

The changing diagnostic criteria for AD, including early and asymptomatic disease stages and their impact on clinical trial design

> **Eric Siemers** On behalf of the EFPIA Working Group

24-25 November 2014 London UK

> European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations

> > www.efpia.eu





### Fric Siemers MD is a Distinguished Medical Fellow, employee and shareholder at Eli Lilly and Company



## Our understanding of AD pathology and clinical symptoms have improved substantially

- Amyloid plaques form 10-20 years prior to the onset of AD dementia
- The ordering of other biomarker changes including CSF tau through the course of preclinical and clinical AD is becoming better understood
- The relationship of different domains of clinical symptoms (e.g. cognition and function) is becoming better understood



## AD pathology begins prior to the onset of clinical symptoms



4

Clifford Jack et al. Lancet. Neurol. 2010 January; 9(1): 119. Reisa Sperling, Clifford R Jack, Paul S Aisen Science translational medicine Nov 2011

# Refining clinical trial designs for putative disease-modifying therapies

## Alzheimer's disease progression

P	reclinical	Prodromal	Mild	Moderate	Severe
*	Definitions operationa	of the preclinc	ial, prodror I trials	nal and mild po	pulations are
*	Appropriat group of p	te outcome mea atients	sures will ı	need to be esta	blished for each
*	The clinication for clinical practice.	I differences be trial population	etween pations, and will	ent groups will be even less di	not be distinct stinct in clinical



## The relationship between cognitive and functional domains is becoming better understood

A Path Diagram Describing the Interrelationship Between Bivariate Longitudinal Data



Adapted from Zahodne et al. 2013. Copyright: © 2013 Zahodne et al.

Overall model fit assessed using comparative fit index (CFI), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), and standardized root mean square residual (SRMR), which are standard measures used in panel analyses of this type.<sup>1</sup> Acceptable values: CFI $\geq$ 0.9, RMSEA $\leq$ 0.08, SRMR $\leq$  0.05.<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Selig and Little. Chapter 16 in : Laursen B, Little TD, Card NA (eds.). 2012. *Handbook of Developmental Research Methods*. The Guilford Press, pp265-278.

<sup>2</sup>Gao et al. Proceedings of SAS Users Group International 2006 meeting (SUGI31), Paper 187-31.

<sup>3</sup>Zahodne et al. PLOS ONE 2013; 8(9): e73645



## ARCL Panel Analysis Results Predictors Study

	Predictor of Function (γ1)	Predictor of Global Cognitive Status (γ2)
	Estimate (SE)	Estimate (SE)
Visit 1	-0.15** (0.03)	-0.14** (0.03)
Visit 2	-0.17** (0.03)	-0.05 (0.03)
Visit 3	-0.16** (0.03)	-0.06* (0.03)
Visit 4	-0.14** (0.03)	-0.07* (0.03)
Visit 5	-0.20** (0.03)	0.02 (0.03)
Visit 6	-0.07* (0.03)	-0.05 (0.03)
Visit 7	-0.15** (0.03)	-0.00 (0.03)
Visit 8	-0.18** (0.04)	0.01 (0.03)
Visit 9	-0.13* (0.04)	0.03 (0.03)
Visit 10	-0.15* (0.05)	0.00 (0.03)
Visit 11	-0.25** (0.05)	0.03 (0.05)

\* *p*<.05, \*\**p*<.001

Abbreviations: CFI=comparative fit index; RMSEA=root mean square error of approximation; SE=standard error; SRMR=Standardized root mean square residual

Model fitting statistics

	Model Value	Desired Value
RMSEA	0.04	<0.08
SRMR	0.03	<0.05
CFI	0.98	>0.95

- Cross-lagged regression coefficients significant 11/11 for predictor of function, while 3/11 for predictor of cognition.
- Stronger cross-lagged coefficient for cognition predicting subsequent function than vice versa
- Data support functional impairment is a direct result of cognitive impairment



7 🏼 🗱

# Ongoing trials are studying cohorts earlier in the course of AD





## **Diagnostic Criteria**

\* 1906: Dr. Alois Alzheimer discovered amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in a patient

Until recently, a confirmatory diagnosis required clinical features and histopathological confirmation by brain biopsy or autopsy





Matthews and Miller. In: *The Behavioral Neurology of Dementia*, 2009. Photographs used with permission.

## Our diagnostic classification systems have evolved based on new information

#### NINCDS-ADRDA criteria, 1984<sup>1</sup>

- Based on correlation between pathology and clinical symptoms
- \* Did not incorporate nonamnestic presentations, biomarker information, or concept of MCI
- Clinical focus and diagnosis of exclusion

#### **International Working Group (IWG): New research criteria for diagnosis of AD**, 2007<sup>2</sup>

- Included early/prodromal stages of AD; incorporated biomarker information, and memory impairment
- \* Formalized the idea of a continuum; becomes a diagnosis of inclusion

#### **IWG research criteria: New lexicon for AD**, 2010<sup>3</sup>

- \* Attempt to provide a common language about disease stages and types of evidence
- Distinguished MCI from prodromal and incorporated atypical presentations

#### \* NIA/AA criteria, 2011<sup>4</sup>

- Written to address both research and clinical practices
- \* Formalized different stages of continuum: preclinical AD, MCI due to AD, AD dementia
- Incorporated adjunctive biomarker information to the criteria
- Includes atypical presentations

#### **\* DSM-5 criteria**, 2013<sup>5</sup>

- Terminology shift from dementia to neurocognitive disorders (NCDs)
- \* Major or mild NCD subtypes can be due to Alzheimer's disease

3. Dubois B et al. *Lancet Neurol* 2010;9(11):1118-27.

- 4. Jack CR Jr et al. Alzheimers Dement 2011;7(3):257-62.
- 5. American Psychiatric Association. DSM-5, 2013.

<sup>1.</sup> McKhann G et al. *Neurology* 1984;34(7):939-44.

<sup>2.</sup> Dubois B et al. *Lancet Neurol* 2007;6(8):734-46.

## IWG Recommendations for Revised Research Criteria for AD Diagnosis

	AD Diagnosis	Presence of Impairment on Specified Memory Tests	Evidence of AD Biomarkers <i>In Vivo</i>	Additional Requirements
Typical AD	Yes	Required	Required	None
Atypical AD	Yes	Not required	equired Required Specific clinic	
Prodromal AD	Yes	Required	Required	Absence of dementia
AD dementia	Yes	Required	Required	Presence of dementia
Mixed AD	Yes	Required	Required	Evidence of comorbid disorders
Asymptomatic at-risk for AD	No	Not present	Required	Absence of symptoms of AD
Presymptomatic AD	No	Not present	Not required	Absence of symptoms of AD and presence of monogenic AD mutation
МСІ	No	Not required	Not required	Absence of symptoms or biomarkers specific for AD

Dubois B et al. Lancet Neurol 2010;9(11):1118-27.

## NIA/AA Criteria: Staging Categories for AD Including Biomarkers

Category	Subcategory	Amyloid <sup>a</sup> (PET or CSF)	Neuronal Injury <sup>a</sup> (tau, FDG, MRI)	Cognition/Function	
	Stage 1	Positive	Negative	Asymptomatic	
Preclinical <sup>1</sup>	Stage 2	Positive	Positive	Asymptomatic	
	Stage 3	Positive	Positive	Subtle cognitive/behavioral decline	
		Positive	Untested	<ul> <li>≥1 cognitive domains impaired, typically memory</li> <li>Atypical presentations possible</li> <li>Mild functional impairment but remain independent</li> <li>Not demented</li> </ul>	
MCI due to		Untested	Positive		
AD <sup>2</sup>	High Likelihood	Positive	Positive		
	Unlikely	Negative	Negative		
	Probable: Intermediate	Positive	Unavailable/ indeterminate	Amnestic ■ Learning and recall impaired plus ≥1 other cognitive	
	Likelihood	Unavailable/ indeterminate	Positive	domain         • Insidious onset <u>Nonamnestic</u> • ≥2 domains impaired: language, visuospatial, or executive         • Insidious onset         Atypical (sudden onset, or insufficient history/evidence of progressive decline) or mixed etiology dementia	
AD Dementia <sup>3</sup>	Probable; High Likelihood	Positive	Positive		
	Possible; High Likelihood Doesn't R/O 2nd Etiology	Positive	Positive		
	Unlikely	Negative	Negative	Typical or atypical AD dementia	

<sup>a</sup>Recommended for research purposes in preclinical and MCI stages; biomarkers can be used to increase certainty of diagnosis in dementia stage in research and when needed and appropriate in clinical setting

- 1. Sperling RA et al. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011;7(3):280-92.
- 2. Albert MS et al. Alzheimers Dement 2011;7(3):270-9.
- 3. McKhann GM et al. Alzheimers Dement 2011;7(3):263-9.

### DSM-5 Criteria for Major and Mild Neurocognitive Disorder due to AD

Type and Degree of Clinical Impact	Major NCD	Mild NCD
A. Concern by individual, informant, or clinician of <u>cognitive decline</u> from previous performance	Significant cognitive decline	Mild cognitive decline
B. <u>Cognitive impairment</u> – based on standardized neuropsychological testing or another qualified clinical assessment	Substantial cognitive impairment	Modest cognitive impairment
<b>C.</b> Involves <u>cognitive domains</u> : complex attention, executive function, learning/memory, language, perceptual-motor, or social cognition	≥2 cognitive domains	≥1 cognitive domains
D. Impairment insidious in onset; gradually progresses	Same	Same
E. Cognitive deficits and <i>interference</i> with activities of daily living ADLs and instrumental ADLs (iADLs)	Does interfere with ADLs and with complex iADLs	Does NOT interfere with ADLs; may interfere with iADLs
F. Clinical features must not suggest another primary etiology	Same	Same

American Psychiatric Association. DSM-5, 2013.

### **Comparison of Recent Diagnostic Terminology for Alzheimer's Disease Stages**

- No or subtle complaints
  - IWG: asymptomatic or presymptomatic AD<sup>1</sup>
  - NIA/AA: preclinical AD<sup>2</sup>
- Mild stage (cognitive impairment with preservation of functional independence)
  - IWG: prodromal (has biomarker evidence of AD)<sup>1</sup>
  - NIA/AA: mild cognitive impairment (etiology uncertain without biomarker)<sup>3</sup>
  - DSM-5: mild neurocognitive disorder due to AD<sup>4</sup> (possible; probable with causative genetic mutation)
- Dementia stage (impairment in daily living)
  - IWG: AD dementia<sup>1</sup>
  - NIA/AA: dementia due to AD (possible or probable)<sup>5</sup>
  - DSM-5: major neurocognitive disorder due to AD (probable AD)<sup>4</sup>



Early AD

Mild to

Moderate AD

- 2. Sperling RA et al. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011;7(3):280-92.
- 3. Albert MS et al. Alzheimers Dement 2011;7(3):270-9.

- 4. American Psychiatric Association. DSM-5, 2013.
- 5. McKann GM et al. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011,7(3):263-9.
- 6. http://www.alz.org/braintour/progression.asp.

<sup>1.</sup> Dubois B et al. *Lancet Neurol* 2010;9(11):1118-27.

### Diagnostic criteria for AD may need modification for mixed pathologies



Adapted from Barker et al. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2002;16(4):203-12.

## **Key regulatory questions (1/2)**

\* Based on recent biomarker and other data, we conclude that Alzheimer's disease is a continuum, and the operationally defined stages of disease are not clearly demarcated. Does the agency agree?



## Key regulatory questions (2/2)

- \* Based on the concept of a continuum of AD, and the similarities between prodromal AD and mild AD dementia as defined operationally, we conclude that these populations can be combined into a single cohort ('early AD') for the purpose of clinical trials. Does the agency agree?
  - \* Based on extensive work supporting the use of a single composite outcome for trials in prodromal AD, we conclude that such a measure could also be an appropriate primary outcome for a trial investigating patients with prodromal AD and mild AD dementia ('early AD'). Does the agency agree?







#### **EFPIA Brussels Office**

Leopold Plaza Building Rue du Trône 108 B-1050 Brussels - Belgium Tel: +32 (0)2 626 25 55

www.efpia.eu