

Introduction to PBPK *N. Parrott & T. Lave*



Roche

Outline

- Introduction
 - What is PBPK?
 - PBPK models of absorption, distribution and metabolism and elimination
- Application of PBPK in pharmaceutical research and development
 - Past uses
 - Recent developments
- Experience at Roche
 - Extrapolation of human pharmacokinetics
 - Some benefits of the PBPK approach from discovery to the clinic
- Considerations for PBPK modeling in pediatric populations



Aarons, L., British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2005. 60(6): p. 581-583.

Absorption



Model parameters include :

Physiology

Intestinal fluid volume Intestinal transit times Intestinal pH

Luminal surface area Metabolizing enzyme expression

Drug specific

Solubility Particle size Charge Lipophilicity

Formulation

Roche

Agoram, B., W.S. Woltosz, and M.B. Bolger, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev., 2001. 50(Supplement 1): p. S41–S67.

Distribution



Model parameters include :

Physiology

Blood flow Tissue perfusion Tissue volume Tissue composition

Drug specific

Lipophilicity Charge Tissue partitioning Plasma protein binding Membrane permeability

Roche

Rodgers, T. and M. Rowland,. Pharmaceutical Research, 2007. 24(5).

Metabolism/Elimination





Enterocyte

Model parameters include :

Physiology

Blood flow Enzyme amounts

Drug specific

Drug lipophilicity Drug charge Plasma protein binding Membrane permeability Enzyme kinetics

Rostami-Hodjegan, A. and G.T. Tucker, Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2007. 6(2): p. 140-148.

Some key benefits of PBPK

- Framework for integration of *in-vitro* data
- a priori prediction of PK is feasible
- Kinetics in tissue (effect) compartments can be estimated
- Extrapolation across species, routes of administration and doses
- Modeling of sub-populations (e.g. obese patients, elderly)
- Modeling of variability and uncertainty

BUT

• Although the benefits are numerous the growth in use has been at best steady



Nestorov, I., Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol., 2007. **3**(2): p. 235-249.

Roche

PBPK : Time for wider use?

- Limitations in computing power but this is not a factor for some years
- PBPK too complicated ?
- Shortage of experts ?
- Tools are now very user friendly
- Training and support available





PK-Sim®





- + simple
- frequently inaccurate
- predict average parameters
- predict only parent compound
- data intensive (in vivo PK)



- +/- more sophisticated
- Need training for use
- + consider variability and uncertainty
- + predict full profiles
- + easily inked to PD models
- + potential to predict metabolites

Roche



Jones, H., et al., Clinical Pharmacokinetics, 2006. **45**(5): p. 511-542.

PBPK model refinements



Preliminary

Aqueous solubility PAMPA or in silico permeability

Absorption

Clearance

Liver microsomes Predicted binding Well stirred model

Predicted tissue partitioning

Perfusion limited

Distribution

Refined

Biorelevant solubility Caco2 permeability Intestinal metabolism Efflux / Influx transport GI fluid degradation Formulation effects Hepatocytes

Active transport processes

Measured in vitro binding Renal clearance

Biliary excretion

Measured tissue partitioning (rat)

Permeability limited tissue model with active transport



PBPK additional benefits in understanding



Roche

PBPK throughout research and development







Considerations for a PBPK model in pediatrics

- Existing PBPK in adults can be leveraged
- PBPK allows the known physiological differences between adults and children to be accounted for
 - E.g. changes in body fat, plasma proteins, organ size development,
- Known maturation in clearance processes can be incorporated
 - E.g. specific cytochrome P450s and renal clearance maturation
- Allows variability to be included (e.g. in clearance as shown by Johnson)
- Several examples of application are encouraging as to the benefits of this approach

Bjorkman, S.,. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2005. **59**(6): p. 691-704 Johnson, T. et al.. Clin Pharmacokinet, 2006. **45**: p. 931-956.

Edginton, A.N., et al. Clinical Pharmacokinetics, 2006. **45**(10): p. 1013-1034.

Acknowledgements

- T. Lave
- B. Reigner
- H. Jones

