



Pilot project on dose optimisation of established veterinary antibiotics in the context of SPC harmonisation

1. Context
2. Principles
3. Key success factors
4. Methodology – Reflection paper
5. Implementation

- **Posology old ABs** not always adequate, **field** evidence (efficacy, context **AMR**)
- Update **current requirements** → **Not viable** approach; concern **availability**
- **Security of supply** and incentive to maintain some **older ABs** on the market essential.
 - Remote likelihood new vet ABs developed
 - Pave the way for **sustainable regulatory environment** existing ones
 - Exploring **scientific approach** + options offered by existing **legal tools**

Social shared responsibility **Regulators + Industry**

PILOT PROJECT: Feasibility mathematical **modelling**

1. Update and harmonise dose – PK/PD
2. Address consequences –WPs, **ERA**, Safety



Generics

Non-experimental approach; Use of **existing data in dossiers** and literature to the most extent possible

- Efforts from all players; limitations, constraints and market consequences acknowledged
- “Must-do”; any other alternative too costly and would impact availability (-).

KEY SUCCESS FACTORS

1

Modelling applied to every product (“hour glass approach”)

Essential hybrid authorisations i.e. if there were differences with the WPs, those would be maintained



Product harmonisation, not class harmonisation

2

Pragmatic approach

- Existing data in dossiers
- Existing data in literature

3

When strictly necessary based on **evidence of risk**

- Field experience, Substances underdose would lead AMR
- Prioritization.

METHODOLOGY – REFLECTION PAPER

At present reviewing and compiling comments (31.01.2019)

Basically we think, that this is a **scientific** based, **well designed, logically and intelligibly** described draft proposal.

This very extensive reflection paper is **well written** and uses **scientifically sound** methods

This draft is very long and deeply **professional**

We are **extremely enthusiastic** about this document. We have many **old products** that could benefit if this paper was finalized in a guideline. We would be able to **update** some of our our products making them **safer for animals and people**

Agreed scheme Regulators/Industry supported & preferred.

Would allow better management of

- Timings, **planning** and anticipation
- Selection of molecules (**priorities**)
- Monitoring **implementation** and follow-up

In line with **PPHOVA principles and spirit**: commitment, open dialogue, joint responsibility, effective implementation

Cooperation for a **COMMON KEY COMMON OBJECTIVE:**
to **guarantee** the range of **treatment options**

CONCERN REFERRALS, not aligned with PPHOVA principles

1. SCOPE?

Broader scope (not only dose). Loss of indications, species, pharmaceutical forms...? -> availability (-)

2. METHODOLOGY?

Class harmonisation, conflict with “hour glass approach” (-)

Disincentive innovate and improve old products (-)

1. PRAGMATISM?

Cost and burden for industry and regulators (-)

1. Context - **agreed** ✓
2. Principles - **endorsed** ✓
3. Key success factors - **supported** ✓
4. Methodology / Reflection paper – **welcome!** ✓
5. Implementation – **proposals TBD** ✓

**Balanced and pragmatic approaches
to maintain a broad arsenal of
safe and effective therapeutic options.**