



Report from the coordinating group (CG)

Update on Enpr-EMA activities, achievements and challenges

Workshop June 7th 2018





Introduction and background

Enpr-EMA - umbrella network of existing networks

- provides access to networks/sites with expertise in performing clinical trials in the paediatric population
- enables collaboration/learning of individual networks from each other
- versus different role of the clinical trial networks which actually conduct and perform the clinical trials
- Members perform research with children (newborns to adolescents), in multiple therapeutic areas, and ranging from pharmacokinetics to pharmacovigilance



Membership

- To ensure certain quality standards, Enpr-EMA introduced set of minimum recognition criteria which have to be fulfilled
- to become category 1 member of Enpr-EMA
- to be eligible as coordinating group member
- Recognition criteria were developed by networks themselves by consensus formation techniques and focus on:
 - ✓ Research experience and ability
 - ✓ Efficiency requirements
 - ✓ Scientific competencies and capacity to provide expert advice.
 - ✓ Quality management
 - ✓ Training and educational capacity to build competences
 - ✓ Involvement of patients, parents or their organisations
- Self-assessment to be updated every second year



Key operational goals

Platform for close interactions of all stakeholders necessary to facilitate the conduct of (large) studies in children

- To link together existing networks EU and extra-EU
- To share best practices
- To offer single point of contact for (European) networks enprema@ema.europa.eu
- To define strategies for resolving major challenges
- Enpr-EMA does NOT
 - fund / conduct clinical trials
 - act as a CRO and manage studies



Breakdown of networks by type and category

National		Oncology/ Haematologic Malignancies Diabetes/ Endocrinology/ metabolic disorders/ Gynaecology		Gastroenterology / Hepatology		Allergology/ Immunology/ Rheumatology		Stem Cell /Organ Transplantation/ Haematology/Ha emostaseology	Respiratory diseases /Cystic Fibrosis
DCRI	ITCC						PRINTO	EBMT	ECFS-CTN
NI HR-MCRN									
ScotCRN	Newclastle-CLL	G		PED	DCReN				
FinPedMed	IBFMSG				PLTN	JS	SWG of PRES		
PEDMED-NL									
MICYRN	CLG- of EORTO								
CICPed	CEPOETA								
RIPPS	Category 1: Networks fulfilling all minimum criteria for membership of Enpr-EMA.								
OKids	Category 2: Networks potentially fulfilling all minimum criteria – but needing to clarify some issues before becoming a member of Enpr-EMA. Category 3: Networks currently not yet fulfilling minimum criteria. Category 4: Networks not performing clinical trials; e.g. methodology, infrastructure, Unable to fill self-								
RECLIP									
NETSTAP									
IPCRN									
MCRN-Hungary	SPECIAL ACTIVITIES / AGE GROUPS ass							assessment report	
SwissPedNet		Infectious	cases/ cinolog		sive			special activities	
Red SAMID	Psychiatry/	diseases/			Europear neonatal		European paediatric	(Phv, long term	Expertise in clinical trial
NCCHD-Japan	Neurology	Vaccinolog			neonatai network		pharmacists	follow up, community	methodology
NorPedMed		У	Surg					paediatricians)	
	EUNETHYDIS	PENTA-ID			GNN			FIMP-MCRN	TEDDY
	ECAPN	UKPVG	ESPN	IIC	INFANT			Futurenest CR	EAPRASnet
		RITIP			Neo-circulat	ion			PedCRIN
		ReSViNet			ESDPPP				EYPAG net
									TREAT-NMD



Coordinating Group

Role of the Coordinating Group:

- > to contribute to the short and long-term strategy of the network
- > to address operational and scientific issues for the network
- > to agree scientific quality standards
- > to act as a forum for communication











28 February 2018 EMA/493016/2013 Rev. 6 Product Development and Scientific Support Department

Mandate of Enpr-EMA working groups

http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Other/2014/03/WC500163382.pdf



Canada

CROs

ECRIN

USA

MRCT /

c4c Network Infrastructure

ERNs EJP-RD

Sponsor



c4c Expert Advice

Investigators

Research
Ethics
Committees

EPTRI

eYPAGnet

Japan

Australia

National Hubs

Site

Specialty networks

Clinical experts

Health
Technology
Assessment

Children, Young People and Families Methodological experts

Reimbursement



Biggest Achievement

To develop and maintain a platform that can:

- Identify needs
- Address needs
- Host ongoing discussion
- Engage multiple stakeholders
- Integrate perspectives

Minimise use of resources



Summary

Activities

Driven by needs and contributions of multiple stakeholders

Achievements

- 1. Clear, concrete outcomes
- 2. Progression with time

Challenges

Unity in diversity

Specifying and delivering tasks