ANNEX I

SUMMARY OF PRODUCT CHARACTERISTICS

1. NAME OF THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT

Vemlidy 25 mg film-coated tablets.

2. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE COMPOSITION

Each film-coated tablet contains tenofovir alafenamide fumarate equivalent to 25 mg of tenofovir alafenamide.

Excipient with known effect

Each tablet contains 95 mg lactose (as monohydrate).

For the full list of excipients, see section 6.1.

3. PHARMACEUTICAL FORM

Film-coated tablet.

Yellow, round, film-coated tablets, 8 mm in diameter, debossed with "GSI" on one side of the tablet and "25" on the other side of the tablet.

4. CLINICAL PARTICULARS

4.1 Therapeutic indications

Vemlidy is indicated for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B (CHB) in adults and paediatric patients 6 years of age and older weighing at least 25 kg (see section 5.1).

4.2 Posology and method of administration

Therapy should be initiated by a physician experienced in the management of CHB.

Posology

Adults and paediatric patients at least 6 years of age and older weighing at least 25 kg: one tablet once daily.

Treatment discontinuation

Treatment discontinuation may be considered as follows (see section 4.4):

- In HBeAg-positive patients without cirrhosis, treatment should be administered for at least 6-12 months after HBe seroconversion (HBeAg loss and HBV DNA loss with anti-HBe detection) is confirmed or until HBs seroconversion or until there is loss of efficacy (see section 4.4). Regular reassessment is recommended after treatment discontinuation to detect virological relapse.
- In HBeAg-negative patients without cirrhosis, treatment should be administered at least until HBs seroconversion or until there is evidence of loss of efficacy. With prolonged treatment for more than 2 years, regular reassessment is recommended to confirm that continuing the selected therapy remains appropriate for the patient.

Missed dose

If a dose is missed and less than 18 hours have passed from the time it is usually taken, the patient should take this medicinal product as soon as possible and then resume their normal dosing schedule. If more than 18 hours have passed from the time it is usually taken, the patient should not take the missed dose and should simply resume the normal dosing schedule.

If the patient vomits within 1 hour of taking the treatment, the patient should take another tablet. If the patient vomits more than 1 hour after taking the treatment, the patient does not need to take another tablet.

Special populations

Elderly

No dose adjustment of this medicinal product is required in patients aged 65 years and older (see section 5.2).

Renal impairment

No dose adjustment of this medicinal product is required in adults or adolescents (aged at least 12 years and of at least 35 kg body weight) with estimated creatinine clearance (CrCl) \geq 15 mL/min or in patients with CrCl < 15 mL/min who are receiving haemodialysis.

On days of haemodialysis, this medicinal product should be administered after completion of haemodialysis treatment (see section 5.2).

No dosing recommendations can be given for patients with CrCl < 15 mL/min who are not receiving haemodialysis (see section 4.4).

No data are available to make dose recommendations in children aged less than 12 years and of less than 35 kg body weight with renal impairment.

Hepatic impairment

No dose adjustment of this medicinal product is required in patients with hepatic impairment (see sections 4.4 and 5.2).

Paediatric population

The safety and efficacy of Vemlidy in children younger than 6 years of age or weighing < 25 kg have not yet been established. No data are available.

Method of administration

Oral use. Vemlidy film-coated tablets should be taken with food (see section 5.2).

4.3 Contraindications

Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients listed in section 6.1.

4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use

Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) transmission

Patients must be advised that this medicinal product does not prevent the risk of transmission of HBV to others through sexual contact or contamination with blood. Appropriate precautions must continue to be used.

Patients with decompensated liver disease

There are limited data on the safety and efficacy of tenofovir alafenamide in HBV infected patients with decompensated liver disease and who have a Child Pugh Turcotte (CPT) score > 9 (i.e. class C). These patients may be at higher risk of experiencing serious hepatic or renal adverse reactions. Therefore, hepatobiliary and renal parameters should be closely monitored in this patient population (see section 5.2).

Exacerbation of hepatitis

Flares on treatment

Spontaneous exacerbations in CHB are relatively common and are characterised by transient increases in serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT). After initiating antiviral therapy, serum ALT may increase in some patients. In patients with compensated liver disease, these increases in serum ALT are generally not accompanied by an increase in serum bilirubin concentrations or hepatic decompensation. Patients with cirrhosis may be at a higher risk for hepatic decompensation following hepatitis exacerbation, and therefore should be monitored closely during therapy.

Flares after treatment discontinuation

Acute exacerbation of hepatitis has been reported in patients who have discontinued treatment for CHB, usually in association with rising HBV DNA levels in plasma. The majority of cases are self-limited but severe exacerbations, including fatal outcomes, may occur after discontinuation of treatment for CHB. Hepatic function should be monitored at repeated intervals with both clinical and laboratory follow-up for at least 6 months after discontinuation of treatment for CHB. If appropriate, resumption of CHB therapy may be warranted.

In patients with advanced liver disease or cirrhosis, treatment discontinuation is not recommended since post-treatment exacerbation of hepatitis may lead to hepatic decompensation. Liver flares are especially serious, and sometimes fatal in patients with decompensated liver disease.

Renal impairment

Patients with creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min

The use of tenofovir alafenamide once daily in patients with $CrCl \ge 15 \text{ mL/min}$ and < 30 mL/min is based on Week 96 data on the efficacy and safety of switching from another antiviral regimen to tenofovir alafenamide in an open-label clinical study of virologically suppressed HBV-infected patients (see sections 4.8 and 5.1). There are very limited data on the safety and efficacy of tenofovir alafenamide in HBV-infected patients with CrCl < 15 mL/min on chronic haemodialysis (see sections 4.8, 5.1 and 5.2).

The use of this medicinal product is not recommended in patients with CrCl < 15 mL/min who are not receiving haemodialysis (see section 4.2).

Nephrotoxicity

Post-marketing cases of renal impairment, including acute renal failure and proximal renal tubulopathy have been reported with tenofovir alafenamide-containing products. A potential risk of nephrotoxicity resulting from chronic exposure to low levels of tenofovir due to dosing with tenofovir alafenamide cannot be excluded (see section 5.3).

It is recommended that renal function is assessed in all patients prior to, or when initiating, therapy with this treatment and that it is also monitored during therapy in all patients as clinically appropriate. In patients who develop clinically significant decreases in renal function, or evidence of proximal renal tubulopathy, discontinuation of this medicinal product should be considered.

Patients co-infected with HBV and hepatitis C or D virus

There are no data on the safety and efficacy of tenofovir alafenamide in patients co-infected with hepatitis C (HCV) or D (HDV) virus. Co-administration guidance for the treatment of HCV should be followed (see section 4.5).

HBV and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) co-infection

HIV antibody testing should be offered to all HBV infected patients whose HIV-1 infection status is unknown before initiating therapy with this medicinal product. In patients who are co-infected with HBV and HIV, Vemlidy should be co-administered with other antiretroviral medicinal products to ensure that the patient receives an appropriate regimen for treatment of HIV (see section 4.5).

Co-administration with other medicinal products

This medicinal product should not be co-administered with medicinal products containing tenofovir alafenamide, tenofovir disoproxil or adefovir dipivoxil.

Co-administration of this treatment with certain anticonvulsants (e.g. carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, phenobarbital and phenytoin), antimycobacterials (e.g. rifampicin, rifabutin and rifapentine) or St. John's wort, all of which are inducers of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) and may decrease tenofovir alafenamide plasma concentrations, is not recommended.

Co-administration of this treatment with strong inhibitors of P-gp (e.g. itraconazole and ketoconazole) may increase tenofovir alafenamide plasma concentrations. Co-administration is not recommended.

Paediatric population

Reductions in bone mineral density (BMD \geq 4%) of the lumbar spine and of whole body have been reported in some paediatric patients 6 years of age and older weighing at least 25 kg who received tenofovir alafenamide for 48 weeks (see sections 4.8 and 5.1). The long-term effects of changes in BMD on the growing bone, including the risk of fracture, are uncertain. A multidisciplinary approach is recommended to decide the appropriate monitoring during treatment.

Excipients with known effect

This medicinal product contains lactose monohydrate. Patients with rare hereditary problems of galactose intolerance, total lactase deficiency or glucose-galactose malabsorption should not take this medicinal product.

This medicinal product contains less than 1 mmol sodium (23 mg) per tablet, that is to say essentially 'sodium-free'.

4.5 Interaction with other medicinal products and other forms of interaction

Interaction studies have only been performed in adults.

This medicinal product should not be co-administered with medicinal products containing tenofovir disoproxil, tenofovir alafenamide or adefovir dipivoxil.

Medicinal products that may affect tenofovir alafenamide

Tenofovir alafenamide is transported by P-gp and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP). Medicinal products that are P-gp inducers (e.g., rifampicin, rifabutin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital or St. John's wort) are expected to decrease plasma concentrations of tenofovir alafenamide, which may lead to loss of therapeutic effect of Vemlidy. Co-administration of such medicinal products with tenofovir alafenamide is not recommended.

Co-administration of tenofovir alafenamide with medicinal products that inhibit P-gp and BCRP may increase plasma concentrations of tenofovir alafenamide. Co-administration of strong inhibitors of P-gp with tenofovir alafenamide is not recommended.

Tenofovir alafenamide is a substrate of OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 *in vitro*. The distribution of tenofovir alafenamide in the body may be affected by the activity of OATP1B1 and/or OATP1B3.

Effect of tenofovir alafenamide on other medicinal products

Tenofovir alafenamide is not an inhibitor of CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, or CYP2D6 *in vitro*. It is not an inhibitor or inducer of CYP3A *in vivo*.

Tenofovir alafenamide is not an inhibitor of human uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A1 *in vitro*. It is not known whether tenofovir alafenamide is an inhibitor of other UGT enzymes.

Drug interaction information for Vemlidy with potential concomitant medicinal products is summarised in Table 1 below (increase is indicated as " \uparrow ", decrease as " \downarrow ", no change as " \leftrightarrow "; twice daily as "b.i.d.", single dose as "s.d.", once daily as "q.d."). The drug interactions described are based on studies conducted with tenofovir alafenamide, or are potential drug interactions that may occur with Vemlidy.

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on drug levels. ^{a,b} Mean ratio (90% confidence interval) for AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Vemlidy
ANTICONVULSANTS		
Carbamazepine (300 mg orally, b.i.d.)	$\begin{array}{l} Tenofovir \ alafenamide \\ \downarrow \ C_{max} \ 0.43 \ (0.36, \ 0.51) \\ \downarrow \ AUC \ 0.45 \ (0.40, \ 0.51) \end{array}$	Co-administration is not recommended.
Tenofovir alafenamide ^c (25 mg orally, s.d.)	Tenofovir ↓ Cmax 0.70 (0.65, 0.74) ↔ AUC 0.77 (0.74, 0.81)	
Oxcarbazepine Phenobarbital	Interaction not studied. <i>Expected:</i> \downarrow Tenofovir alafenamide	Co-administration is not recommended.
Phenytoin	Interaction not studied. <i>Expected:</i> ↓ Tenofovir alafenamide	Co-administration is not recommended.
Midazolam ^d (2.5 mg orally, s.d.) Tenofovir alafenamide ^c (25 mg orally, q.d.)		No dose adjustment of midazolam (administered orally or intravenously) is required.
Midazolam ^d (1 mg intravenously, s.d.) Tenofovir alafenamide ^c (25 mg orally, q.d.)	$\begin{array}{l} \textit{Midazolam} \\ \leftrightarrow C_{\max} \ 0.99 \ (0.89, \ 1.11) \\ \leftrightarrow \text{AUC} \ 1.08 \ (1.04, \ 1.14) \end{array}$	

Table 1: Interactions Between Vemlidy and Other Medicinal Products

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on drug levels. ^{a,b} Mean ratio (90% confidence interval) for AUC, Cmax, Cmin	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Vemlidy
ANTIDEPRESSANTS		
Sertraline (50 mg orally, s.d.)	$\begin{array}{c} Tenofovir \ alafenamide \\ \leftrightarrow C_{max} \ 1.00 \ (0.86, \ 1.16) \\ \leftrightarrow AUC \ 0.96 \ (0.89, \ 1.03) \end{array}$	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or sertraline is required.
Tenofovir alafenamide ^e (10 mg orally, q.d.)	$\begin{array}{l} Tenofovir \\ \leftrightarrow C_{max} \ 1.10 \ (1.00, \ 1.21) \\ \leftrightarrow AUC \ 1.02 \ (1.00, \ 1.04) \\ \leftrightarrow C_{min} \ 1.01 \ (0.99, \ 1.03) \end{array}$	
Sertraline (50 mg orally, s.d.)	Sertraline $\leftrightarrow C_{max}$ 1.14 (0.94, 1.38) $\leftrightarrow AUC 0.93 (0.77, 1.13)$	
Tenofovir alafenamide ^e (10 mg orally, q.d.)		
ANTIFUNGALS	•	•
Itraconazole Ketoconazole	Interaction not studied. <i>Expected:</i>	Co-administration is not recommended.
ANTIMUCODACTEDIALS	↑ Tenofovir alafenamide	
ANTIMYCOBACTERIALS	Trademan at a second second second	Co-durinistantian in the second 1.1
Rifampicin Rifapentine	Interaction not studied. <i>Expected:</i> ↓ Tenofovir alafenamide	Co-administration is not recommended.
Rifabutin	Interaction not studied. <i>Expected:</i> ↓ Tenofovir alafenamide	Co-administration is not recommended.
HCV ANTIVIRAL AGENTS	· · ·	
Sofosbuvir (400 mg orally, q.d.)	Interaction not studied. <i>Expected:</i> ↔ Sofosbuvir ↔ GS-331007	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or sofosbuvir is required.
Ledipasvir/sofosbuvir (90 mg/400 mg orally, q.d.) Tenofovir alafenamide ^f (25 mg orally, q.d.)	$\begin{array}{l} Ledipasvir \\ \leftrightarrow C_{max} 1.01 (0.97, 1.05) \\ \leftrightarrow AUC 1.02 (0.97, 1.06) \\ \leftrightarrow C_{min} 1.02 (0.98, 1.07) \\ \hline Sofosbuvir \\ \leftrightarrow C_{max} 0.96 (0.89, 1.04) \\ \leftrightarrow AUC 1.05 (1.01, 1.09) \\ \hline GS-331007^g \\ \leftrightarrow C_{max} 1.08 (1.05, 1.11) \\ \leftrightarrow AUC 1.08 (1.06, 1.10) \\ \leftrightarrow C_{min} 1.10 (1.07, 1.12) \\ \hline Tenofovir alafenamide \\ \leftrightarrow C_{max} 1.03 (0.94, 1.14) \\ \leftrightarrow AUC 1.32 (1.25, 1.40) \\ \hline Tenofovir \\ \uparrow C_{max} 1.62 (1.56, 1.68) \\ \uparrow AUC 1.75 (1.69, 1.81) \\ \uparrow C_{min} 1.85 (1.78, 1.92) \\ \end{array}$	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or ledipasvir/sofosbuvir is required.
Sofosbuvir/velpatasvir (400 mg/100 mg orally, q.d.)	Interaction not studied. <i>Expected:</i> ↔ Sofosbuvir ↔ GS-331007 ↔ Velpatasvir ↑ Tenofovir alafenamide	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or sofosbuvir/velpatasvir is required.

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on drug levels. ^{a,b} Mean ratio (90% confidence interval) for AUC, Cmax, Cmin	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Vemlidy
Sofosbuvir/velpatasvir/ voxilaprevir (400 mg/100 mg/ 100 mg + 100 mg ⁱ orally, q.d.)	Sofosbuvir $\leftrightarrow C_{max} 0.95 (0.86, 1.05)$ $\leftrightarrow AUC 1.01 (0.97, 1.06)$ GS-331007 ^g $\leftrightarrow C_{max} 1.02 (0.98, 1.06)$	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or sofosbuvir/velpatasvir/voxilaprevir is required.
Tenofovir alafenamide ^f (25 mg orally, q.d.)	↔ AUC 1.04 (1.01, 1.06)	
	$ Velpatasvir ↔ C_{max} 1.05 (0.96, 1.16) ↔ AUC 1.01 (0.94, 1.07) ↔ C_{min} 1.01 (0.95, 1.09) $	
	Voxilaprevir $\leftrightarrow C_{max} 0.96 (0.84, 1.11)$ $\leftrightarrow AUC 0.94 (0.84, 1.05)$	
	$\leftrightarrow C_{\min} 1.02 \ (0.92, 1.12)$ Tenofovir alafenamide	
	$\uparrow C_{max} 1.32 (1.17, 1.48) \\ \uparrow AUC 1.52 (1.43, 1.61)$	
	<u> 1GENTS – PROTEASE INHI</u>	BITORS
Atazanavir/cobicistat (300 mg/150 mg orally, q.d.)	Tenofovir alafenamide ↑ C _{max} 1.80 (1.48, 2.18) ↑ AUC 1.75 (1.55, 1.98)	Co-administration is not recommended.
Tenofovir alafenamide ^c (10 mg orally, q.d.)	<i>Tenofovir</i> ↑ C _{max} 3.16 (3.00, 3.33) ↑ AUC 3.47 (3.29, 3.67) ↑ C _{min} 3.73 (3.54, 3.93)	
	Atazanavir $\leftrightarrow C_{max} 0.98 (0.94, 1.02)$ $\leftrightarrow AUC 1.06 (1.01, 1.11)$	
	$\leftrightarrow C_{\min} 1.18 (1.06, 1.31)$	
	Cobicistat $\leftrightarrow C_{max} 0.96 (0.92, 1.00)$ $\leftrightarrow AUC 1.05 (1.00, 1.09)$	
Atazanavir/ritonavir	$\uparrow C_{\min} 1.35 (1.21, 1.51)$ Tenofovir alafenamide	Co-administration is not recommended.
(300 mg/100 mg orally, q.d.)	$\uparrow C_{max} 1.77 (1.28, 2.44) \\ \uparrow AUC 1.91 (1.55, 2.35)$	
Tenofovir alafenamide ^c (10 mg orally, s.d.)	<i>Tenofovir</i> ↑ C _{max} 2.12 (1.86, 2.43) ↑ AUC 2.62 (2.14, 3.20)	
	<i>Atazanavir</i> $\leftrightarrow C_{max} 0.98 (0.89, 1.07)$ $\leftrightarrow AUC 0.99 (0.96, 1.01)$ $\leftrightarrow C_{min} 1.00 (0.96, 1.04)$	

Medicinal product by therapeutic areas	Effects on drug levels. ^{a,b} Mean ratio (90% confidence interval) for AUC, C _{max} , C _{min}	Recommendation concerning co-administration with Vemlidy
Darunavir/cobicistat (800 mg/150 mg orally, q.d.)	$\begin{array}{l} \hline Tenofovir alafenamide \\ \leftrightarrow C_{max} \ 0.93 \ (0.72, \ 1.21) \\ \leftrightarrow AUC \ 0.98 \ (0.80, \ 1.19) \end{array}$	Co-administration is not recommended.
Tenofovir alafenamide ^c (25 mg orally, q.d.)	<i>Tenofovir</i> ↑ C _{max} 3.16 (3.00, 3.33) ↑ AUC 3.24 (3.02, 3.47) ↑ C _{min} 3.21 (2.90, 3.54)	
	$\begin{array}{l} Darunavir \\ \leftrightarrow \ C_{max} \ 1.02 \ (0.96, \ 1.09) \\ \leftrightarrow \ AUC \ 0.99 \ (0.92, \ 1.07) \\ \leftrightarrow \ C_{min} \ 0.97 \ (0.82, \ 1.15) \end{array}$	
	Cobicistat $\leftrightarrow C_{max}$ 1.06 (1.00, 1.12) $\leftrightarrow AUC$ 1.09 (1.03, 1.15) $\leftrightarrow C_{min}$ 1.11 (0.98, 1.25)	
Darunavir/ritonavir (800 mg/100 mg orally, q.d.)	$\begin{array}{l} Tenofovir \ alafenamide \\ \uparrow \ C_{max} \ 1.42 \ (0.96, \ 2.09) \\ \leftrightarrow \ AUC \ 1.06 \ (0.84, \ 1.35) \end{array}$	Co-administration is not recommended.
Tenofovir alafenamide ^c (10 mg orally, s.d.)	<i>Tenofovir</i> ↑ C _{max} 2.42 (1.98, 2.95) ↑ AUC 2.05 (1.54, 2.72)	
	$Darunavir \leftrightarrow C_{max} 0.99 (0.91, 1.08) \leftrightarrow AUC 1.01 (0.96, 1.06) \leftrightarrow C_{min} 1.13 (0.95, 1.34)$	
Lopinavir/ritonavir (800 mg/200 mg orally, q.d.)	<i>Tenofovir alafenamide</i> ↑ C _{max} 2.19 (1.72, 2.79) ↑ AUC 1.47 (1.17, 1.85)	Co-administration is not recommended.
Tenofovir alafenamide ^c (10 mg orally, s.d.)	<i>Tenofovir</i> ↑ C _{max} 3.75 (3.19, 4.39) ↑ AUC 4.16 (3.50, 4.96)	
	Lopinavir \leftrightarrow C _{max} 1.00 (0.95, 1.06) \leftrightarrow AUC 1.00 (0.92, 1.09) \leftrightarrow C _{min} 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	
Tipranavir/ritonavir	Interaction not studied. <i>Expected:</i> \downarrow Tenofovir alafenamide	Co-administration is not recommended.
HIV ANTIRETROVIRAL A	GENTS – INTEGRASE INH	IBITORS
Dolutegravir (50 mg orally, q.d.)	<i>Tenofovir alafenamide</i> ↑ C _{max} 1.24 (0.88, 1.74) ↑ AUC 1.19 (0.96, 1.48)	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or dolutegravir is required.
Tenofovir alafenamide ^c (10 mg orally, s.d.)	<i>Tenofovir</i> $\leftrightarrow C_{max}$ 1.10 (0.96, 1.25) ↑ AUC 1.25 (1.06, 1.47)	
	$\begin{array}{l} Dolutegravir \\ \leftrightarrow \ C_{max} \ 1.15 \ (1.04, \ 1.27) \\ \leftrightarrow \ AUC \ 1.02 \ (0.97, \ 1.08) \\ \leftrightarrow \ C_{min} \ 1.05 \ (0.97, \ 1.13) \end{array}$	

Medicinal product by	Effects on drug levels. ^{a,b}	Recommendation concerning co-administration
therapeutic areas	Mean ratio (90%	with Vemlidy
	confidence interval) for AUC, Cmax, Cmin	
Raltegravir	Interaction not studied.	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or raltegravir is
	Expected:	required.
	\leftrightarrow Tenofovir alafenamide	
HIV ANTIRETROVIRAL A	$\leftrightarrow \text{Raltegravir}$	DE REVERSE TRANSCRIPTASE INHIBITORS
Efavirenz	Tenofovir alafenamide	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or efavirenz is
(600 mg orally, q.d.)	$\downarrow C_{max} 0.78 (0.58, 1.05)$	required.
	↔ AUC 0.86 (0.72, 1.02)	1
Tenofovir alafenamide ^h		
(40 mg orally, q.d.)	Tenofovir	
	$\downarrow C_{max} 0.75 (0.67, 0.86)$	
	↔ AUC 0.80 (0.73, 0.87) ↔ Cmin 0.82 (0.75, 0.89)	
	Expected:	
	↔ Efavirenz	
Nevirapine	Interaction not studied.	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or nevirapine is
	<i>Expected:</i> ↔ Tenofovir alafenamide	required.
	\leftrightarrow Nevirapine	
Rilpivirine	Tenofovir alafenamide	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or rilpivirine is
(25 mg orally, q.d.)	$\leftrightarrow C_{\max} 1.01 \ (0.84, 1.22)$	required.
	$\leftrightarrow \text{AUC 1.01} (0.94, 1.09)$	
Tenofovir alafenamide	Tomofosio	
(25 mg orally, q.d.)	$\begin{array}{l} Tenofovir \\ \leftrightarrow C_{max} \ 1.13 \ (1.02, \ 1.23) \end{array}$	
	\leftrightarrow AUC 1.11 (1.07, 1.14)	
	$\leftrightarrow C_{\min} 1.18 (1.13, 1.23)$	
	Rilpivirine	
	$\leftrightarrow C_{max} 0.93 (0.87, 0.99)$	
	↔ AUC 1.01 (0.96, 1.06) ↔ C _{min} 1.13 (1.04, 1.23)	
HIV ANTIRETROVIRAL A	GENTS - CCR5 RECEPTOR	ANTAGONIST
Maraviroc	Interaction not studied.	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or maraviroc is
	Expected:	required.
	\leftrightarrow Tenofovir alafenamide	
HERBAL SUPPLEMENTS	\leftrightarrow Maraviroc	
St. John's wort <i>(Hypericum</i>	Interaction not studied.	Co-administration is not recommended.
perforatum)	Expected:	
<u>r</u> • · J • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\downarrow Tenofovir alafenamide	
ORAL CONTRACEPTIVES		1
Norgestimate	Norelgestromin	No dose adjustment of Vemlidy or
$(0.180 \text{ mg}/0.215 \text{ mg}/0.250 \text{ mg} \text{ args}^{-1})$	$\leftrightarrow C_{max}$ 1.17 (1.07, 1.26)	norgestimate/ethinyl estradiol is required.
0.250 mg orally, q.d.)	↔ AUC 1.12 (1.07, 1.17) ↔ Cmin 1.16 (1.08, 1.24)	
Ethinylestradiol		
(0.025 mg orally, q.d.)	Norgestrel	
	$\leftrightarrow \tilde{C}_{max} 1.10 (1.02, 1.18)$	
Tenofovir alafenamide ^c	$\leftrightarrow \text{AUC } 1.09 (1.01, 1.18)$	
(25 mg orally, q.d.)	$\leftrightarrow C_{\min} 1.11 \ (1.03, 1.20)$	
	Ethinylestradiol	
	$\leftrightarrow C_{max} 1.22 (1.15, 1.29)$	
	\leftrightarrow AUC 1.11 (1.07, 1.16)	
	$\leftrightarrow C_{\min} 1.02 (0.93, 1.12)$ onducted in healthy volunteers.	

a All interaction studies are conducted in healthy volunteers.

- b All No Effect Boundaries are 70%-143%.
- c Study conducted with emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide fixed-dose combination tablet.
- d A sensitive CYP3A4 substrate.
- e Study conducted with elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide fixed-dose combination tablet.
- f Study conducted with emtricitabine/rilpivirine/tenofovir alafenamide fixed-dose combination tablet.
- g The predominant circulating nucleoside metabolite of sofosbuvir.
- h Study conducted with tenofovir alafenamide 40 mg and emtricitabine 200 mg.
- i Study conducted with additional voxilaprevir 100 mg to achieve voxilaprevir exposures expected in HCV infected patients.

4.6 Fertility, pregnancy and lactation

Pregnancy

A moderate amount of data on pregnant women exposed to tenofovir alafenamide (between 300-1000 pregnancy outcomes) indicate no malformative or feto/neonatal toxicity.

Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to reproductive toxicity (see section 5.3).

The use of tenofovir alafenamide may be considered during pregnancy, if necessary.

Breast-feeding

Based on published data, tenofovir alafenamide and tenofovir are excreted in human milk at low levels in women administered with tenofovir alafenamide. There is insufficient information on the effects of tenofovir in newborns/infants.

A risk to the breast-fed newborns/infants cannot be excluded; therefore, tenofovir alafenamide should not be used during breast-feeding.

Fertility

No human data on the effect of tenofovir alafenamide on fertility are available. Animal studies do not indicate harmful effects of tenofovir alafenamide on fertility.

4.7 Effects on ability to drive and use machines

Vemlidy may have minor influence on the ability to drive and use machines. Patients should be informed that dizziness has been reported during treatment with tenofovir alafenamide.

4.8 Undesirable effects

Summary of the safety profile

Assessment of adverse reactions is based on clinical study data and postmarketing data. In pooled safety data from 2 controlled Phase 3 studies (GS-US-320-0108 and GS-US-320-0110; "*Study 108*" and "*Study 110*", respectively), the most frequently reported adverse reactions at Week 96 analysis were headache (12%), nausea (6%), and fatigue (6%). After Week 96, patients either remained on their original blinded treatment up to Week 144 or received open-label tenofovir alafenamide.

The safety profile of tenofovir alafenamide was similar in virologically suppressed patients switching from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide in *Study 108*, *Study 110* and a controlled Phase 3 study GS-US-320-4018 (*"Study 4018"*). Changes in lipid laboratory tests were observed in these studies following a switch from tenofovir disoproxil (see section 5.1).

Tabulated summary of adverse reactions

The following adverse reactions have been identified with tenofovir alafenamide in patients with CHB (Table 2). The adverse reactions are listed below by body system organ class and frequency based on the Week 96 analysis. Frequencies are defined as follows: very common ($\geq 1/10$), common ($\geq 1/100$ to < 1/10) or uncommon ($\geq 1/1,000$ to < 1/100).

System organ clas	System organ class				
Frequency	Adverse reaction				
Nervous system dis	sorders				
Very common	Headache				
Common	Dizziness				
Gastrointestinal di	isorders				
Common	Diarrhoea, vomiting, nausea, abdominal pain, abdominal distension, flatulence				
Hepatobiliary disc	orders				
Common	Increased ALT				
Skin and subcutan	eous tissue disorders				
Common	Rash, pruritus				
Uncommon	Angioedema ¹ , urticaria ¹				
Musculoskeletal an	Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders				
Common	Arthralgia				
General disorders and administration site conditions					
Common	Fatigue				

Table 2: Adverse Reactions Identified with Tenofovir Alafenamide

1 Adverse reaction identified through post-marketing surveillance for tenofovir alafenamide-containing products.

In the open-label Phase 2 study (GS-US-320-4035; "*Study 4035*") to evaluate the efficacy and safety of switching from another antiviral regimen to tenofovir alafenamide in virologically suppressed HBV infected patients, small median increases in fasting total cholesterol, direct low density lipoprotein (LDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL), and triglycerides from baseline to Week 96 were observed in patients with moderate or severe renal impairment (Part A Cohort 1) and patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment (Part B), consistent with changes observed in *Studies 108 and 110*. Small median decreases in total cholesterol, LDL and triglycerides were observed in patients with ESRD on hemodialysis in Part A Cohort 2, while small median increases were observed in HDL from baseline to Week 96. Median (Q1, Q3) change from baseline at Week 96 in total cholesterol to HDL ratio was 0.1 (-0.4, 0.4) in the moderate or severe renal impairment group, and -0.4 (-0.8,-0.1) in patients with ESRD on hemodialysis and 0.1 (-0.2, 0.4) in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment.

Metabolic parameters

Body weight and levels of blood lipids and glucose may increase during therapy.

Special populations

In *Study 4035* in virologically suppressed patients with moderate to severe renal impairment (eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault method 15 to 59 mL/min; Part A, Cohort 1, N = 78), end stage renal disease (ESRD) (eGFR < 15 mL/min) on haemodialysis (Part A, Cohort 2, N = 15), and/or moderate to severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class B or C at screening or by history; Part B, N = 31) who switched from another antiviral regimen to tenofovir alafenamide, no additional adverse reactions to tenofovir alafenamide were identified through Week 96.

Paediatric population

The safety of tenofovir alafenamide was evaluated in 88 HBV-infected treatment-naïve and treatmentexperienced paediatric patients between the ages of 12 to < 18 years weighing \geq 35 kg (tenofovir alafenamide group N=47, placebo group N=23) and 6 to < 12 years weighing \geq 25 kg (tenofovir alafenamide group N=12, placebo group N=6) through Week 24 in a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study GS-US-320-1092 (*"Study 1092"*). After the double-blind phase, patients were switched to open-label tenofovir alafenamide at Week 24. The safety profile of tenofovir alafenamide in paediatric patients was comparable to that in adults. Reductions in bone mineral density (BMD \ge 4%) of the lumbar spine and of whole body have been reported in some paediatric patients 6 years of age and older weighing at least 25 kg who received tenofovir alafenamide for up to 48 weeks (see sections 4.4 and 5.1).

Reporting of suspected adverse reactions

Reporting suspected adverse reactions after authorisation of the medicinal product is important. It allows continued monitoring of the benefit/risk balance of the medicinal product. Healthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions via the national reporting system listed in Appendix V.

4.9 Overdose

If overdose occurs the patient must be monitored for evidence of toxicity (see section 4.8).

Treatment of overdose with tenofovir alafenamide consists of general supportive measures including monitoring of vital signs as well as observation of the clinical status of the patient.

Tenofovir is efficiently removed by haemodialysis with an extraction coefficient of approximately 54%. It is not known whether tenofovir can be removed by peritoneal dialysis.

5. PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

5.1 Pharmacodynamic properties

Pharmacotherapeutic group: Antiviral for systemic use, nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors; ATC code: J05AF13.

Mechanism of action

Tenofovir alafenamide is a phosphonamidate prodrug of tenofovir (2'-deoxyadenosine monophosphate analogue). Tenofovir alafenamide enters primary hepatocytes by passive diffusion and by the hepatic uptake transporters OATP1B1 and OATP1B3. Tenofovir alafenamide is primarily hydrolysed to form tenofovir by carboxylesterase 1 in primary hepatocytes. Intracellular tenofovir is subsequently phosphorylated to the pharmacologically active metabolite tenofovir diphosphate. Tenofovir diphosphate inhibits HBV replication through incorporation into viral DNA by the HBV reverse transcriptase, which results in DNA chain termination.

Tenofovir has activity that is specific to HBV and HIV (HIV-1 and HIV-2). Tenofovir diphosphate is a weak inhibitor of mammalian DNA polymerases that include mitochondrial DNA polymerase γ and there is no evidence of mitochondrial toxicity *in vitro* based on several assays including mitochondrial DNA analyses.

Antiviral activity

The antiviral activity of tenofovir alafenamide was assessed in HepG2 cells against a panel of HBV clinical isolates representing genotypes A-H. The EC_{50} (50% effective concentration) values for tenofovir alafenamide ranged from 34.7 to 134.4 nM, with an overall mean EC_{50} of 86.6 nM. The CC_{50} (50% cytotoxicity concentration) in HepG2 cells was > 44,400 nM.

Resistance

In patients receiving tenofovir alafenamide, sequence analysis was performed on paired baseline and on-treatment HBV isolates for patients who either experienced virologic breakthrough (2 consecutive

visits with HBV DNA \geq 69 IU/mL after having been < 69 IU/mL, or 1.0 log₁₀ or greater increase in HBV DNA from nadir) or patients with HBV DNA \geq 69 IU/mL at Week 48, or Week 96 or at early discontinuation at or after Week 24.

In a pooled analysis of patients receiving tenofovir alafenamide in *Study 108* and *Study 110* at Week 48 (N = 20) and Week 96 (N = 72), no amino acid substitutions associated with resistance to tenofovir alafenamide were identified in these isolates (genotypic and phenotypic analyses).

In virologically suppressed patients receiving tenofovir alafenamide following switch from tenofovir disoproxil treatment in *Study 4018*, through 96 weeks of tenofovir alafenamide treatment one patient in the tenofovir alafenamide-tenofovir alafenamide group experienced a virologic blip (one visit with HBV DNA \geq 69 IU/mL) and one patient in the tenofovir disoproxil-tenofovir alafenamide group experienced a virologic breakthrough. No HBV amino acid substitutions associated with resistance to tenofovir alafenamide or tenofovir disoproxil were detected through 96 weeks of treatment.

In paediatric *Study 1092*, 30 patients aged 12 to < 18 years and 9 patients aged 6 to < 12 years receiving tenofovir alafenamide qualified for resistance analysis at Week 24. No HBV amino acid substitutions associated with resistance to tenofovir alafenamide were detected through 24 weeks of treatment. At Week 48, 31 patients aged 12 to < 18 years and 12 patients aged 6 to < 12 years qualified for resistance analysis (both tenofovir alafenamide group and placebo roll over to tenofovir alafenamide group at Week 24). No HBV amino acid substitutions associated with resistance to tenofovir alafenamide group and placebo roll over to tenofovir alafenamide group at Week 24). No HBV amino acid substitutions associated with resistance to tenofovir alafenamide group at Week 24).

Cross-resistance

The antiviral activity of tenofovir alafenamide was evaluated against a panel of isolates containing nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor mutations in HepG2 cells. HBV isolates expressing the rtV173L, rtL180M, and rtM204V/I substitutions associated with resistance to lamivudine remained susceptible to tenofovir alafenamide (< 2-fold change in EC₅₀). HBV isolates expressing the rtL180M, rtM204V plus rtT184G, rtS202G, or rtM250V substitutions associated with resistance to entecavir remained susceptible to tenofovir alafenamide. HBV isolates expressing the rtA181T, rtA181V, or rtN236T single substitutions associated with resistance to adefovir remained susceptible to tenofovir alafenamide (3.7-fold change in EC₅₀). The clinical relevance of these substitutions is not known.

Clinical data

The efficacy and safety of tenofovir alafenamide in patients with CHB are based on 48- and 96-week data from two randomised, double-blind, active-controlled studies, *Study 108* and *Study 110*. The safety of tenofovir alafenamide is also supported by pooled data from patients in *Studies 108* and *110* who remained on blinded treatment from Week 96 through Week 144 and additionally from patients in the open-label phase of *Studies 108* and *110* from Week 96 through Week 144 (N = 360 remained on tenofovir alafenamide; N = 180 switched from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 96).

In *Study 108*, HBeAg-negative treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients with compensated liver function were randomised in a 2:1 ratio to receive tenofovir alafenamide (25 mg; N = 285) once daily or tenofovir disoproxil (245 mg; N = 140) once daily. The mean age was 46 years, 61% were male, 72% were Asian, 25% were White and 2% (8 patients) were Black. 24%, 38%, and 31% had HBV genotype B, C, and D, respectively. 21% were treatment-experienced (previous treatment with oral antivirals, including entecavir (N = 41), lamivudine (N = 42), tenofovir disoproxil (N = 21), or other (N = 18)). At baseline, mean plasma HBV DNA was 5.8 log₁₀ IU/mL, mean serum ALT was 94 U/L, and 9% of patients had a history of cirrhosis.

In *Study 110*, HBeAg-positive treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients with compensated liver function were randomised in a 2:1 ratio to receive tenofovir alafenamide (25 mg; N = 581) once daily or tenofovir disoproxil (245 mg; N = 292) once daily. The mean age was 38 years, 64% were

male, 82% were Asian, 17% were White and < 1% (5 patients) were Black. 17%, 52%, and 23% had HBV genotype B, C, and D, respectively. 26% were treatment-experienced (previous treatment with oral antivirals, including adefovir (N = 42), entecavir (N = 117), lamivudine (N = 84), telbivudine (N = 25), tenofovir disoproxil (N = 70), or other (N = 17)). At baseline, mean plasma HBV DNA was 7.6 log₁₀ IU/mL, mean serum ALT was 120 U/L, and 7% of patients had a history of cirrhosis.

The primary efficacy endpoint in both studies was the proportion of patients with plasma HBV DNA levels below 29 IU/mL at Week 48. Tenofovir alafenamide met the non-inferiority criteria in achieving HBV DNA less than 29 IU/mL when compared to tenofovir disoproxil. Treatment outcomes of *Study 108* and *Study 110* through Week 48 are presented in Table 3 and Table 4.

	Study 108 (HBeAg-Negative)		<i>Study 110</i> (H	BeAg-Positive)
				TDF
	(N = 285)	(N = 140)	(N = 581)	(N = 292)
HBV DNA < 29 IU/mL	94%	93%	64%	67%
Treatment difference ^b	1.8% (95% CI =		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	= -9.8% to 2.6%)
HBV DNA \geq 29 IU/mL	2%	3%	31%	30%
Baseline HBV DNA				
< 7 log ₁₀ IU/mL	96% (221/230)	92% (107/116)	N/A	N/A
$\geq 7 \log_{10} IU/mL$	85% (47/55)	96% (23/24)		
Baseline HBV DNA				
$< 8 \log_{10} IU/mL$	N/A	N/A	82% (254/309)	82% (123/150)
$\geq 8 \log_{10} IU/mL$			43% (117/272)	51% (72/142)
Nucleoside naïve ^c	94% (212/225)	93% (102/110)	68% (302/444)	70% (156/223)
Nucleoside experienced	93% (56/60)	93% (28/30)	50% (69/137)	57% (39/69)
No Virologic data	4%	4%	5%	3%
at Week 48	770	770	570	570
Discontinued study drug due to lack of efficacy	0	0	< 1%	0
Discontinued study drug due to AE or death	1%	1%	1%	1%
Discontinued study drug due to other reasons ^d	2%	3%	3%	2%
Missing data during window but on study drug	< 1%	1%	< 1%	0

Table 3: HBV DNA Efficacy Parameters at Week 48^a

N/A = not applicable

TDF = tenofovir disoproxil

TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

a Missing = failure analysis.

b Adjusted by baseline plasma HBV DNA categories and oral antiviral treatment status strata.

c Treatment-naïve patients received < 12 weeks of oral antiviral treatment with any nucleoside or nucleotide analogue including tenofovir disoproxil or tenofovir alafenamide.

d Includes patients who discontinued for reasons other than an adverse event (AE), death or lack or loss of efficacy, e.g. withdrew consent, loss to follow-up, etc.

Table 4: Additional Efficacy Parameters at Week 48^a

	Study 108 (HBeAg-Negative)		Study 110 (HBeAg-Positive)	
	TAF TDF		TAF	TDF
	(N = 285)	(N = 140)	(N = 581)	(N = 292)
ALT				
Normalised ALT (Central lab) ^b	83%	75%	72%	67%
Normalised ALT (AASLD) ^c	50%	32%	45%	36%
Serology				
HBeAg loss / seroconversion ^d	N/A	N/A	14% / 10%	12% / 8%
HBsAg loss / seroconversion	0 / 0	0 / 0	1% / 1%	< 1% / 0

N/A = not applicable

TDF = tenofovir disoproxil

TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

a Missing = failure analysis.

b The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above upper limit of normal (ULN) of the central laboratory range at baseline. Central laboratory ULN for ALT are as follows: \leq 43 U/L for males aged 18 to < 69 years and \leq 35 U/L for males \geq 69 years; \leq 34 U/L for females 18 to < 69 years and \leq 32 U/L for females \geq 69 years.

c The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above ULN of the 2016 American Association of the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) criteria (> 30 U/L males and > 19 U/L females) at baseline.

d The population used for serology analysis included only patients with antigen (HBeAg) positive and antibody (HBeAb) negative or missing at baseline.

Experience beyond 48 weeks in Study 108 and Study 110

At Week 96, viral suppression as well as biochemical and serological responses were maintained with continued tenofovir alafenamide treatment (see Table 5).

	Study 108 (HBeAg-Negative)		<i>Study 110</i> (H	BeAg-Positive)
	TAF	TDF	TAF	TDF
	(N = 285)	(N = 140)	(N = 581)	(N = 292)
HBV DNA < 29 IU/mL	90%	91%	73%	75%
Baseline HBV DNA				
$< 7 \log_{10} IU/mL$	90% (207/230)	91% (105/116)	N/A	N/A
\geq 7 log ₁₀ IU/mL	91% (50/55)	92% (22/24)		
Baseline HBV DNA				
$< 8 \log_{10} IU/mL$	N/A	N/A	84% (260/309)	81% (121/150)
$\geq 8 \log_{10} IU/mL$			60% (163/272)	68% (97/142)
Nucleoside-naïve ^b	90% (203/225)	92% (101/110)	75% (331/444)	75% (168/223)
Nucleoside-experienced	90% (54/60)	87% (26/30)	67% (92/137)	72% (50/69)
ALT				
Normalised ALT (Central lab) ^c	81%	71%	75%	68%
Normalised ALT (AASLD) ^d	50%	40%	52%	42%
Serology				
HBeAg loss / seroconversion ^e	N/A	N/A	22% / 18%	18% / 12%
HBsAg loss / seroconversion	< 1% / < 1%	0 / 0	1% / 1%	1% / 0

Table 5: HBV DNA and Additional Efficacy Parameters at Week 96^a

N/A = not applicable

TDF = tenofovir disoproxil

TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

a Missing = failure analysis

b Treatment-naïve patients received < 12 weeks of oral antiviral treatment with any nucleoside or nucleotide analogue including tenofovir disoproxil or tenofovir alafenamide.

c The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above ULN of the central laboratory range at baseline. Central laboratory ULN for ALT are as follows: ≤ 43 U/L for males aged 18 to < 69 years and ≤ 35 U/L for males ≥ 69 years; ≤ 34 U/L for females 18 to < 69 years and ≤ 32 U/L for females ≥ 69 years.

d The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above ULN of the 2016 AASLD criteria (> 30 U/L males and > 19 U/L females) at baseline.

e The population used for serology analysis included only patients with antigen (HBeAg) positive and antibody (HBeAb) negative or missing at baseline.

Changes in measures of bone mineral density in Study 108 and Study 110

In both studies tenofovir alafenamide was associated with smaller mean percentage decreases in BMD (as measured by hip and lumbar spine dual energy X ray absorptiometry [DXA] analysis) compared to tenofovir disoproxil after 96 weeks of treatment.

In patients who remained on blinded treatment beyond Week 96, mean percentage change in BMD in each group at Week 144 was similar to that at Week 96. In the open-label phase of both studies, mean percentage change in BMD from Week 96 to Week 144 in patients who remained on tenofovir alafenamide was +0.4% at the lumbar spine and -0.3% at the total hip, compared to +2.0% at the lumbar spine and +0.9% at the total hip in those who switched from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 96.

Changes in measures of renal function in Study 108 and Study 110

In both studies tenofovir alafenamide was associated with smaller changes in renal safety parameters (smaller median reductions in estimated CrCl by Cockcroft-Gault and smaller median percentage increases in urine retinol binding protein to creatinine ratio and urine beta-2-microglobulin to creatinine ratio) compared to tenofovir disoproxil after 96 weeks of treatment (see also section 4.4).

In patients who remained on blinded treatment beyond Week 96 in *Studies 108* and *110*, changes from baseline in renal laboratory parameter values in each group at Week 144 were similar to those at Week 96. In the open-label phase of *Studies 108* and *110*, the mean (SD) change in serum creatinine from Week 96 to Week 144 was +0.002 (0.0924) mg/dL in those who remained on tenofovir alafenamide, compared to -0.018 (0.0691) mg/dL in those who switched from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 96. In the open-label phase, the median change in eGFR from Week 96 to Week 144 was -1.2 mL/min in patients who remained on tenofovir alafenamide, compared to +4.2 mL/min in patients who switched from tenofovir alafenamide at Week 96.

Changes in lipid laboratory tests in Study 108 and Study 110

In a pooled analysis of *Studies 108* and *110*, median changes in fasting lipid parameters from baseline to Week 96 were observed in both treatment groups. For patients who switched to open label tenofovir alafenamide at Week 96, changes from double-blind baseline for patients randomised initially to tenofovir alafenamide and tenofovir disoproxil at Week 96 and Week 144 in total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, and total cholesterol to HDL ratio are presented in Table 6. At Week 96, the end of the double-blind phase, decreases in median fasting total cholesterol and HDL, and increases in median fasting direct LDL and triglycerides were observed in the tenofovir alafenamide group, while the tenofovir disoproxil group demonstrated median reductions in all parameters.

In the open-label phase of *Studies 108* and *110*, where patients switched to open-label tenofovir alafenamide at Week 96, lipid parameters at Week 144 in patients who remained on tenofovir alafenamide were similar to those at Week 96, whereas median increases in fasting total cholesterol, direct LDL, HDL, and triglycerides were observed in patients who switched from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 96. In the open label phase, median (Q1, Q3) change from Week 96 to Week 144 in total cholesterol to HDL ratio was 0.0 (-0.2, 0.4) in patients who remained on tenofovir alafenamide and 0.2 (-0.2, 0.6) in patients who switched from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 96.

Table 6: Median Changes from Double-Blind Baseline in Lipid Laboratory Tests at Weeks 96 and 144 for Patients Who Switched to Open-Label Tenofovir Alafenamide at Week 96

	TAF-TAF (N=360)				
	Double blind Week 96 Week 144 baseline				
	Median (Q1, Q3) (mg/dL)	Median change (Q1, Q3) (mg/dL)	Median change (Q1, Q3) (mg/dL)		
Total Cholesterol (fasted)	185 (166, 210)	0 (-18, 17)	0 (-16, 18)		
HDL-Cholesterol (fasted)	59 (49, 72)	-5 (-12, 1) ^a	-5 (-12,2) ^b		

LDL-Cholesterol (fasted)	113 (95, 137)	6 (-8, 21) ^a	8 (-6, 24) ^b
Triglycerides (fasted)	87 (67, 122)	8 (-12, 28) ^a	11 (-11, 40) ^b
Total Cholesterol to HDL ratio	3.1 (2.6, 3.9)	$0.2 (0.0, 0.6)^{a}$	$0.3 (0.0, 0.7)^{\rm b}$
		TDF-TAF	
		(N=180)	
	Double blind	Week 96	Week 144
	baseline		
	Median (Q1, Q3)	Median change (Q1, Q3)	Median change (Q1, Q3)
	(mg/dL)	(mg/dL)	(mg/dL)
Total Cholesterol (fasted)	189 (163, 215)	-23 (-40, -1) ^a	1 (-17, 20)
HDL-Cholesterol (fasted)	61 (49, 72)	-12 (-19, -3) ^a	-8 (-15, -1) ^b
LDL-Cholesterol (fasted)	120 (95, 140)	-7 (-25, 8) ^a	9 (-5, 26) ^b
Triglycerides (fasted)	89 (69, 114)	-11 (-31, 11) ^a	14 (-10, 43) ^b
Total Cholesterol to HDL ratio	3.1 (2.5, 3.7)	$0.2 (-0.1, 0.7)^{a}$	$0.4 (0.0, 1.0)^{b}$

TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

TDF = tenofovir disoproxil

a. P-value was calculated for change from double blind baseline at Week 96, from Wilcoxon Signed Rank test and was statistically significant (p < 0.001).

b. P-value was calculated for change from double blind baseline at Week 144, from Wilcoxon Signed Rank test and was statistically significant (p < 0.001).

Virologically suppressed adult patients in Study 4018

The efficacy and safety of tenofovir alafenamide in virologically suppressed adults with chronic hepatitis B is based on 48-week data from a randomised, double-blind, active-controlled study, *Study 4018* (N=243 on tenofovir alafenamide; N=245 on tenofovir disoproxil), including data from patients who participated in the open-label phase of *Study 4018* from Week 48 through Week 96 (N=235 remained on tenofovir alafenamide [TAF-TAF]; N=237 switched from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 48 [TDF-TAF]).

In *Study 4018* virologically suppressed adults with chronic hepatitis B (N=488) were enrolled who had been previously maintained on 245 mg tenofovir disoproxil once daily for at least 12 months, with HBV DNA < lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) by local laboratory assessment for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL at screening. Patients were stratified by HBeAg status (HBeAg-positive or HBeAg-negative) and age (\geq 50 or < 50 years) and randomised in a 1:1 ratio to switch to 25 mg tenofovir alafenamide (N=243) or remain on 245 mg tenofovir disoproxil once daily (N=245). Mean age was 51 years (22% were \geq 60 years), 71% were male, 82% were Asian, 14% were White, and 68% were HBeAg-negative. At baseline, median duration of prior tenofovir disoproxil groups, respectively. Previous treatment with antivirals also included interferon (N=63), lamivudine (N=191), adefovir dipivoxil (N=185), entecavir (N=99), telbivudine (N=48), or other (N=23). At baseline, mean serum ALT was 27 U/L, median eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault was 90.5 mL/min; 16% of patients had a history of cirrhosis.

The primary efficacy endpoint was the proportion of patients with plasma HBV DNA levels ≥ 20 IU/mL at Week 48 (as determined by the modified US FDA Snapshot algorithm). Additional efficacy endpoints included the proportion of patients with HBV DNA levels < 20 IU/mL, ALT normal and ALT normalisation, HBsAg loss and seroconversion, and HBeAg loss and seroconversion. Tenofovir alafenamide was non-inferior in the proportion of patients with HBV DNA ≥ 20 IU/mL at Week 48 when compared to tenofovir disoproxil as assessed by the modified US FDA Snapshot algorithm. Treatment outcomes (HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL by missing=failure) at Week 48 between treatment groups were similar across subgroups by age, sex, race, baseline HBeAg status, and ALT.

Treatment outcomes of Study 4018 at Week 48 and Week 96 are presented in Table 7 and Table 8.

Table 7: HBV DNA Efficacy Parameters at Week 48^{a,b} and Week 96^{b,c}

	TAF	TDF	TAF-TAF	TDF-TAF
	(N=243)	(N=245)	(N=243)	(N=245)
		k 48	Week 96	
HBV DNA $\geq 20 \text{ IU/mL}^{b,d}$	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.4%)
Treatment Difference ^e	0.0% (95% CI =	-1.9% to 2.0%)	0.0% (95% CI =	= -1.9% to 1.9%)
HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL	234 (96.3%)	236 (96.3%)	230 (94.7%)	230 (93.9%)
Treatment Difference ^e	0.0% (95% CI =	-3.7% to 3.7%)	0.9% (95% CI =	= -3.5% to 5.2%)
No Virologic Data	8 (3.3%)	8 (3.3%)	12 (4.9%)	14 (5.7%)
Discontinued Study Drug Due to AE or Death and Last Available HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL	2 (0.8%)	0	3 (1.2%)	1 (0.4%)
Discontinued Study Drug Due to Other Reasons ^f and Last Available HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL	6 (2.5%)	8 (3.3%)	7 (2.9%)	11 (4.5%)
Missing Data During Window but on Study Drug	0	0	2 (0.8%)	2 (0.8%)

TDF = tenofovir disoproxil

TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

a. Week 48 window was between Day 295 and 378 (inclusive).

b. As determined by the modified US FDA-defined snapshot algorithm.

c. Open-label phase, Week 96 window is between Day 589 and 840 (inclusive).

d. No patient discontinued treatment due to lack of efficacy.

e. Adjusted by baseline age groups (< 50, ≥ 50 years) and baseline HBeAg status strata.

f. Includes patients who discontinued for reasons other than an AE, death or lack of efficacy, e.g., withdrew consent, loss to follow-up, etc.

Table 8: Additional Efficacy Parameters at Week 48 and Week 96^a

	TAF (N=243)	TDF (N=245)	TAF-TAF (N=243)	TDF-TAF (N=245)
	Wee	k 48	Wee	ek 96
ALT				
Normal ALT (Central Lab)	89%	85%	88%	91%
Normal ALT (AASLD)	79%	75%	81%	87%
Normalised ALT (Central Lab) ^{b,c,d}	50%	37%	56%	79%
Normalised ALT (AASLD) ^{e,f,g}	50%	26%	56%	74%
Serology				
HBeAg Loss / Seroconversion ^h	8% / 3%	6% / 0	18% / 5%	9% / 3%
HBsAg Loss / Seroconversion	0 / 0	2% / 0	2% / 1%	2% / < 1%

TDF = tenofovir disoproxil

TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

a. Missing = failure analysis

b. The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above upper limit of normal (ULN) of the central laboratory range (> 43 U/L males 18 to < 69 years and > 35 U/L males ≥ 69 years; > 34 U/L females 18 to < 69 years and > 32 U/L females ≥ 69 years) at baseline.

c. Proportion of patients at Week 48: TAF, 16/32; TDF, 7/19.

d. Proportion of patients at Week 96: TAF, 18/32; TDF, 15/19.

e. The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above ULN of the 2018 American Association of the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) criteria (35 U/L males and 25 U/L females) at baseline.

f. Proportion of patients at Week 48: TAF, 26/52; TDF, 14/53.
g. Proportion of patients at Week 96: TAF, 29/52; TDF, 39/53

h. The population used for serology analysis included only patients with antigen (HBeAg) positive and anti-body (HBeAb) negative or missing at baseline.

Changes in bone mineral density in Study 4018

The mean percentage change in BMD from baseline to Week 48 as assessed by DXA was $\pm 1.7\%$ with tenofovir alafenamide compared to -0.1% with tenofovir disoproxil at the lumbar spine and $\pm 0.7\%$ compared to -0.5% at the total hip. BMD declines of greater than 3% at the lumbar spine were experienced by 4% of tenofovir alafenamide patients and 17% of tenofovir disoproxil patients at Week 48. BMD declines of greater than 3% at the total hip were experienced by 2% of tenofovir alafenamide patients and 12% of tenofovir disoproxil patients at Week 48.

In the open-label phase, mean percentage change in BMD from baseline to Week 96 in patients who remained on tenofovir alafenamide was +2.3% at the lumbar spine and +1.2% at the total hip, compared to +1.7% at the lumbar spine and +0.2% at the total hip in those who switched from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 48.

Changes in renal laboratory tests in Study 4018

The median change from baseline to Week 48 in eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault method was +2.2 mL per minute in the tenofovir alafenamide group and -1.7 mL per minute in those receiving tenofovir disoproxil. At Week 48, there was a median increase from baseline in serum creatinine among patients randomised to continue treatment with tenofovir disoproxil (0.01 mg/dL) compared with a median decrease from baseline among those who were switched to tenofovir alafenamide (-0.01 mg/dL).

In the open-label phase, the median change in eGFR from baseline to Week 96 was 1.6 mL/min in patients who remained on tenofovir alafenamide, compared to +0.5 mL/min in patients who switched from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 48. The median change in serum creatinine from baseline to Week 96 was -0.02 mg/dL in those who remained on tenofovir alafenamide, compared to -0.01 mg/dL in those who switched from tenofovir disoproxil to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 48.

Changes in lipid laboratory tests in Study 4018

Changes from double-blind baseline to Week 48 and Week 96 in total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, and total cholesterol to HDL ratio are presented in Table 9.

	TAF (N=236)	TAF (N=226)	TAF-TAF (N=220)	TDF (N=230)	TDF (N=222)	TDF-TAF N=219)
	Baseline	Week 48	Week 96	Baseline	Week 48	Week 96
	(Q1, Q3)	Median	Median	(Q1, Q3)	Median	Median
	(mg/dL)	change ^a (Q1,	change (Q1,	(mg/dL)	change ^a (Q1,	change (Q1,
		Q3) (mg/dL)	Q3) (mg/dL)		Q3) (mg/dL)	Q3) (mg/dL)
Total Cholesterol	166 (147,	19 (6, 33)	16 (3, 30)	169 (147,	-4 (-16, 8)	15 (1, 28)
(fasted)	189)			188)		
HDL-Cholesterol	48 (41,	3 (-1, 8)	4 (-1, 10)	48 (40,	-1 (-5, 2)	4 (0, 9)
(fasted)	56)			57)		
LDL-Cholesterol	102	16 (5, 27)	17 (6, 28)	103 (87,	1 (-8, 12)	14 (3, 27)
(fasted)	(87,123)			120)		
Triglycerides	90 (66,	16 (-3, 44)	9 (-8, 28)	89 (68,	-2 (-22, 18)	8 (-8, 38)
(fasted) ^b	128)			126)		
Total Cholesterol to	3.4 (2.9,	0.2 (-0.1,	0.0 (-0.3,	3.4 (2.9,	0.0 (-0.3,	0.0 (-0.3,
HDL ratio	4.2)	0.5)	0.3)	4.2)	0.3)	0.3)

Table 9: Median	Changes in	Lipid L	aboratory	Tests at	Week 48	and Week 96
	8	I				

TDF = tenofovir disoproxil TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

 a. P-value was calculated for the difference between the TAF and TDF groups at Week 48, from Wilcoxon Rank Sum test and was statistically significant (p < 0.001) for median changes (Q1, Q3) from baseline in total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides and total cholesterol to HDL ratio.

 b. Number of patients for triglycerides (fasted) for TAF group was N=235 at baseline, N=225 at Week 48 and N=218 for TAF-TAF group at Week 96.

Renal and/or hepatic impairment Study 4035

Study 4035 was an open-label clinical study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of switching from another antiviral regimen to tenofovir alafenamide in virologically suppressed HBV-infected patients. Part A of the study included patients with moderate to severe renal impairment (eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault method between 15 and 59 mL/min; Cohort 1, N = 78) or ESRD (eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault method < 15 mL/min) on hemodialysis (Cohort 2, N = 15). Part B of the study included patients (N = 31) with moderate or severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class B or C at screening or a history of CPT score \geq 7 with any CPT score \leq 12 at screening).

The primary endpoint was the proportion of patients with HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL at Week 24. Secondary efficacy endpoints at Weeks 24 and 96 included the proportion of patients with HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL and target detected/not detected (ie, < LLOD), the proportion of patients with biochemical response (normal ALT and normalised ALT), the proportion of patients with serological response (loss of HBsAg and seroconversion to anti-HBs and loss of HBeAg and seroconversion to anti-HBe in HBeAg-positive patients) and change from baseline in CPT and Model for End Stage Liver Disease (MELD) scores for hepatically impaired patients in Part B.

Renally impaired adult patients in Study 4035, Part A

At baseline, 98% (91/93) of patients in Part A had HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL and 66% (61/93) had an undetectable HBV DNA level. Median age was 65 years, 74% were male, 77% were Asian, 16% were White, and 83% were HBeAg-negative. The most commonly used HBV medication oral antivirals included tenofovir disoproxil (N = 58), lamivudine (N = 46), adefovir dipivoxil (N = 46), and entecavir (N = 43). At baseline, 97% and 95% of patients had ALT \leq ULN based on central laboratory criteria and 2018 AASLD criteria, respectively; median eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault was 43.7 mL/min (45.7 mL/min in Cohort 1 and 7.32 mL/min in Cohort 2); and 34% of patients had a history of cirrhosis.

Treatment outcomes of Study 4035 Part A at Weeks 24 and 96 are presented in Table 10.

	Cohort 1 ^a (N=78)			Cohort 2 ^b (N=15)		otal =93)
	Week 24	Week 96	Week 24	Week 96	Week 24	Week 96 ^d
HBV DNA ^c						
HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL	76/78 (97.4%)	65/78 (83.3%)	15/15 (100.0%)	13/15 (86.7%)	91/93 (97.8%)	78/93 (83.9%)
ALT ^c						
Normal ALT (Central Lab)	72/78 (92.3%)	64/78 (82.1%)	14/15 (93.3%)	13/15 (86.7%)	86/93 (92.5%)	77/93 (82.8%)
Normal ALT (AASLD) ^e	68/78 (87.2%)	58/78 (74.4%)	14/15 (93.3%)	13/15 (86.7%)	82/93 (88.2%)	71/93 (76.3%)

Table 10: Efficacy Parameters for Renally Impaired Patients at Weeks 24 and 96

a. Part A Cohort 1 includes patients with moderate or severe renal impairment

b. Part A Cohort 2 includes patients with ESRD on hemodialysis

c. Missing = Failure analysis

d. The denominator includes 12 patients (11 for Cohort 1 and 1 for Cohort 2) who prematurely discontinued study drug.

e. 2018 American Association of the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) criteria

Hepatically impaired adult patients in Study 4035, Part B

At baseline, 100% (31/31) of patients in Part B had baseline HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL and 65% (20/31) had an undetectable HBV DNA level. Median age was 57 years (19% \ge 65 years), 68% were male, 81% were Asian, 13% were White, and 90% were HBeAg-negative. The most commonly used HBV medication oral antivirals included tenofovir disoproxil (N = 21), lamivudine (N = 14), entecavir (N = 14), and adefovir dipivoxil (N = 10). At baseline, 87% and 68% of patients had ALT \le ULN based on central laboratory criteria and 2018 AASLD criteria, respectively; median eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault was 98.5 mL/min; 97% of patients had a history of cirrhosis, median (range) CPT score was 6 (5–10), and median (range) MELD score was 10 (6–17).

Treatment outcomes of Study 4035 Part B at Weeks 24 and 96 are presented in Table 11.

		art B N=31)
	Week 24	Week 96 ^b
HBV DNA ^a		
HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL	31/31 (100.0%)	24/31 (77.4%)
ALT ^a		
Normal ALT (Central Lab)	26/31 (83.9%)	22/31 (71.0%)
Normal ALT (AASLD) ^c	25/31 (80.6%)	18/31 (58.1%)
CPT and MELD Score		
Mean change from Baseline in CPT Score (SD)	0(1.1)	0 (1.2)
Mean change from Baseline in MELD Score (SD)	-0.6 (1.94)	-1.0 (1.61)

 Table 11: Efficacy Parameters for Hepatically Impaired Patients at Weeks 24 and 96

CPT = Child-Pugh Turcotte;

MELD = Model for End-Stage Liver Disease

a. Missing = Failure analysis

b. The denominator includes 6 patients who prematurely discontinued study drug

c. 2018 American Association of the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) criteria

Changes in lipid laboratory tests in Study 4035

Small median increases from baseline to Week 24 and Week 96 in total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, and total cholesterol to HDL ratio among patients with renal or hepatic impairment are consistent when compared with results observed from other studies involving switch to tenofovir alafenamide (see section 5.1 for *Studies 108, 110 and 4018*), whereas decreases from baseline in total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, and total cholesterol to HDL ratio were observed in patients with ESRD on haemodialysis at Week 24 and Week 96.

Paediatric population

In *Study 1092*, the efficacy and safety of tenofovir alafenamide were evaluated in a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study of treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced HBV-infected patients between the ages of 12 to < 18 years weighing \geq 35 kg (Cohort 1; N=47 tenofovir alafenamide, N=23 placebo), and 6 to < 12 years weighing \geq 25 kg (Cohort 2 Group 1; N=12 tenofovir alafenamide, N=6 placebo). Patients were randomised to receive tenofovir alafenamide or placebo to match once daily. Baseline demographics and HBV disease characteristics were comparable between the two treatment arms; 58% were male, 66% were Asian, and 25% were White; 7%, 23%, 24%, and 44% had HBV genotype A, B, C, and D, respectively. Overall, 99% were HBeAg positive. At baseline, median HBV DNA was 8.1 log₁₀ IU/mL, mean ALT was 107 U/L, median HBsAg was 4.5 log₁₀ IU/mL. Previous treatment included oral antivirals (23%), including entecavir (N=10), lamivudine (N=12), and tenofovir disoproxil (N=3), and/or interferons (15%). After receiving double-blind treatment for 24 weeks (either tenofovir alafenamide or placebo), patients rolled over with no interruption in treatment to open-label tenofovir alafenamide.

The primary efficacy endpoint was the proportion of patients with plasma HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL at Week 24. Additional efficacy endpoints included change from baseline in HBV DNA and ALT, ALT normalisation, HBeAg loss and seroconversion, and HBsAg loss and seroconversion.

Treatment outcomes of Study 1092 at Week 24 and Week 48 are presented in Table 12 and Table 13.

Table 12: Efficacy Parameters for Paediatric Patients at Week 24

		TAF	Placebo			
	Cohort 1 (N=47)	Cohort 2 Group 1 (N=12)	Total (N=59)	Cohort 1 (N=23)	Cohort 2 Group 1 (N=6)	Total (N=29)
HBV DNA						
HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL ^a	10/47 (21%)	1/12 (8%)	11/59 (19%)	0/23 (0%)	0/6 (0%)	0/29 (0%)
Mean (SD) change from baseline in HBV DNA (log ₁₀ IU/mL)	-5.04 (1.544)	-4.76 (1.466)	-4.98 (1.520)	-0.13 (0.689)	0.00 (0.346)	-0.10 (0.636)
ALT	•	•		•		
Median (Q1, Q3) change from baseline in ALT (U/L)	-32.0 (-63.0, -13.0)	-29.0 (-81.0, -5.5)	-32.0 (-65.0, -7.0)	1.0 (-10.0, 25.0)	-12.0 (-22.0, -2.0)	-2.5 (-15.0, 22.0)
Normalised ALT (Central Lab) ^{a,b}	28/42 (67%)	7/10 (70%)	35/52 (67%)	1/21 (5%)	0/6	1/27 (4%)
Normalised ALT (AASLD) ^{a,c,d}	20/46 (44%)	5/10 (50%)	25/56 (45%)	0/22	0/6	0/28 (0%)
Serology ^e						
HBeAg Loss and Seroconversion ^{a,f}	3/46 (7%)	1/12 (8%)	4/58 (7%)	1/23 (4%)	0/6 (0%)	1/29 (3%)

TAF = tenofovir alafenamide a. Missing = Failure analysis

b. The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above ULN of the central laboratory range at baseline. Central laboratory ULN for ALT are as follows: 34 U/L for females aged 2 or older or males aged 1-9 years old and 43 U/L for males aged older than 9 years.

c. The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above ULN of the AASLD criteria (30 U/L for males and females based on the range for paediatric participants) at baseline.

d. American Association of the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) criteria.

e. No patient in either group had HBsAg loss or seroconversion at Week 24.

f. The population used for serology analysis included only patients with antigen (HBeAg) positive and antibody (HBeAb) negative or missing at baseline.

Table 13: Efficacy Parameters for Paediatric Patients at Week 48

		TAF		Pla	acebo roll over t	o TAF		
	Cohort 1 (N=47)	Cohort 2 Group 1 (N=12)	Total (N=59)	Cohort 1 (N=23)	Cohort 2 Group 1 (N=6)	Total (N=29)		
HBV DNA								
HBV DNA < 20 IU/mL ^a	19/47 (40%)	3/12 (25%)	22/59 (37%)	5/23 (22%)	1/6 (17%)	6/29 (21%)		
Mean (SD) change from baseline in HBV DNA (log ₁₀ IU/mL)	-5.65 (1.779)	-5.88 (0.861)	-5.70 (1.626)	-5.06 (1.703)	-4.16 (2.445)	-4.88 (1.867)		
ALT								
Median (Q1, Q3) change from baseline in ALT (U/L)	-38.0 (-70.0, -12.0)	-30.0 (-82.0, -2.5)	-37.0 (-70.0, -8.0)	-26.0 (-55.0, -9.0)	-30.5 (-53.0, -12.0)	-26 (-54.0, -12.0)		
Normalised ALT (Central Lab) ^{a,b}	33/42 (79%)	7/10 (70%)	40/52 (77%)	13/21 (62%)	4/6 (67%)	17/27 (63%)		
Normalised ALT (AASLD) ^{a,c,d}	25/46 (54%)	5/10 (50%)	30/56 (54%)	9/22 (41%)	2/6 (33%)	11/28 (39%)		
Serology ^e								
HBeAg Loss and Seroconversion ^{a,f}	7/46 (15%)	3/12 (25%)	10/58 (17%)	2/23 (9%)	0/6 (0%)	2/29 (7%)		

TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

a. Missing = Failure analysis

- b. The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above ULN of the central laboratory range at baseline. Central laboratory ULN for ALT are as follows: 34 U/L for females aged 2 or older or males aged 1-9 years old and 43 U/L for males aged older than 9 years.
- c. The population used for analysis of ALT normalisation included only patients with ALT above ULN of the AASLD criteria (30 U/L for males and females based on the range for paediatric participants) at baseline.
- d. American Association of the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) criteria.
- e. No patient in either group had HBsAg loss or seroconversion at Week 48.
- f. The population used for serology analysis included only patients with antigen (HBeAg) positive and antibody (HBeAb) negative or missing at baseline.

Changes in bone mineral density in Study 1092

Among the patients treated with tenofovir alafenamide and placebo, the mean percent increase in BMD from baseline to Week 24 was +1.6% (N=48) and +1.9% (N=23) for lumbar spine, and +1.9% (N=50) and +2.0% (N=23) for whole body, respectively. At Week 24, mean changes from baseline BMD Z-scores were +0.01 and -0.07 for lumbar spine, and -0.04 and -0.04 for whole body, for the tenofovir alafenamide and placebo groups, respectively.

In the open-label phase, mean percentage increase in BMD from baseline to Week 48 for lumbar spine and whole body was +3.8% (N=52) and +3.0% (N=54) in patients who remained on tenofovir alafenamide, compared to +2.8% (N=27) and +3.7% (N=27) in those who switched from placebo to tenofovir alafenamide at Week 24, respectively. At Week 48, mean changes from baseline BMD-Z scores for lumbar spine and whole body were -0.05 and -0.15 for patients who remained on tenofovir alafenamide, compared to -0.12 and -0.07 for those who switched to tenofovir alafenamide, respectively.

BMD declines of 4% or greater at lumbar spine and whole body at Week 24 and Week 48 are presented in Table 14.

		TAF		Placebo roll over to TAF at Week 24			
	Cohort 1 (N=44 ^a)	Cohort 2 Group 1 (N=12)	Total (N=56)	Cohort 1 (N=21)	Cohort 2 Group 1 (N=6)	Total (N=27)	
Week 24				1			
Whole body at least 4% decrease ^b	0/39	1/11 (9.1%)	1/50 (2.0%)	0/18	0/5	0/23	
Lumbar spine at least 4% decrease ^c	0/37	3/11 (27.3%)	3/48 (6.3%)	0/18	0/5	0/23	
Week 48			• • •				
Whole body at least 4% decrease ^b	1/42 (2.4%)	0/12	1/54 (1.9%)	1/21 (4.8%)	0/6	1/27 (3.7%)	
Lumbar spine at least 4% decrease ^c	0/40	2/12 (16.7%)	2/52 (3.8%)	0/21	1/6 (16.7%)	1/27 (3.7%)	

Table 14: Bone Mineral Density Decreases of 4% or Greater for Paediatric Patients at Weeks 24 and 48 (Whole Body/Lumbar Spine DXA Analysis Set)

TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

Denominator is the number of patients with nonmissing postbaseline values.

a. N=42 for Lumbar Spine DXA Analysis Set in Cohort 1 TAF

b. Only patients with nonmissing whole body bone mineral density at baseline were included in the Whole Body DXA Analysis Set.

c. Only patients with nonmissing lumbar spine bone mineral density at baseline were included in the Lumbar Spine DXA Analysis Set.

5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties

Absorption

Following oral administration of tenofovir alafenamide under fasted conditions in adult patients with chronic hepatitis B, peak plasma concentrations of tenofovir alafenamide were observed approximately 0.48 hours post-dose. Based on Phase 3 population pharmacokinetic analysis in patients with chronic hepatitis B, mean steady state $AUC_{0.24}$ for tenofovir alafenamide (N = 698) and tenofovir

(N = 856) were 0.22 µg•h/mL and 0.32 µg•h/mL, respectively. Steady state C_{max} for tenofovir alafenamide and tenofovir were 0.18 and 0.02 µg/mL, respectively. Relative to fasting conditions, the administration of a single dose of tenofovir alafenamide with a high fat meal resulted in a 65% increase in tenofovir alafenamide exposure.

Distribution

The binding of tenofovir alafenamide to human plasma proteins in samples collected during clinical studies was approximately 80%. The binding of tenofovir to human plasma proteins is less than 0.7% and is independent of concentration over the range of 0.01-25 μ g/mL.

Biotransformation

Metabolism is a major elimination pathway for tenofovir alafenamide in humans, accounting for > 80% of an oral dose. *In vitro* studies have shown that tenofovir alafenamide is metabolised to tenofovir (major metabolite) by carboxylesterase-1 in hepatocytes; and by cathepsin A in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and macrophages. *In vivo*, tenofovir alafenamide is hydrolysed within cells to form tenofovir (major metabolite), which is phosphorylated to the active metabolite, tenofovir diphosphate.

In vitro, tenofovir alafenamide is not metabolised by CYP1A2, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, or CYP2D6. Tenofovir alafenamide is minimally metabolised by CYP3A4.

Elimination

Renal excretion of intact tenofovir alafenamide is a minor pathway with < 1% of the dose eliminated in urine. Tenofovir alafenamide is mainly eliminated following metabolism to tenofovir. Tenofovir alafenamide and tenofovir have a median plasma half-life of 0.51 and 32.37 hours, respectively. Tenofovir is renally eliminated from the body by the kidneys by both glomerular filtration and active tubular secretion.

Linearity/non-linearity

Tenofovir alafenamide exposures are dose proportional over the dose range of 8 to 125 mg.

Pharmacokinetics in special populations

Age, gender and ethnicity

No clinically relevant differences in pharmacokinetics according to age or ethnicity have been identified. Differences in pharmacokinetics according to gender were not considered to be clinically relevant.

Hepatic impairment

In patients with severe hepatic impairment, total plasma concentrations of tenofovir alafenamide and tenofovir are lower than those seen in patients with normal hepatic function. When corrected for protein binding, unbound (free) plasma concentrations of tenofovir alafenamide in severe hepatic impairment and normal hepatic function are similar.

Renal impairment

No clinically relevant differences in tenofovir alafenamide or tenofovir pharmacokinetics were observed between healthy patients and patients with severe renal impairment (estimated CrCl > 15 but < 30 mL/min) in studies of tenofovir alafenamide (Table 15).

Exposures of tenofovir in patients with ESRD (estimated creatinine clearance < 15 mL/min) on chronic haemodialysis who received tenofovir alafenamide (N = 5) were substantially higher than in patients with normal renal function (Table 15). No clinically relevant differences in tenofovir

alafenamide pharmacokinetics were observed in patients with ESRD on chronic haemodialysis as compared to those with normal renal function.

Table 15: Pharmacokinetics of Tenofovir Alafenamide and its Metabolite Tenofovir in Patients with Renal Impairment as Compared to Patients with Normal Renal Function

	AUC (mcg•hour per mL) Mean (CV%)						
Estimated Creatinine Clearance ^a	Normal renal function ≥ 90 mL per minute (N = 13) ^b	Severe renal impairment 15–29 mL per minute (N = 14) ^b	ESRD on haemodialysis < 15 mL per minute (N = 5) ^c				
Tenofovir alafenamide	0.27 (49.2) ^d	0.51 (47.3) ^d	0.30 (26.7) ^e				
Tenofovir	0.34 (27.2) ^d	2.07 (47.1) ^d	18.8 (30.4) ^f				

CV = coefficient of variation

a. By Cockcroft-Gault method.

b. PK assessed on a single dose of tenofovir alafenamide 25 mg in patients with normal renal function and in patients with severe renal impairment in Study GS-US-120-0108.

c. PK assessed prior to haemodialysis following multiple-dose administration of tenofovir alafenamide 25 mg in 5 HBV-infected patients in Study GS-US-320-4035. These patients had a median baseline eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault of 7.2 mL/min (range, 4.8 to 12.0).

d. AUCinf.

e. AUC_{last}.

f. AUCtau.

Paediatric population

Steady-state pharmacokinetics of tenofovir alafenamide and its metabolite tenofovir were evaluated in HBV-infected paediatric patients 12 to < 18 years weighing \ge 35 kg and 6 to < 12 years weighing \ge 25 kg (Table 16).

Table 16: Pharmacokinetics of Tenofovir Alafenamide and its Metabolite Tenofovir in Paediatric Patients Aged 6 to < 18 Years and Adults</td>

Parameter Mean (CV%)	6 to < 12 years old weighing ≥ 25 kg ^a		•	to < 18 years old weighing $\ge 35 \text{ kg}^{a}$		Adults ^b	
	TAF	Tenofovir	TAF	Tenofovir	TAF	Tenofovir	
C _{max} (µg/mL)	0.185 (77.7)	0.017 (19.7)	0.169 (80.9)	0.015 (27.4)	0.178 (53.4)	0.017 (35.2)	
AUC _{tau} (µg•h/mL)	0.206 (61.3)	0.298 (23.1)	0.215 (91.3)	0.251 (23.6)	0.216 (66.6)	0.322 (31.5)	
Ctrough (µg/mL)	NA	0.010 (29.5)	NA	0.009 (25.6)	NA	0.011 (33.0)	

CV = coefficient of variation; TAF= tenofovir alafenamide; NA = not applicable

a. Population PK-derived parameters from *Study 1092* (6 to < 12 years old weighing ≥ 25 kg, N=12; 12 to < 18 years old weighing ≥ 35 kg, N=47).

b. Population PK-derived parameters from Studies 108 and 110 (TAF: N=698, Tenofovir: N=856).

5.3 Preclinical safety data

Non-clinical studies in rats and dogs revealed bone and kidney as the primary target organs of toxicity. Bone toxicity was observed as reduced BMD in rats and dogs at tenofovir exposures at least four times greater than those expected after administration of tenofovir alafenamide. A minimal infiltration of histiocytes was present in the eye in dogs at tenofovir alafenamide and tenofovir exposures of approximately 4 and 17 times greater, respectively, than those expected after administration of tenofovir alafenamide.

Tenofovir alafenamide was not mutagenic or clastogenic in conventional genotoxic assays.

Because there is a lower tenofovir exposure in rats and mice after tenofovir alafenamide administration compared to tenofovir disoproxil, carcinogenicity studies and a rat peri-postnatal study were conducted only with tenofovir disoproxil. No special hazard for humans was revealed in conventional studies of carcinogenic potential with tenofovir disoproxil (as fumarate) and toxicity to reproduction and development with tenofovir disoproxil (as fumarate) or tenofovir alafenamide. Reproductive toxicity studies in rats and rabbits showed no effects on mating, fertility, pregnancy or foetal parameters. However, tenofovir disoproxil reduced the viability index and weight of pups in a peri-postnatal toxicity study at maternally toxic doses. A long-term oral carcinogenicity study in mice showed a low incidence of duodenal tumours, considered likely related to high local concentrations in the gastrointestinal tract at the high dose of 600 mg/kg/day. The mechanism of tumour formation in mice and potential relevance for humans is uncertain.

6. PHARMACEUTICAL PARTICULARS

6.1 List of excipients

Tablet core

Lactose monohydrate Microcrystalline cellulose (E460(i)) Croscarmellose sodium (E468) Magnesium stearate (E470b)

Film-coating

Polyvinyl alcohol (E1203) Titanium dioxide (E171) Macrogol (E1521) Talc (E553b) Iron oxide yellow (E172)

6.2 Incompatibilities

Not applicable.

6.3 Shelf life

4 years.

6.4 Special precautions for storage

Store in the original package in order to protect from moisture. Keep the bottle tightly closed.

6.5 Nature and contents of container

High density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles, enclosed with a polypropylene continuous-thread, child-resistant cap, lined with an induction-activated aluminium foil liner. Each bottle contains silica gel desiccant and polyester coil.

The following pack sizes are available: outer cartons containing 1 bottle of 30 film-coated tablets and outer cartons containing 90 (3 bottles of 30) film-coated tablets.

Not all pack sizes may be marketed.

6.6 Special precautions for disposal

Any unused medicinal product or waste material should be disposed of in accordance with local requirements.

7. MARKETING AUTHORISATION HOLDER

Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Carrigtohill County Cork, T45 DP77 Ireland

8. MARKETING AUTHORISATION NUMBER(S)

EU/1/16/1154/001 EU/1/16/1154/002

9. DATE OF FIRST AUTHORISATION/RENEWAL OF THE AUTHORISATION

Date of first authorisation: 09 January 2017 Date of latest renewal: 16 December 2021

10. DATE OF REVISION OF THE TEXT

Detailed information on this medicinal product is available on the website of the European Medicines Agency http://www.ema.europa.eu.

ANNEX II

- A. MANUFACTURER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR BATCH RELEASE
- B. CONDITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS REGARDING SUPPLY AND USE
- C. OTHER CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MARKETING AUTHORISATION
- D. CONDITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS WITH REGARD TO THE SAFE AND EFFECTIVE USE OF THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT

A. MANUFACTURER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR BATCH RELEASE

Name and address of the manufacturer(s) responsible for batch release

Gilead Sciences Ireland UC IDA Business & Technology Park Carrigtohill County Cork IRELAND

B. CONDITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS REGARDING SUPPLY AND USE

Medicinal product subject to restricted medical prescription (see Annex I: Summary of Product Characteristics, section 4.2).

C. OTHER CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MARKETING AUTHORISATION

• Periodic safety update reports (PSURs)

The requirements for submission of PSURs for this medicinal product are set out in the list of Union reference dates (EURD list) provided for under Article 107c(7) of Directive 2001/83/EC and any subsequent updates published on the European medicines web-portal.

D. CONDITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS WITH REGARD TO THE SAFE AND EFFECTIVE USE OF THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT

• Risk management plan (RMP)

The marketing authorisation holder (MAH) shall perform the required pharmacovigilance activities and interventions detailed in the agreed RMP presented in Module 1.8.2 of the marketing authorisation and any agreed subsequent updates of the RMP.

An updated RMP should be submitted:

- At the request of the European Medicines Agency;
- Whenever the risk management system is modified, especially as the result of new information being received that may lead to a significant change to the benefit/risk profile or as the result of an important (pharmacovigilance or risk minimisation) milestone being reached.

ANNEX III

LABELLING AND PACKAGE LEAFLET

A. LABELLING

PARTICULARS TO APPEAR ON THE OUTER PACKAGING AND THE IMMEDIATE PACKAGING

BOTTLE AND CARTON LABELLING

1. NAME OF THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT

Vemlidy 25 mg film-coated tablets tenofovir alafenamide

2. STATEMENT OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE(S)

Each film-coated tablet contains tenofovir alafenamide fumarate equivalent to 25 mg of tenofovir alafenamide.

3. LIST OF EXCIPIENTS

Contains lactose monohydrate. See leaflet for further information.

4. PHARMACEUTICAL FORM AND CONTENTS

30 film-coated tablets.

90 (3 bottles of 30) film-coated tablets.

5. METHOD AND ROUTE(S) OF ADMINISTRATION

Read the package leaflet before use.

Oral use.

Do not swallow desiccant.

6. SPECIAL WARNING THAT THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT MUST BE STORED OUT OF THE SIGHT AND REACH OF CHILDREN

Keep out of the sight and reach of children.

7. OTHER SPECIAL WARNING(S), IF NECESSARY

8. EXPIRY DATE

EXP

9. SPECIAL STORAGE CONDITIONS

Store in the original package in order to protect from moisture. Keep the bottle tightly closed.

10. SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS FOR DISPOSAL OF UNUSED MEDICINAL PRODUCTS OR WASTE MATERIALS DERIVED FROM SUCH MEDICINAL PRODUCTS, IF APPROPRIATE

11. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE MARKETING AUTHORISATION HOLDER

Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Carrigtohill County Cork, T45 DP77 Ireland

12. MARKETING AUTHORISATION NUMBER(S)

EU/1/16/1154/001 30 film-coated tablets EU/1/16/1154/002 90 (3 bottles of 30) film-coated tablets

13. BATCH NUMBER

Lot

14. GENERAL CLASSIFICATION FOR SUPPLY

15. INSTRUCTIONS ON USE

16. INFORMATION IN BRAILLE

Vemlidy [Outer packaging only]

17. UNIQUE IDENTIFIER - 2D BARCODE

2D barcode carrying the unique identifier included.

18. UNIQUE IDENTIFIER – HUMAN READABLE DATA

PC {number}

SN {number}

NN {number}

B. PACKAGE LEAFLET

Package leaflet: Information for the patient

Vemlidy 25 mg film-coated tablets

tenofovir alafenamide

Read all of this leaflet carefully before you start taking this medicine because it contains important information for you.

- Keep this leaflet. You may need to read it again.
- If you have any further questions, ask your doctor or pharmacist.
- This medicine has been prescribed for you only. Do not pass it on to others. It may harm them, even if their signs of illness are the same as yours.
- If you get any side effects, talk to your doctor or pharmacist. This includes any possible side effects not listed in this leaflet. See section 4.

What is in this leaflet

- 1. What Vemlidy is and what it is used for
- 2. What you need to know before you take Vemlidy
- 3. How to take Vemlidy
- 4. Possible side effects
- 5. How to store Vemlidy
- 6. Contents of the pack and other information

If Vemlidy has been prescribed for your child, please note that all the information in this leaflet is addressed to your child (in this case please read "your child" instead of "you").

1. What Vemlidy is and what it is used for

Vemlidy contains the active substance *tenofovir alafenamide*. This is an *antiviral medicine*, known as a *nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor* (NtRTI).

Vemlidy is used to **treat chronic (long-term) hepatitis B** in adults and children 6 years of age and older, who weigh at least 25 kg. Hepatitis B is an infection affecting the liver, caused by the hepatitis B virus. In patients with hepatitis B, this medicine controls the infection by stopping the virus from multiplying.

2. What you need to know before you take Vemlidy

Do not take Vemlidy

• **if you are allergic** to tenofovir alafenamide or any of the other ingredients of this medicine (listed in section 6).

→ If this applies to you, **do not take Vemlidy and tell your doctor immediately.**

Warnings and precautions

- **Take care not to pass on your hepatitis B to other people.** You can still infect others when taking this medicine. This medicine does not reduce the risk of passing on hepatitis B to others through sexual contact or blood contamination. You must continue to take precautions to avoid this. Discuss with your doctor the precautions needed to avoid infecting others.
- **Tell your doctor if you have a history of liver disease.** Patients with liver disease, who are treated for hepatitis B with antiviral medicines, have a higher risk of severe and potentially fatal liver complications. Your doctor may need to carry out blood tests to monitor your liver function.

- Talk to your doctor or pharmacist if you have had kidney disease or if tests have shown problems with your kidneys, before or during treatment. Before starting treatment and during treatment with Vemlidy, your doctor may order blood or urine tests to monitor how your kidneys work.
- **Talk to your doctor if you also have hepatitis C or D.** This medicine has not been tested on patients who have hepatitis C or D as well as hepatitis B.
- **Talk to your doctor if you also have HIV.** If you are not sure whether you have HIV, your doctor should offer you HIV testing before you start taking this medicine for hepatitis B.

→ If any of these apply to you, talk to your doctor before taking Vemlidy.

There is a possibility that you may experience kidney problems when taking Vemlidy over a long period of time (see *Warnings and precautions*).

Children and adolescents

Do not give this medicine to children who are under 6 years old, or weighing less than 25 kg. It has not been tested in children aged less than 6 years old or weighing less than 25 kg.

Bone problems. Loss of bone mass has been reported in some children who received Vemlidy. The effects on long-term bone health and future fracture risk in children are uncertain. Your doctor will monitor this possible risk. Tell your doctor if any bone pain or fractures occur.

Other medicines and Vemlidy

Tell your doctor or pharmacist if you are taking, have recently taken or might take any other medicine. Vemlidy may interact with other medicines. As a result, the amounts of Vemlidy or other medicines in your blood may change. This may stop your medicines from working properly, or may make any side effects worse.

Medicines used in treating hepatitis B infection

You should not take this medicine with other medicines containing:

- tenofovir alafenamide
- tenofovir disoproxil
- adefovir dipivoxil

Other types of medicines

Talk to your doctor if you are taking:

- **antibiotics** used to treat bacterial infections including tuberculosis, containing:
 - rifabutin, rifampicin or rifapentine
- antiviral medicines used to treat HIV, such as:
 - ritonavir or cobicistat boosted darunavir, lopinavir or atazanavir
- **anticonvulsants** used to treat epilepsy, such as:
 - carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, phenobarbital or phenytoin
- herbal remedies used to treat depression and anxiety, containing:
 St. John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*)
- **antifungal medicines** used to treat fungal infections, containing:
 - ketoconazole or itraconazole

\rightarrow Tell your doctor if you are taking these or any other medicines.

Pregnancy and breast-feeding

If you are pregnant or breast-feeding, think you may be pregnant or are planning to have a baby, ask your doctor or pharmacist for advice before taking this medicine.

- Tell your doctor immediately if you become pregnant.
- **Do not breast-feed during treatment with Vemlidy.** It is recommended that you do not breast-feed to avoid passing tenofovir alafenamide or tenofovir to the baby through breast milk.

Driving and using machines

Vemlidy can cause dizziness. If you feel dizzy when taking Vemlidy, do not drive and do not use any tools or machines.

Vemlidy contains lactose

If you have been told by your doctor that you have an intolerance to some sugars, contact your doctor before taking this medicine.

Vemlidy contains sodium

This medicine contains less than 1 mmol sodium (23 mg) per tablet, that is to say essentially 'sodium-free'.

3. How to take Vemlidy

Always take this medicine exactly as your doctor has told you. Check with your doctor or pharmacist if you are not sure.

The recommended dose is **one tablet once a day with food.** It is best to take Vemlidy with food to get the right levels of active substance in your body. Treatment should continue for as long as your doctor tells you. Usually this is for at least 6 to 12 months and may be for many years.

If you take more Vemlidy than you should

If you accidentally take more than the recommended dose of Vemlidy you may be at increased risk of experiencing possible side effects with this medicine (see section 4, *Possible side effects*).

Contact your doctor or nearest emergency department immediately for advice. Keep the tablet bottle with you so that you can easily describe what you have taken.

If you forget to take Vemlidy

It is important not to miss a dose. If you do miss a dose, work out how long since you should have taken it.

- If it is less than 18 hours after you usually take Vemlidy, take it as soon as you can, and then take your next dose at its regular time.
- If it is more than 18 hours after you usually take Vemlidy, then do not take the missed dose. Wait and take the next dose at the regular time. Do not take a double dose to make up for a forgotten tablet.

If you are sick (vomit) less than 1 hour after taking Vemlidy, take another tablet. You do not need to take another tablet if you are sick (vomit) more than 1 hour after taking Vemlidy.

If you stop taking Vemlidy

Do not stop taking Vemlidy without your doctor's advice. Stopping treatment with Vemlidy may cause your hepatitis B to get worse. In some patients with advanced liver disease or cirrhosis, this could be life-threatening. If you stop taking this medicine, you will need regular health checks and blood tests for several months to check your hepatitis B infection.

- **Talk to your doctor** before you stop taking this medicine for any reason, particularly if you are experiencing any side effects or you have another illness.
- **Tell your doctor immediately** about new or unusual symptoms after you stop treatment, particularly symptoms you associate with hepatitis B infection.
- Talk to your doctor before you restart taking Vemlidy tablets.

If you have any further questions on the use of this medicine, ask your doctor or pharmacist.

4. **Possible side effects**

Like all medicines, this medicine can cause side effects, although not everybody gets them.

Very common

(may affect more than 1 in 10 people)

• Headache

Common

(may affect up to 1 in 10 people)

- Diarrhoea
- Being sick (*vomiting*)
- Feeling sick (*nausea*)
- Dizziness
- Stomach pain
- Joint pain (*arthralgia*)
- Rash
- Itchiness
- Feeling bloated
- Wind (*flatulence*)
- Feeling tired

Uncommon

(may affect up to 1 in 100 people)

- Swelling of the face, lips, tongue or throat (*angioedema*)
- Hives (*urticaria*)

Tests may also show:

• Increased level of a liver enzyme (ALT) in the blood

\rightarrow If any of these side effects get serious tell your doctor.

During HBV therapy there may be an increase in weight, fasting levels of blood lipids and/or glucose. Your doctor will test for these changes.

Reporting of side effects

If you get any side effects, talk to your doctor, pharmacist or nurse. This includes any possible side effects not listed in this leaflet. You can also report side effects directly via the national reporting system listed in Appendix V. By reporting side effects you can help provide more information on the safety of this medicine.

5. How to store Vemlidy

Keep this medicine out of the sight and reach of children.

Do not use this medicine after the expiry date which is stated on the bottle and carton after {EXP}. The expiry date refers to the last day of that month.

Store in the original package in order to protect from moisture. Keep the bottle tightly closed.

Do not throw away any medicines via wastewater or household waste. Ask your pharmacist how to throw away medicines you no longer use. These measures will help protect the environment.

6. Contents of the pack and other information

What Vemlidy contains

The active substance is *tenofovir alafenamide*. Each Vemlidy film-coated tablet contains tenofovir alafenamide fumarate, equivalent to 25 mg of tenofovir alafenamide.

The other ingredients are

Tablet core: Lactose monohydrate, microcrystalline cellulose (E460(i)), croscarmellose sodium (E468), magnesium stearate (E470b).

Film-coating: Polyvinyl alcohol (E1203), titanium dioxide (E171), macrogol (E1521), talc (E553b), iron oxide yellow (E172).

What Vemlidy looks like and contents of the pack

Vemlidy film-coated tablets are yellow, round, printed (or marked) with "GSI" on one side of the tablet and "25" on the other side of the tablet. It comes in bottles of 30 tablets (with a silica gel desiccant that must be kept in the bottle to help protect your tablets). The silica gel desiccant is contained in a separate sachet or canister and should not be swallowed.

The following pack sizes are available: outer cartons containing 1 bottle of 30 film-coated tablets and outer cartons containing 90 (3 bottles of 30) film-coated tablets. Not all pack sizes may be marketed.

Marketing Authorisation Holder

Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Carrigtohill County Cork, T45 DP77 Ireland

Manufacturer

Gilead Sciences Ireland UC IDA Business & Technology Park Carrigtohill County Cork Ireland

For any information about this medicine, please contact the local representative of the Marketing Authorisation Holder:

België/Belgique/Belgien Gilead Sciences Belgium SRL-BV Tél/Tel: + 32 (0) 24 01 35 50

България Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Тел.: + 353 (0) 1 686 1888

Česká republika Gilead Sciences s.r.o. Tel: + 420 910 871 986

Danmark Gilead Sciences Sweden AB Tlf: + 46 (0) 8 5057 1849

Deutschland Gilead Sciences GmbH Tel: + 49 (0) 89 899890-0

Eesti Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Tel: + 353 (0) 1 686 1888

Ελλάδα Gilead Sciences Ελλάς Μ.ΕΠΕ. Τηλ: + 30 210 8930 100

España Gilead Sciences, S.L. Tel: + 34 91 378 98 30

France Gilead Sciences Tél: + 33 (0) 1 46 09 41 00

Hrvatska Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Tel: + 353 (0) 1 686 1888

Ireland Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Tel: + 353 (0) 214 825 999 Lietuva Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Tel: + 353 (0) 1 686 1888

Luxembourg/Luxemburg Gilead Sciences Belgium SRL-BV Tél/Tel: + 32 (0) 24 01 35 50

Magyarország Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Tel: + 353 (0) 1 686 1888

Malta Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Tel: + 353 (0) 1 686 1888

Nederland Gilead Sciences Netherlands B.V. Tel: + 31 (0) 20 718 36 98

Norge Gilead Sciences Sweden AB Tlf: + 46 (0) 8 5057 1849

Österreich Gilead Sciences GesmbH Tel: + 43 1 260 830

Polska Gilead Sciences Poland Sp. z o.o. Tel: + 48 (0) 22 262 8702

Portugal Gilead Sciences, Lda. Tel: + 351 21 7928790

România Gilead Sciences (GSR) S.R.L. Tel: + 40 31 631 18 00

Slovenija Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Tel: + 353 (0) 1 686 1888 Ísland Gilead Sciences Sweden AB Sími: + 46 (0) 8 5057 1849

Italia Gilead Sciences S.r.l. Tel: + 39 02 439201

Κύπρος Gilead Sciences Ελλάς Μ.ΕΠΕ. Τηλ: + 30 210 8930 100

Latvija Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Tel: + 353 (0) 1 686 1888 **Slovenská republika** Gilead Sciences Slovakia s.r.o. Tel: + 421 232 121 210

Suomi/Finland Gilead Sciences Sweden AB Puh/Tel: + 46 (0) 8 5057 1849

Sverige Gilead Sciences Sweden AB Tel: + 46 (0) 8 5057 1849

United Kingdom (Northern Ireland) Gilead Sciences Ireland UC Tel: + 44 (0) 8000 113 700

This leaflet was last revised in {MM/YYYY}.

Detailed information on this medicine is available on the European Medicines Agency web site: http://www.ema.europa.eu.