: The Handan Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2019;60(15):4966–71.
- Martín-Merino E, Fortuny J, Rivero-Ferrer E, et al. Incidence of retinal complications in a cohort of newly diagnosed diabetic patients. *PLoS One* 2014;9(6):e100283.
- Martín-Merino E, Fortuny J, Rivero-Ferrer E, et al. Risk factors for diabetic macular oedema in type 2 diabetes: A case-control study in a United Kingdom primary care setting. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2017;11(3):288–96.
- Massin P, Bandello F, Garweg JG, et al. Safety and efficacy of ranibizumab in diabetic macular edema (RESOLVE Study): a 12-month, randomized, controlled, double-masked, multicenter phase II study. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33(11):2399–405.



- Matušková V, Zeman T, Ewerlingová L, et al. An association of neovascular age-related macular degeneration with polymorphisms of CFH, ARMS2, HTRA1 and C3 genes in Czech population [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jan 23]. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2020;10.1111/aos.14357.
- Matuszewski W, Baranowska-Jurkun A, Stefanowicz-Rutkowska MM, et al. Prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy in Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients in North-East Poland. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2020;56(4):164.
- McCarty CA, Nanjan MB, Taylor HR. Vision impairment predicts 5 year mortality. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2001;85:322–6.
- McGuinness MB, Karahalios A, Finger RP. Age-Related Macular Degeneration and Mortality: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2017;24(3):141–52.
- McIntosh RL, Rogers SL, Lim L, et al. Natural history of central retinal vein occlusion: an evidence-based systematic review. *Ophthalmol* 2010;117(6):1113–23.e15.
- Meredith TA, McCannel CA, Barr C, et al. Postinjection endophthalmitis in the comparison of age-related macular degeneration treatments trials (CATT). *Ophthalmology* 2015;122(4):817–21.
- Meyer PA. The observation of immune-complex formation and deposition in the eyes of living rabbits. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1987;69:166–8.
- Minassian DC, Owens DR, Reidy A. Prevalence of diabetic macular oedema and related health and social care resource use in England. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2012;96(3):345–9.
- Mitchell P, Bandello F, Schmidt-Erfurth U, et al. The RESTORE study: ranibizumab monotherapy or combined with laser versus laser monotherapy for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 2011;118(4):615–25.
- Mitchell P, Liew G, Gopinath B, et al. Age-related macular degeneration. *Lancet* 2018;392:1147–59.
- Morrison JL, Hodgson LA, Lim LL, et al. Diabetic retinopathy in pregnancy: a review. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2016;44(4):321–34.
- Navi BB, Reiner AS, Kamel H, et al. DeAngelis LM. Arterial thromboembolic events preceding the diagnosis of cancer in older persons. *Blood* 2019;133(8):781–89.
- Novartis. Novartis completes safety review and initiates update to the Beovu® prescribing information worldwide [resource on the internet]. 2020 [updated 2020 Apr 08; cited 2020 Aug 28]. Available from: <https://www.novartis.com/news/novartis-completes-safety-review-and-initiates-update-beovu-prescribing-information-worldwide>

- Ng AL, Leung HH, Kawasaki R, et al. Dietary Habits, Fatty Acids and Carotenoid Levels Are Associated with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration in Chinese. *Nutrients* 2019;11(8):1720.
- Nguyen QD, Brown DM, Marcus DM, et al. RISE and RIDE Research Group. Ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema: results from 2 phase III randomized trials: RISE and RIDE. *Ophthalmol* 2012;119(4):789-801.
- Owen CG, Jarrar Z, Wormald R, et al. The estimated prevalence and incidence of late stage age related macular degeneration in the UK. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2012;96(5):752–6.
- Papudesu C, Clemons TE, Agrón E, et al. Association of Mortality with Ocular Diseases and Visual Impairment in the Age-Related Eye Disease Study 2: Age-Related Eye Disease Study 2 Report Number 13. *Ophthalmol* 2018;125(4):512–21.
- Park JY, Park SJ, Byun SJ, et al. Twelve-year incidence of retinal vein occlusion and its trend in Korea. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2020;258(10):2095–104.
- Pedula KL, Coleman AL, Yu F, et al. Age-related macular degeneration and mortality in older women: the study of osteoporotic fractures. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2015;63(5):910–7.
- Pérez-Canales JL, Rico-Sergado L, Pérez-Santonja JJ. Self-Reported Sleep Duration in Patients with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2016;23(1):20–6.
- Petrella RJ, Blouin J, Davies B, et al. Incidence and characteristics of patients with visual impairment due to macular edema secondary to retinal vein occlusion in a representative Canadian cohort. *J Ophthalmol* 2012;2012:723169.
- Pires I, Santos AR, Nunes S, et al. Subclinical macular edema as a predictor of progression to clinically significant macular edema in type 2 diabetes. *Ophthalmologica* 2013;230(4):201–6.
- Rao P, Lum F, Wood K, et al. Real-world vision in age-related macular degeneration patients treated with single anti-VEGF drug type for 1 year in the IRIS registry. *Ophthalmol* 2018;125:522–28.
- Rim TH, Cheng CY, Kim DW, et al. A nationwide cohort study of cigarette smoking and risk of neovascular age-related macular degeneration in East Asian men. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2017;101(10):1367–73.
- Rim TH, Kim HK, Kim JW, et al. A Nationwide Cohort Study on the Association Between Past Physical Activity and Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration in an East Asian Population. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2018;136(2):132–9.
- Rim TH, Yoo TK, Kim SH, et al. Incidence of exudative age-related macular degeneration and treatment load under the Korean national health insurance system in 2010-2015. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2019;103(10):1361–6.

- Ringholm L, Vestgaard M, Laugesen CS, et al. Pregnancy-induced increase in circulating IGF-I is associated with progression of diabetic retinopathy in women with type 1 diabetes. *Growth Horm IGF Res* 2011;21(1):25–30.
- Rodriguez-Poncelas A, Miravet-Jiménez S, Casellas A, et al. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in individuals with type 2 diabetes who had recorded diabetic retinopathy from retinal photographs in Catalonia (Spain). *Br J Ophthalmol* 2015;99(12):1628–33.
- Rogers S, McIntosh RL, Cheung N, Lim L, et al.; International Eye Disease Consortium. The prevalence of retinal vein occlusion: pooled data from population studies from the United States, Europe, Asia, and Australia. *Ophthalmol* 2010a;117(2):313–9.e1.
- Rogers SL, McIntosh RL, Lim L, et al. Natural history of branch retinal vein occlusion: an evidence-based systematic review. *Ophthalmol* 2010b Jun;117(6):1094–15.
- Romero-Aroca P, Navarro-Gil R, Valls-Mateu A, et al. Differences in incidence of diabetic retinopathy between type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus: a nine-year follow-up study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2017;101(10):1346–51.
- Rosenfeld PJ, Brown DM, Heier JS, et al. Ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355(14):1419–31.
- Rudnicka AR, Jarrar Z, Wormald R. Age and gender variations in age-related macular degeneration prevalence in populations of European ancestry: a meta-analysis. *Ophthalmol* 2012;119(3):571–80.
- Rudnicka AR, Kapetanakis VV, Jarrar Z, et al. Incidence of Late-Stage Age-Related Macular Degeneration in American Whites: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2015;160(1):85–93.e3.
- Ruiz-Moreno JM, Coco RM, García-Arumí J, et al. Burden of illness of bilateral neovascular age-related macular degeneration in Spain. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2008;24(7):2103–11.
- Sarraf D, Joseph A, Rahimy E. Retinal pigment epithelial tears in the era of intravitreal pharmacotherapy: risk factors, pathogenesis, prognosis and treatment (an American Ophthalmological Society thesis). *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc* 2014;112:142–59.
- Saunier V, Merle BMJ, Delyfer MN, et al. Incidence of and risk factors associated with age-related macular degeneration: Four-year follow-up from the ALIENOR study. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2018;136(5):473–81.
- Schmidt-Erfurth U, Kaiser PK, Korobelnik JF, et al. Intravitreal aflibercept injection for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: ninety-six-week results of the VIEW studies. *Ophthalmol* 2014;121(1):193–201.

- Schmidt-Erfurth U, Garcia-Arumi J, Gerendas BS, et al. Guidelines for the management of retinal vein occlusion by the European Society of Retina Specialists (EURETINA). *Ophthalmologica*. 2019;242(3):123–62.
- Scott IU, VanVeldhuisen PC, Ip MS, et al; SCORE2 Investigator Group. Effect of bevacizumab vs aflibercept on visual acuity among patients with macular edema due to central retinal vein occlusion: the SCORE2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2017;317(20):2072–87.
- Seval Y, Sati L, Celik-Ozenci C, et al. The distribution of angiopoietin-1, angiopoietin-2 and their receptors tie-1 and tie-2 in the very early human placenta. *Placenta* 2008;29(9):809–15.
- Silva R, Axer-Siegel R, Eldem B, et al. The SECURE study: long-term safety of ranibizumab 0.5 mg in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmol*, 2013;120(1):130–9.
- Silva R, Berta A, Larsen M, et al. Treat-and-Extend versus Monthly Regimen in Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration: Results with Ranibizumab from the TREND Study. *Ophthalmol* 2018;125(1):57–65.
- Sivaprasad S, Prevost AT, Vasconcelos JC, et al. Clinical efficacy of intravitreal aflibercept versus panretinal photocoagulation for best corrected visual acuity in patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy at 52 weeks (CLARITY): a multicentre, single-blinded, randomised, controlled, phase 2b, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2017;389(10085):2193–203.
- Smith W, Assink J, Klein R, et al. Risk factors for age-related macular degeneration: Pooled findings from three continents. *Ophthalmol* 2001;108(4):697–704.
- Song P, Du Y, Chan KY, et al. The national and subnational prevalence and burden of age-related macular degeneration in China. *J Glob Health* 2017;7(2):020703.
- Song P, Xu Y, Zha M, et al. Global epidemiology of retinal vein occlusion: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prevalence, incidence, and risk factors. *J Glob Health* 2019;9(1):010427.
- Soubrane G, Cruess A, Lotery A, et al. Burden and health care resource utilization in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: findings of a multicountry study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2007;125:1249–54.
- Stefanickova J, Cunha-Vaz J, Ulbig M, et al. A noninterventional study to monitor patients with diabetic macular oedema starting treatment with ranibizumab (POLARIS). *Acta Ophthalmol* 2018;96:e942–9.
- Storey PP, Patel D, Garg S. Endophthalmitis following intravitreal injection. *Can J Ophthalmol*. 2020;55(4):286–92.
- Sultan ZN, Agorogiannis EI, Iannetta D, et al. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment: a review of current practice in diagnosis and management. *BMJ Open Ophthalmol* 2020;5(1):e000474.

- Talwar N, Khan M, Gardner T, et al. Risk Factors Associated with Diabetic Macular Edema: A Longitudinal Analysis of 447,407 Persons with Diabetes in a U.S. Managed Care Network. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2013;54(15):1540.
- Tejerina AN, Vujosevic S, Varano M, et al. One-year progression of diabetic subclinical macular edema in eyes with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy: location of the increase in retinal thickness. *Ophthalmic Res* 2015;54(3):118–23.
- The Royal College of Ophthalmologists. Clinical Guidelines: Retinal Vein Occlusion. January 2022 [cited 2022 Aug 28]. Available from <https://www.rcophth.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Retinal-Vein-Occlusion-Guidelines-2022.pdf>.
- Thomas RL, Halim S, Gurudas S, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: A review of studies utilising retinal photography on the global prevalence of diabetes related retinopathy between 2015 and 2018. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2019;157:107840.
- Thulliez M, Angoulvant D, Le Lez ML, et al. Cardiovascular events and bleeding risk associated with intravitreal antivascular endothelial growth factor monoclonal antibodies: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2014;132(11):1317–26.
- van Meer PJ, Kooijman M, Brinks V, et al. Immunogenicity of mAbs in non human primates during nonclinical safety assessment. *Mabs* 2013;5(5):810–6.
- Varma R, Choudhury F, Klein R, et al. Four-year incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy and macular edema: the Los Angeles Latino Eye Study. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2010;149(5):752-61.e1–3.
- Varma R, Bressler NM, Doan QV, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for diabetic macular edema in the United States. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2014;132(11):1334–40.
- Verma L, Chakravarti A. Prevention and management of postoperative endophthalmitis: A case-based approach. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2017;65(12):1396–402.
- Vestgaard M, Ringholm L, Laugesen CS, Rasmussen KL, et al. Pregnancy-induced sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy in women with Type 1 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2010;27(4):431–5.
- Witkin AJ, Hahn P, Murray TG, et al. Occlusive Retinal Vasculitis Following Intravitreal Brodalumab. *J Vitreoretin Dis* 2020;4(4):269–79.
- Wilde C, Poostchi A, Mehta RL, et al. Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in an elderly UK Caucasian population-The Bridlington Eye Assessment Project: a cross-sectional study. *Eye (Lond)* 2017;31(7):1042–50.
- Wong TY, Klein R, Islam FM, et al. Diabetic retinopathy in a multi-ethnic cohort in the United States. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;141(3):446–55.
- Wong TY, Chakravarthy U, Klein R, et al. The natural history and prognosis of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology* 2008;115(1):116–26.

- Wong TY, Lanzetta P, Bandello F, et al. Current concepts and modalities for monitoring the fellow eye in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: An Expert Panel Consensus. *Retina* 2020;40(4):599–611.
- Wu CY, Riangwiwat T, Limpruttidham N, Rattanawong P, Rosen RB, Deobhakta A. ASSOCIATION OF RETINAL VEIN OCCLUSION WITH CARDIOVASCULAR EVENTS AND MORTALITY: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Retina*. 2019 Sep;39(9):1635-1645.
- Yau JW, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, et al. Meta-Analysis for Eye Disease (META-EYE) Study Group. Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35(3):556–64.
- Yasuda M, Kiyohara Y, Arakawa S, et al. Prevalence and systemic risk factors for retinal vein occlusion in a general Japanese population: the Hisayama study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010;51(6):3205–9.
- Yenerel NM, Küçümen RB. Pregnancy and the Eye. *Turk J Ophthalmol* 2015;45(5):213–19.
- Yoon YH, Boyer DS, Maturi RK, Bet al. Natural history of diabetic macular edema and factors predicting outcomes in sham-treated patients (MEAD study). *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2019;257(12):2639–53.
- You QS, Xu L, Yang H, et al. Five-year incidence of age-related macular degeneration: the Beijing Eye Study. *Ophthalmol* 2012;119(12):2519–25.
- Zarbin MA, Dunger-Baldauf C, Haskova Z, et al. Vascular Safety of Ranibizumab in Patients With Diabetic Macular Edema: A Pooled Analysis of Patient-Level Data From Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2017;135(5):424–31.
- Zarbin MA, Francom S, Grzeschik S, et al. Systemic Safety in Ranibizumab-Treated Patients with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration: A Patient-Level Pooled Analysis. *Ophthalmol Retina* 2018;2(11):1087–96.
- Zhang T, Jiang W, Song X, et al. The association between visual impairment and the risk of mortality: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2016;70(8):836–42.
- Zhou JQ, Xu L, Wang S, et al. The 10-year incidence and risk factors of retinal vein occlusion: the Beijing eye study. *Ophthalmol* 2013;120(4):803–8.
- Ziemssen F, Wachtlin J, Kuehlewein L, et al. Intravitreal ranibizumab therapy for diabetic macular edema in routine practice: two-year real-life data from a non-interventional, multicenter study in Germany. *Diabetes Ther* 2018;9:2271–89.

### List of Referenced Studies

- Primary Clinical Study Report – GR41984 (BALATON): A Phase III, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Masked, Active Comparator-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Faricimab in Patients with Macular Edema Secondary to Branch Retinal Vein Occlusion. Report No. RDR 1115182. February 2023.

- Primary Clinical Study Report – GR41986 (COMINO): A Phase III, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Masked, Active Comparator-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Faricimab in Patients with Macular Edema Secondary to Central Retinal or Hemiretinal Vein Occlusion. Report No. RDR 1115183. February 2023.
- Final Clinical Study Report – BP30099 (BOULEVARD): A Multiple-Center, Multiple-Dose, Randomized, Active Comparator-Controlled, Double-Masked, Parallel Group, 36-Week Study to Investigate the Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics, and Efficacy of RO6867461 Administered Intravitreally in Patients with Diabetic Macular Edema. Report No. 1083913. July 2018.
- Final Clinical Study Report – BP29647 (AVENUE): A Multiple-Center, Multiple-Dose and Regimen, Randomized, Active Comparator Controlled, Double-Masked, Parallel Group, 36-Week Study to Investigate the Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics, and Efficacy of RO6867461 Administered Intravitreally in Patients with Choroidal Neovascularization Secondary to Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Report No. 1083912. September 2018.
- Final Clinical Study Report – CR39521 (STAIRWAY): Simultaneous Blockade of Angiopoietin-2 and VEGF-A with the Bispecific Antibody RO6867461 (RG7716) for Extended Durability in the Treatment of Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Report No. 1085977. November 2018.
- Final Clinical Study Report – GR40349 (YOSEMITE): A Phase III, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Masked, Active Comparator-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Faricimab (RO6867461) in Patients with Diabetic Macular Edema. Report No. 1111791. January 2022.
- Update Clinical Study Report – GR40398 (RHINE): A Phase III, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Masked, Active Comparator-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Faricimab (RO6867461) in Patients with Diabetic Macular Edema. Report No. 1112142. January 2022.
- Update Clinical Study Report – GR40306 (TENAYA): A Phase III, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Masked, Active Comparator-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Faricimab in Patients with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Report No. 1113759. July 2022.
- Update Clinical Study Report – GR40844 (LUCERNE): A Phase III, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Masked, Active Comparator-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Faricimab in Patients with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Report No. 1113760. July 2022.
- Roche Report 1053361. 2-Month toxicity and toxicokinetic study with RO6867461 following intravitreal and intravenous administration in cynomolgus monkeys with a 4-week recovery phase. May 2014.
- Roche Report 1120410. Population PK of Faricimab (RO6867461) in Patients with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration, Diabetic Macular Edema, or Macular Edema Secondary to Branch Retinal, Central Retinal, or Hemiretinal Vein Occlusion, and Exposure-Safety and Exposure-Efficacy Analyses in Patients with Macular Edema Secondary to Branch Retinal, Central Retinal, or Hemiretinal Vein Occlusion. January 2023.

PBRER 1120522. RO7034067: Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report. Roche report No. 1120522

PBRER 1123653. RO7034067: Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report. Roche report No. 1123653.

PBRER 1128811. RO6867461: Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report. Roche report No. 1128811. Roche Report 1057630. 26-Week partial ascending dose toxicity and toxicokinetic study following once monthly intravitreal injections in cynomolgus monkeys with a 13-week recovery. July 2015.

Roche Report 1093222. RO6867461 Intravenous administration embryofetal development study in the cynomolgus monkey. December 2020.

Roche Report 1055832. A tissue cross-reactivity study of RO6867461 in a limited panel of normal human tissues. July 2013.

Roche Report 1056445. A tissue cross-reactivity study of RO6867461 in normal human tissues. July 2013.

Roche Report 1055400. Evaluation of RO6867461 for the risk of cytokine release and immune cell depletion in an in vitro 24h-format human whole blood cell assay. March 2013 (amended November 2013).

Roche Report 1059118. In vitro evaluation of RO6867461 in a Human Complement Activation Assay for the pre-clinical Risk Assessment of Anaphylatoxins and Complement split fragment generation. April 2014.



## **PART VI: SUMMARY OF THE RISK-MANAGEMENT PLAN**

### **SUMMARY OF RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR VABYSMO™ (FARICIMAB)**

This is a summary of the risk-management plan (RMP) for Vabysmo. The RMP details important risks of Vabysmo, how these risks can be minimized, and how more information will be obtained about Vabysmo's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Vabysmo's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Vabysmo should be used.

This summary of the RMP for Vabysmo should be read in the context of all this information, including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all which is part of the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR).

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of Vabysmo's RMP.

#### **I. THE MEDICINE AND WHAT IT IS USED FOR**

Vabysmo is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with neovascular (wet) age-related macular degeneration (nAMD), visual impairment due to diabetic macular oedema (DME), and visual impairment due to macular edema secondary to retinal vein occlusion (RVO; branch RVO or central RVO) (see SmPC for the full indication). It contains faricimab as the active substance, and it is given by intravitreal injection.

Further information about the evaluation of Vabysmo's benefits can be found in Vabysmo's EPAR, including in its plain-language summary, available on the EMA Web site, under the medicine's Web page:

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/vabysmo>

#### **II. RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MEDICINE AND ACTIVITIES TO MINIMIZE OR FURTHER CHARACTERIZE THE RISKS**

Important risks of Vabysmo, together with measures to minimize such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Vabysmo's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimize the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific Information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging

- The authorized pack size—The amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so as to ensure that the medicine is used correctly.
- The medicine’s legal status—The way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g., with or without prescription) can help to minimize its risks.

Together, these measures constitute *routine risk minimization* measures.

In the case of Vabysmo, these measures are supplemented with *additional risk-minimization* measures mentioned under relevant risks below:

#### Patient/Carer Guide

In addition to these measures, information about adverse events is collected continuously and regularly analyzed, including PSUR assessment, so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. Also, a guided questionnaire has been designed to ensure the adequate follow-up of adverse events and the robust collection of all of the appropriate information deemed necessary to further characterize the important identified risks associated with Vabysmo. These measures constitute *routine pharmacovigilance activities*.

If important information that may affect the safe use of Vabysmo is not yet available, it is listed under “missing Information” below.

## II.A LIST OF IMPORTANT RISKS AND MISSING INFORMATION

Important risks of Vabysmo are risks that need special risk-management activities to further investigate or minimize the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely administered. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Vabysmo. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information about the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g., on the long-term use of the medicine).

List of Important Risks and Missing Information	
Important identified risks	Infectious endophthalmitis Intraocular inflammation
Important potential risks	Arterial thromboembolic events and central nervous system hemorrhagic events
Missing information	Long-term safety Use in pregnancy

## II.B SUMMARY OF IMPORTANT RISKS

<b>Important Identified Risk: Infectious Endophthalmitis</b>	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	This important identified risk is based on data from the faricimab safety population in the Phase III studies (GR40306 TENAYA, GR40844 LUCERNE, GR40349 YOSEMITE, GR40398 RHINE, GR41984 BALATON, and GR41986 COMINO) and the Phase II studies (BP29647 AVENUE, CR39521 STAIRWAY, and BP30099 BOULEVARD).
Risk factors and risk groups	Patients with ocular or periocular infections or patients with active intraocular inflammation are at increased risk of endophthalmitis. There is an increased risk of endophthalmitis if the intravitreal injection procedure is not performed under aseptic conditions.
Risk-minimization measures	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>Routine risk communication is described in:</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.2 Posology and Method of Administration</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.3 Contraindications</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.8 Undesirable Effects</p> <p>PIL Section 2 What you need to know before you use Vabysmo</p> <p>PIL Section 4: Possible side effects</p> <p><b>Routine risk-minimization activities recommending specific clinical measures to address the risk:</b></p> <p>Recommendation that proper aseptic injection techniques always be used when administering Vabysmo.</p> <p><b>Medicine's Legal Status</b></p> <p>Vabysmo is a prescription only medicine.</p> <p><b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>Patient/carers guide</p>
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	<p><b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b></p> <p>Guided questionnaire</p> <p>Assess as part of routine PSUR/PBRER reporting</p> <p><b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b></p> <p>None</p>

PBRER=Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report; PIL=Patient Information Leaflet;  
PSUR=Periodic Safety Update Report; SmPC=Summary of Product Characteristics.

<b>Important Identified Risk: Intraocular Inflammation</b>	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	This important identified risk is based on data from the faricimab safety population from the Phase III studies (GR40306 TENAYA, GR40844 LUCERNE, GR40349 YOSEMITE, GR40398 RHINE, GR41984 BALATON, and GR41986 COMINO) and the Phase II studies (BP29647 AVENUE, CR39521 STAIRWAY, and BP30099 BOULEVARD).
Risk factors and risk groups	Patients with ocular or periocular infections or patients with known hypersensitivity to faricimab or any of the excipients are at increased risk of intraocular inflammation. Intraocular inflammation could develop because of a specific immunogenic response to the administered protein agent (positive anti-drug antibodies).
Risk-minimization measures	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>Routine risk communication is described in:</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.3 Contraindications</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use</p> <p>SmPC Section 4.8 Undesirable effects</p> <p>PIL Section 2 What you need to know before you use Vabysmo</p> <p>PIL Section 4 Possible side effects</p> <p><b>Routine risk-minimization activities recommending specific clinical measures to address the risk:</b> Recommendation that proper aseptic injection techniques always be used when administering Vabysmo.</p> <p><b>Medicine's Legal Status</b></p> <p>Vabysmo is a prescription only medicine.</p> <p><b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>Patient/carers guide</p>
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	<p><b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b></p> <p>Guided questionnaire</p> <p>Assess as part of routine PSUR/PBRER reporting.</p> <p><b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b></p> <p>Study CR45271</p>

PBRER = Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report; PIL = Patient Information Leaflet; PSUR = Periodic Safety Update Report; SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics.

<b>Important Potential Risk: ATE and CNS Hemorrhagic Events</b>	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	This important potential risk is based on data from the faricimab safety population from the Phase III studies (GR40306 TENAYA, GR40844 LUCERNE, GR40349 YOSEMITE, GR40398 RHINE, GR41984 BALATON, and GR41986 COMINO) and the Phase II studies (BP29647 AVENUE, CR39521 STAIRWAY, and BP30099 BOULEVARD).
Risk factors and risk groups	Patients with hypertension, hyperlipidemia, arrhythmias, and those with a previous history of myocardial infarction and cerebrovascular accidents are at an increased risk of ATE events. Older age and underlying diabetes mellitus are also risk factors.
Risk-minimization measures	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>Routine risk communication is described in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SmPC Section 4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use</li> <li>• PIL Section 2 What you need to know before you use Vabysmo</li> </ul> <p><b>Routine risk-minimization activities recommending specific clinical measures to address the risk:</b></p> <p>None</p> <p><b>Medicine's Legal Status</b></p> <p>Vabysmo is a prescription only medicine.</p> <p><b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p>None</p>
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	<p><b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b></p> <p>Assess as part of routine PSUR/PBRER reporting.</p> <p><b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b></p> <p>Ongoing long-term extension studies:</p> <p>GR42691 (AVONELLE-X)</p> <p>GR41987 (RHONE-X)</p>

ATE = Arterial thromboembolic events; CNS = Central Nervous System; PBRER = Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report; PIL = Patient Information Leaflet; PSUR = Periodic Safety Update Report; SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics.

<b>Missing Information: Long-Term Safety</b>	
Risk-minimization measures	<b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b> None <b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b> None
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	<b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b> None  <b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b> Ongoing long-term extension studies: GR42691 (AVONELLE-X) GR41987 (RHONE-X)

<b>Missing Information: Use in Pregnancy</b>	
Risk-minimization measures	<b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b> Routine risk communication is described in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SmPC Section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy and lactation</li> <li>• PIL Section 2 What you need to know before you use Vabysmo</li> </ul> <b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b> None
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	<b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b> Roche standard pregnancy follow-up Assess as part of routine PSUR/PBRER reporting  <b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b> None

PBRER=Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report; PIL=Patient Information Leaflet;  
PSUR=Periodic Safety Update Report; SmPC=Summary of Product Characteristics.

## **II.C POST-AUTHORIZATION DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

### **II.C.1 Studies That Are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization**

There are no studies that are conditions of the marketing authorization or specific obligation of Vabysmo.

### **II.C.2 Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan**

There are three studies in the post-authorization development plan for Vabysmo:

1. Study short name: Study GR42691 (AVONELLE-X)

Purpose of the study: To evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of the intravitreal Vabysmo (6 mg) in patients with nAMD.

2. Study short name: Study GR41987 (RHONE-X)

Purpose of the study: To evaluate the long-term safety and tolerability of the intravitreal Vabysmo (6 mg) in patients with DME.

3. Study short name: Study CR45271

Purpose of the study: To assess and compare the incidence of retinal vasculitis (RV), RV with retinal vascular occlusion (RO), and intraocular inflammation (IOI; including RV) with RO events across eyes treated with different approved intravitreal (IVT) anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) agents after diagnosis of nAMD or DME, as recorded in an electronic health records (EHR) database.

## **ANNEX 4**

### **SPECIFIC ADVERSE DRUG REACTION FOLLOW-UP FORMS**



## **ANNEX 4**

### **SPECIFIC ADVERSE DRUG REACTION FOLLOW-UP FORMS**

#### **Specific Adverse Reactions Follow-Up Forms/Questionnaires**

There is a specific guided questionnaire for faricimab for the following important identified risks:

- [Infectious endophthalmitis](#)
- [Intraocular inflammation](#)



## Guided Questionnaire

AER:	
Site No:	
Patient ID/Initials:	
Patient Gender:	<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F

Local Case ID:	
Patient Date of Birth (dd-MMM-yyyy):	

Intraocular inflammation and/or Endophthalmitis have been observed in some patients treated with Vabysmo (faricimab).

By filling in this questionnaire, you will help us to understand more fully the risk factors for this condition.

Patient Details:				
Country of Incidence	Age at time of the event	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	Ethnic Origin or Race

Drug therapy details – Vabysmo						
Product:	Vabysmo					
Indication:						
In which eye was treatment administered?	<input type="checkbox"/> Right eye <input type="checkbox"/> Left eye <input type="checkbox"/> Both eyes					
Date(s) started (dd-MMM-yyyy):						
Date(s) stopped (dd-MMM-yyyy) / ongoing:						
Treatment regimen/frequency:						
Batch/Lot No. of last dose before AE onset						
Drug therapy details - Fellow Eye Treatment						
Product:						
Date(s) started (dd-MMM-yyyy):						
Date(s) stopped (dd-MMM-yyyy) / ongoing:						
AE suspected to be caused by Fellow Eye treatment?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A					
Drug therapy details -- Any other suspect drug associated with adverse event						
Drug	Indication	Date(s) started (dd-MMM-yyyy)	Date(s) stopped/ongoing (dd-MMM-yyyy):	Route of administration	If Ocular, specify which eye	Dose/regimen

<b>Description of the event:</b>									
Onset date of event (dd/MMM/yyyy): ____/____/____ Date of Recovery (dd/MMM/yyyy): ____/____/____ (If, final outcome resolved) Date of last Vabysmo injection (dd/MMM/yyyy): ____/____/____ Total number of Vabysmo injections received prior to event: _____									
<b>Please check adverse event that applies and provide the relevant information:</b> <b>(Please provide appropriate assessment details in the <u>Assessment and clinical examination Section</u>)</b>									
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Endophthalmitis</b> Event occurred in: <input type="checkbox"/> Right eye <input type="checkbox"/> Left eye <input type="checkbox"/> Both eyes									
Was aseptic technique used when injection was administered? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown (e.g. use of sterile gloves, drape, eye speculum, broad-spectrum microbicide) Other relevant information: _____  Did the patient receive prophylactic topical antibiotics prior to injection? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If yes, for how many days?) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown Other relevant information: _____  Did the patient receive topical antibiotics post injection? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If yes, for how many days?) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown Other relevant information: _____  Prior eye surgery or trauma to eye? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If yes, when?) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown : _____  Is patient immunocompromised? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If yes, when? Please describe.) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown : _____  <b>Symptoms:</b> Eye pain? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown Red eye? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown Floaters? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown Photophobia? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown Worsening of vision? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown  Was there any intervention required? If so, please specify: _____  Please provide a description of the event including clinical findings, management and outcome: _____ Other relevant information: _____ _____ _____									
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Intraocular inflammation</b> Event occurred in: <input type="checkbox"/> Right eye <input type="checkbox"/> Left eye <input type="checkbox"/> Both eyes									
Description of inflammation/associated diagnosis: (Circle all that apply)									
Iritis	Iridocyclitis	Anterior uveitis	Vitritis	Intermediate Uveitis	Retinitis	Chorioretinitis	Posterior Uveitis	Panuveitis	Retinal vasculitis

If none of above, please provide description of inflammation/associated diagnosis: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior intraocular inflammation? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown (if yes, Event occurred in: ☐ Right eye ☐ Left eye ☐ Both eyes)  
(If yes, please describe inflammation, when it occurred and treatment given) \_\_\_\_\_

Systemic condition(s) known to be associated with uveitis? (e.g. infections, autoimmune diseases, HLAB27 or other known genetic predisposition)?  
☐ Yes (If yes, when? Please describe.) ☐ No ☐ Unknown: \_\_\_\_\_

**Symptoms:**

Eye pain? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

Red eye? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

Floaters? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

Photophobia? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

Worsening of vision? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

Was there any intervention required? If so, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide a description of the event including clinical findings, management and outcome: \_\_\_\_\_

Other relevant information: \_\_\_\_\_

Please indicate any actions taken with the suspected medication: (Check all that apply)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Drug continued	<input type="checkbox"/> Drug discontinued	<input type="checkbox"/> Drug interruption	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drug treatment of event*	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-drug treatment of event*	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain):	
Did the adverse event abate after stopping the suspect drug? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		Did the adverse event recur after re-administration of the suspect drug? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
<b>*If treatment was required for event, please specify:</b>			
Treatment	Select (if Given)	Route	Drug name
Steroid	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> IVT, <input type="checkbox"/> Topical, <input type="checkbox"/> Oral	
Antibiotic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> IVT, <input type="checkbox"/> Topical, <input type="checkbox"/> Oral	
Other therapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> IVT, <input type="checkbox"/> Topical, <input type="checkbox"/> Oral	
Other therapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> IVT, <input type="checkbox"/> Topical, <input type="checkbox"/> Oral	
Surgical vitrectomy	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Do any of the following criteria apply as a consequence of the event?			What is the final outcome?
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Life-threatening at the time the event(s) occurred</b> (Any adverse event where the patient was at immediate risk of death at the time the adverse event occurred)	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Resulted in Death</b> Cause of Death: _____ Date of death: ____/____/____ (dd/MMM/yyyy)		<input type="checkbox"/> Complete recovery <input type="checkbox"/> Recovered with sequelae <input type="checkbox"/> Condition improving <input type="checkbox"/> Condition unchanged
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Persistence of significant disability or incapacity</b> (A substantial disruption of a person's	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Involved or prolonged inpatient hospitalization</b>		

ability to conduct normal life functions, resulting in significant, persistent or permanent change, impairment, damage or disruptions in the patient's body function, physical activities and/or quality of life)	Date of admission: ____/____/____ (dd/MMM/yyyy) Date of discharge: ____/____/____ (dd/MMM/yyyy)	<input type="checkbox"/> Condition deteriorating <input type="checkbox"/> Fatal <input type="checkbox"/> Outcome unknown				
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Congenital anomaly or birth defect</b> Provide details:	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Medically significant</b> (An adverse event that may jeopardize the patient and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the other serious outcomes)					
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>None of the above</b>						
<b>Assessments, clinical examinations:</b>						
<i>Please indicate if any of the following tests have been performed, and the result:</i>						
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date</b> (dd-MMM-yyyy)	<b>Result</b>	<b>Not Done</b>			
Visual Acuity			<input type="checkbox"/>			
Ophthalmic examination: Slit lamp, Indirect ophthalmoscope			<input type="checkbox"/>			
IOP measurement			<input type="checkbox"/>			
OCT			<input type="checkbox"/>			
Fluorescein angiography			<input type="checkbox"/>			
Specimen taken and results of culture and sensitivity (Specify type of sample and if it was taken prior to IVT administration or later)			<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other relevant findings and assessments: (e.g PCR test, Syphilis test, HLA-B27, X-ray/CT findings)						
<b>Concurrent/previous medication to the adverse event:</b>						
<b>Drug name</b>	<b>Indication for use</b>	<b>Date(s) started</b> (dd-MMM-yyyy)	<b>Date(s) stopped/ongoing</b> (dd-MMM-yyyy):	<b>Route of administration</b>	<b>If Ocular, specify which eye</b>	<b>Dose/regimen</b>

**Completed by:**

Name:

Position:

Signature:

Date:

E-mail:

**ANNEX 6**

**DETAILS OF PROPOSED ADDITIONAL RISK-MINIMIZATION  
ACTIVITIES**

## **ANNEX 6**

### **DETAILS OF PROPOSED ADDITIONAL RISK-MINIMIZATION ACTIVITIES**

Prior to the launch of Vabysmo® in each Member State, the Marketing Authorization Holder (MAH) must agree about the content and format of the educational programme, including communication media, distribution modalities, and any other aspects of the programme, with the National Competent Authority.

The educational programme is aimed at adequately informing patients/carers on the risks of Vabysmo, the key signs and symptoms of those risks, and when to seek urgent attention from their physician with the objective to minimize the risks, and any resultant complications by encouraging prompt intervention.

The MAH shall ensure that in each Member State where Vabysmo is marketed, all patients/carers who are expected to use Vabysmo have access to both written and audio versions of the educational material (i.e., the patient/carer guide).

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS .....	3
2.	PATIENTS/CARERS .....	3
2.1	Patient Alert Card.....	3
2.2	Patient/Carer Guide .....	3
2.3	Patient Diary .....	3
2.4	Pregnancy Prevention Programs .....	3



## **1. HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS**

Not applicable.

## **2. PATIENTS/CARERS**

The patient information pack consists of the patient information leaflet and a patient/carer guide.

### **2.1 PATIENT ALERT CARD**

Not applicable.

### **2.2 PATIENT/CARER GUIDE**

The key elements of the patient/carer guide provide:

- A description of neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD), diabetic macular edema (DME), and branch/central retinal vein occlusion (B/CRVO)
- A description of Vabysmo, how it works, and what to expect from Vabysmo treatment
- A description of the key signs and symptoms of the key risks associated with Vabysmo, i.e., infectious endophthalmitis and intraocular inflammation
- A description of when to seek urgent attention from the health care provider should signs and symptoms of these risks present themselves
- Recommendations for adequate care after the injection

### **2.3 PATIENT DIARY**

Not applicable.

### **2.4 PREGNANCY PREVENTION PROGRAMS**

Not applicable.