

Chief Medical Office & Patient Safety

LOCAMETZ

Gozetotide

## EU Safety Risk Management Plan

Active substance(s) (INN or common name)	gozetotide
Product(s) concerned (brand name)	Locametz
Document status	Final
Version number	1.3
Data lock point for this RMP	27-Jan-2021
Date of final sign off	19-Sep-2022

### **Rationale for submitting an updated RMP:**

This EU RMP v1.3 is updated to address Day 180+ x1(2<sup>nd</sup>) List of Outstanding Issues questions by CHMP dated 15-Sep-2022 following the initial submission to European Medicines Agency (EMA) on 30-Sep-2021 (EMA/H/C/005488).

### **Summary of significant changes in this RMP:**

<b>Part</b>	<b>Major changes compared to RMP v 1.1</b>
Part I	Updated to reflect the updates made in the SmPC
Part II	None
Part III	Objectives and milestone of proposed category 3 PASS are updated
Part IV	None
Part V	None
Part VI	Summary of activities in the risk management plan by medicinal product was updated in line with the updates made to the earlier sections
Part VII	Annex 2 now updated with the objectives and milestone of the proposed category 3 PASS study Annex 6 now updated with the proposed physician education material

### **Other RMP versions under evaluation**

None

### **Details of the currently approved RMP:**

Not applicable for initial marketing authorization application submission.

**QPPV name:** Dr. David Lewis, BSc (Hons), PhD

**QPPV oversight declaration:** The content of this RMP has been reviewed and approved by the marketing authorization holder's QPPV. The electronic signature is available on file.

## Table of contents

Table of contents .....	3
List of tables .....	5
List of figures .....	6
List of abbreviations .....	7
1 Part I: Product(s) Overview .....	9
2 Part II Safety specification Module SI: Epidemiology of the indication and target population .....	10
2.1 Indication .....	10
3 Part II Safety specification Module SII: Non-clinical part of the safety specification .....	14
4 Part II Safety specification Module SIII Clinical trial exposure .....	16
4.1 Part II Module SIII Clinical trial exposure .....	16
5 Part II Safety specification Module SIV: Populations not studied in clinical trials .....	21
5.1 Part II Module SIV. 1 Exclusion criteria in pivotal clinical studies within the development program .....	21
5.2 Part II Module SIV.2. Limitations to detect adverse reactions in clinical trial development programs .....	21
5.3 Part II Module SIV.3. Limitations in respect to populations typically underrepresented in clinical trial development programs .....	22
6 Part II Safety specification Module SV: Post-authorization experience .....	23
6.1 Part II Module SV.1. Post-authorization exposure .....	23
7 Part II Safety specification Module SVI: Additional EU requirements for the safety specification .....	24
7.1 Potential for misuse for illegal purposes .....	24
8 Part II Safety specification Module SVII: Identified and potential risks .....	25
8.1 Part II Module SVII.1 . Identification of safety concerns in the initial RMP submission .....	25
8.1.1 Part II SVII.1.1. Risks not considered important for inclusion in the list of safety concerns in the RMP .....	25
8.1.2 Part II Module SVII.1.2. Risks considered important for inclusion in the list of safety concerns in the RMP .....	26
8.2 Part II SVII.2: New safety concerns and reclassification with a submission of an updated RMP .....	26
8.3 Part II SVII.3: Details of important identified risks, important potential risks, and missing information .....	26
8.3.1 Part II Module SVII.3.1. Presentation of important identified risks and important potential risks .....	26
8.3.2 SVII.3.2. Presentation of the missing information .....	28
9 Part II Safety specification Module SVIII: Summary of the safety concerns .....	29

10	Part III: Pharmacovigilance plan (including post-authorization safety studies)	30
10.1	Part III.1. Routine pharmacovigilance activities	30
10.1.1	Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond ADRs reporting and signal detection	30
10.2	Part III.2. Additional pharmacovigilance activities	30
10.3	Part III.3 Summary Table of additional pharmacovigilance activities	31
11	Part IV: Plans for post-authorization efficacy studies	32
12	Part V: Risk minimization measures (including evaluation of the effectiveness of risk minimization activities)	33
12.1	Part V.1. Routine risk minimization measures	33
12.2	Part V.2. Additional Risk minimization measures	33
12.3	Part V.3. Summary of risk minimization measures	34
13	Part VI: Summary of the risk management plan for LOCAMETZ (gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide)	35
13.1	Part VI: I. The medicine and what it is used for	35
13.2	Part VI: II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimize or further characterize the risks	35
13.2.1	Part VI – II.A: List of important risks and missing information	36
13.2.2	Part VI - II B: Summary of important risks	36
13.2.3	Part VI – II C: Post-authorization development plan	38
14	Part VII: Annexes	39
	Annex 1 – EudraVigilance Interface	40
	Annex 2 – Tabulated summary of planned, ongoing, and completed pharmacovigilance study program	41
	Annex 3 - Protocols for proposed, ongoing and completed studies in the pharmacovigilance plan	42
	Annex 4 - Specific adverse drug reaction follow-up forms	43
	Annex 5 - Protocols for proposed and ongoing studies in RMP part IV	44
	Annex 6 - Details of proposed additional risk minimization activities	45
	Annex 7 - Other supporting data (including referenced material)	46
	Brief Statistical Description and Supportive Outputs	46
	MedDRA Search terms for spontaneous post-marketing data	46
	References List	47
	Annex 8 – Summary of changes to the risk management plan over time	50

## List of tables

Table 1-1	Part I.1 - Product Overview .....	9
Table 3-1	Key safety findings from non-clinical studies and relevance to human usage:.....	14
Table 4-1	Exposure by age group (Gozetotide Safety Analysis Set) .....	16
Table 4-2	Exposure by race (Gozetotide Safety Analysis Set) .....	17
Table 4-3	Exposure by region (PSMA-11 Safety Analysis Set) .....	17
Table 4-4	Treatment Exposure by Ethnicity (PSMA-11 Safety Analysis Set) .....	18
Table 4-5	Overview of sources of efficacy data.....	18
Table 4-6	Gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide PET/CT efficacy assessments .....	19
Table 4-7	Principal Radiation Emission Data (> 1%) for Gallium-68.....	20
Table 4-8	Physical Decay Chart for Gallium-68 .....	20
Table 5-1	Important exclusion criteria in pivotal studies in the development program .....	21
Table 5-2	Exposure of special populations included or not in clinical trial development programs .....	22
Table 8-1	List of Safety Concerns not considered Important.....	25
Table 8-2	Important potential risks .....	26
Table 8-3	Important potential risk - PET imaging interpretation errors: Other details .....	26
Table 9-1	Table Part II SVIII.1: Summary of safety concerns.....	29
Table 10-1	Part III.1: Ongoing and planned additional pharmacovigilance activities .....	31
Table 12-1	Part V.1: Description of routine risk minimization measures by safety concern.....	33
Table 12-2	Summary of pharmacovigilance activities and risk minimization activities by safety concerns.....	34
Table 13-1	List of important risks and missing information .....	36
Table 13-2	Important potential risk – PET imaging interpretation errors.....	36
Table 13-3	Other studies in the post-authorization development plan.....	38
Table 14-1	Planned and ongoing studies.....	41
Table 14-2	MedDRA Search terms for spontaneous post-marketing data.....	46
Table 14-3	Summary of changes to the risk management plan over time .....	50

## List of figures

None

## List of abbreviations

ADR	Adverse Drug Reaction
AE	Adverse Event
AOR	Adjusted Odds Ratio
aRMM	Additional Risk Minimization Measure
BMI	Body Mass Index
CHMP	Committee For Medicinal Products for Human Use
CI	Confidence Interval
CMQ	Customised MedDRA Query
CO	Clinical Overview
CRPC	Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer
CT	Computerized Tomography Scan
DaPCaR	Danish Prostate Cancer Registry
DDI	Drug-Drug Interaction
EEA	European Economic Area
EMA	European Medicines Agency
EPAR	European Public Assessment Report
ePLND	Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection
EU	European Union
FDA	Food And Drug Administration
Ga	Gallium
GLP	Good Laboratory Practice
HCP	Health Care Professional
hERG	The Human Ether-À-Go-Go-Related Gene
HR	Hazard Ratio
Lu	Lutetium
MAH	Marketing Authorisation Holder
MedDRA	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
mPC	Metastatic Prostate Cancer
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
NOAEL	No-Observed-Adverse-Effect-Level
NPV	Negative Predictive Values
OS	Overall Survival
PASS	Post-Authorisation Safety Studies
PC	Prostate Cancer
PCSM	Prostate Cancer-Specific Mortality
PCWG3	Prostate Cancer Working Group 3
PET	Positron Emission Tomography
PPV	Positive Predictive Values
PSA	Prostate-Specific Antigen
PSMA	Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen
PSUR	Periodic Safety Update Reports

QPPV	Qualified Person for Pharmacovigilliance
RLT	Radioligand Therapy
RMP	Risk Management Plan
rPFS	Progression-Free Survival
SD	Standard Deviation
SmPC	Summary of Product Characteristics
SUVmax	Maximum Standardized Uptake Value
UCLA	University of California, Los Angeles
UCSF	University of California, San Francisco
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
USPI	United States Prescribing Information



## 1 Part I: Product(s) Overview

Table 1-1 Part I.1 - Product Overview

<b>Active substance(s) (INN or common name)</b>	The active substance is obtained after radiolabelling of gozetotide, the drug substance of Locametz.
<b>Pharmacotherapeutic group(s) (ATC Code)</b>	V09IX14
<b>Marketing Authorization Applicant</b>	Novartis Europharm Limited Vista Building Elm Park, Merrion Road Dublin 4 Ireland
<b>Medicinal products to which this RMP refers</b>	1
<b>Invented name(s) in the European Economic Area (EEA)</b>	Locametz
<b>Marketing authorization procedure</b>	Centralized
<b>Brief description of the product</b>	<b>Chemical class:</b> LOCAMETZ 25 micrograms kit for radiopharmaceutical preparation
	<b>Summary of mode of action:</b> Gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide binds to cells that express PSMA, including malignant prostate cancer cells, which over express PSMA. Gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) is a radionuclide with an emission yield that allows PET imaging.
	<b>Important information about its composition:</b> Gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide solution for injection is a sterile, clear, colourless solution for intravenous administration, without undissolved matter and with pH between 3.2 to 6.5. After reconstitution, the gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide solution for injection also contains hydrochloric acid derived from the gallium-68 chloride solution.
	<b>Physical Characteristics:</b> Gallium-68 decays with a half-life of 68 minutes to stable zinc-68. Details on principle radiation emission data, and physical decay of gallium-68 are discussed in Table 4-6 and Table 4-7.
<b>Hyperlink to the Product Information</b>	<a href="#">[Proposed SmPC]</a>
<b>Indication(s) in the EEA</b>	<b>Current:</b> This medicinal product is for diagnostic use only. Locametz, after radiolabelling with gallium-68, is indicated for the detection of prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-positive lesions with positron emission tomography (PET) in adults with prostate cancer (PCa) in the following clinical settings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Primary staging of patients with high-risk PCa prior to primary curative therapy,</li> <li>• Suspected PCa recurrence in patients with increasing levels of serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) after primary curative therapy,</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identification of patients with PSMA-positive progressive metastatic castration resistant PCa for whom PSMA-targeted therapy is indicated.</li> </ul>
<b>Dosage in the EEA</b>	<b>Current:</b> The recommended dose of gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide is 1.8-2.2 MBq/kg of body weight, with a minimum dose of 111 MBq up to a maximum dose of 259 MBq.
<b>Pharmaceutical form(s) and strengths</b>	<p><b>Current:</b> LOCAMETZ is a multidose kit for radiopharmaceutical preparation of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide solution for injection, containing one vial of white lyophilized powder (powder for solution for injection).</p> <p><b>For radiolabelling with gallium-68 chloride solution.</b> After reconstitution, LOCAMETZ contains a sterile solution for injection of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide at an activity of up to 1369 MBq.</p>
<b>Is/will the product be subject to additional monitoring in the EU?</b>	Yes

## 2 Part II Safety specification Module SI: Epidemiology of the indication and target population

### 2.1 Indication

This medicinal product is for diagnostic use only.

Locametz, after radiolabelling with gallium-68, is indicated for the detection of prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-positive lesions with positron emission tomography (PET) in adults with prostate cancer (PCa) in the following clinical settings:

- Primary staging of patients with high-risk PCa prior to primary curative therapy,
- Suspected PCa recurrence in patients with increasing levels of serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) after primary curative therapy,
- Identification of patients with PSMA-positive progressive metastatic castration resistant PCa for whom PSMA-targeted therapy is indicated.

Diagnostic agents do not lend themselves to traditional epidemiological assessments of incidence and prevalence. As such, the data which follow focus on prostate cancer specifically.

#### **Incidence:**

Overall, the reported age-standardized incidence of prostate cancer in Europe ranged from 63.4 per 100,000 using GLOBOCAN data (Ferlay et al 2020) to 100.0-130.0 per 100,000 in France and Germany (Smith-Palmer et al 2019). The age-adjusted incidence reported for the US ranged from 73.3 per 100,000 in men, based on GLOBOCAN data (Ferlay et al 2020), to 115.3 per 100,000 for the US “SEER 9 areas” (Howlader et al 2020). In regions other than Europe and the USA, the age-standardized PC incidence ranged from an annual incidence of 4.5 per

100,000 males (age-standardization not reported) in the United Arab Emirates (Radwan et al 2018), to an age-standardized incidence of 70.3 per 100,000 in Oceania based on GLOBOCAN data (Ferlay et al 2020).

## **Prevalence:**

### **Europe**

Ferlay et al 2020 analyzed GLOBOCAN data and provided estimated numbers of prevalent PC cases in 2020 among all age groups. The GLOBOCAN data indicate that in 2020 the prevalence was 518.1 per 100,000 within Europe. Accordingly, Europe has the highest reported prevalence estimate amongst all GLOBOCAN data-based prevalence estimates.

### **United States**

Data from the SEER Program (Howlander et al 2020) showed age-specific (crude) prevalence estimates on PC. The United States cancer prevalence estimates on 1<sup>st</sup> January 2017 were 900,214 cases diagnosed 0 to 5 years before. With respect to complete estimates, there were 3,170,339 men of all races living with PC in the United States in 2017. The counts are based on 2017 cancer prevalence proportions from the “SEER 13 Areas” (excluding the Alaska Native Registry) and 1<sup>st</sup> January 2017 US population estimates based on the average of 2016 and 2017 population estimates from the US Bureau of the Census. More recent data from 2020 from the GLOBOCAN program show a prevalence of 509.3 per 100,000 among all age groups in Northern America, which is the second highest prevalence estimate in the world region after Europe (Ferlay et al 2020).

### **Rest of World**

Overall, the PCa prevalence estimates based on GLOBOCAN data (Ferlay et al 2020) in other regions in the world vary from 416.9 per 100.000 in Oceania, over 220.5 per 100.000 in Latin America and the Caribbean, to 49.6 per 100.000 in Asia, 6.9 per 100,000 in Africa, and 5% in Pakistan (Idrees et al 2018). (Idrees et al 2018) conducted a meta-analysis including data from 1994-2016 on PCa in Pakistan and showed that the prevalence of PC ranged from 2% to 8%, with an overall pooled prevalence estimate of 5%. An overview of prevalent PC cases among all age groups for all world regions based on GLOBOCAN 2020 data is provided by (Ferlay et al 2020).

## **Demographics of the population in the proposed indication – age, gender, racial and/or ethnic origin and risk factors for the disease:**

Prostate cancer incidence increases with age. Although only 1 in 350 men under the age of 50 years will be diagnosed with prostate cancer, the rate increases up to 1 in every 52 men for ages 50 to 59 years, and peaks even higher in the elderly. Nearly 60% of all prostate cancers are diagnosed in men over the age of 65 years. African-American men have the highest incidence of prostate cancer worldwide, are more likely to develop disease earlier in life when compared to other racial and ethnic groups, and are also prone to be diagnosed with more aggressive type of prostate cancer compared to White men. Rates are also very high among Caribbeans, and Black men in Europe, suggesting that they possess a common genetic background more prone to the development of the cancer (Rawla 2019).

Multivariate analyses showed that high occupational physical activity (AOR 6.7, 95% CI 1.3-35.1), history of prostatitis (AOR 31.5, 95% CI 9.2-170.5), and old age (over 80 years vs 70 or young, AOR 299.1, 95% CI 5.3-16985.9) were associated with higher risk of PC (Hosseini et al 2010).

Obesity in general was found to be associated with more aggressive PC with higher risk of biochemical recurrence (HR = 1.20, p = 0.026), risk of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) (HR = 2.12, p = 0.026) and risk of PCSM (HR 3.38, p = 0.0170) (Vidal et al 2017). Another study showed similar results where high BMI was associated with a trend for greater risk of progression to CRPC (HR: 3.36, 95% CI: 0.96-11.71, p=0.063), risk of developing metastases (HR: 3.58, 95% CI: 0.77-16.65, p=0.027) and a trend toward worse PCSM (HR: 8.21 95% CI: 0.97-69.72, p=0.119) (Keto et al 2012).

### **The main existing PET imaging agents:**

The European Commission granted a marketing authorization valid throughout the European Union for Axumin on 22-May-2017. The active substance in Axumin, fluciclovine (<sup>18</sup>F), works by entering prostate cancer cells via structures (LAT-1 and ASCT2) that are present in high numbers on the surface of these cells.

### **Natural history of the indicated condition in the untreated population, including mortality and morbidity:**

Mortality data in prostate cancer is limited across EU. (Morgan et al 2010) reported mortality of CRPC in the UK. Following the onset of CRPC, the mortality rate was 201.2 per 1,000 patient years compared with 86.7 per 1,000 for non-CRPC (Morgan et al 2010).

Helgstrand and colleagues reported five-year mortality in men with newly diagnosed mPC. The 5-year overall mortality in the Danish Prostate Cancer Registry (DaPCaR) cohort after diagnosis of de-novo metastatic PC was 78.5% (95% CI, 77.4%-79.5%). In the DaPCaR cohort, 5-year PC-specific mortality significantly decreased from 73.4% (95% CI, 71.2%-75.6%) for patients who were diagnosed during 1995 through 1999 to 56.8% (95% CI, 54.8%-58.8%; p<.0001) for the patients diagnosed during 2005 and 2009 (Figure 28) (Helgstrand 2018).

Five-year PC mortality was stable in the US for men diagnosed with de novo mPC from 1980-1994 and increased slightly for the 2005-2008 period; whereas, it decreased significantly by 16.6% (p<.0001) in the DaPCaR cohort from diagnosis period 1995-1999 to 2005-2009 (Helgstrand 2018).

The majority of men with localized PC died from other reasons (n=11,228, 23.9%) than PC (n=4058, 8.6%) during 1985-1994, while the majority of men with metastatic disease (48%) died from PC during the same period (Seikkula et al 2017).

Palliative radiation was the most common symptomatic skeletal event (83%), followed by spinal cord compression (10%), pathological fracture (6%), and surgery to bone (1%) with the majority of the patients having  $\geq 2$  symptomatic skeletal-related events (Saad et al 2018).

### **Important co-morbidities:**

In a recent population-based study, patients with prostate cancer had a significantly higher risk of developing cardiovascular conditions (hazard ratio 1.37, 95% CI: 1.26–1.48), depression (1.86, 95% CI: 1.73–2.01), diabetes (1.30, 95% CI: 1.15–1.47), gastric acid disorders (1.48, 95% CI: 1.39–1.57), hyperlipidaemia (1.18, 95% CI: 1.09–1.29), osteoporosis (1.65, 95% CI: 1.48–1.85) and pain/pain-inflammation (1.47, 95% CI: 1.39–1.55) compared to the control patients. Notably, the hazard ratios for cardiovascular conditions and depression were highest in the first year and declined over time (Ng et al 2018).

Additionally, a longitudinal population-based cohort study in the General Practice Research Database of the UK found that compared with men with similar age but no prostate cancer, PC patients had higher incidence of urinary tract infection, impotence and breast disorder, and a 2.6-fold higher all-cause mortality (Li et al 2012).

### 3 Part II Safety specification Module SII: Non-clinical part of the safety specification

**Table 3-1 Key safety findings from non-clinical studies and relevance to human usage:**

Key Safety findings (from non-clinical studies)	Relevance to human usage
<b>Toxicity:</b>	
<p><b>Single and repeat-dose toxicity:</b> Single dose GLP toxicity studies of gozetotide in rats provided a systemic no-observed-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL) at the highest doses tested (1.33 mg/kg).</p> <p>This dose level provides a safety margin based on body surface area conversion of approximately 530-fold relative to the potential maximum human mass dose (25 µg) in a 1.7 m<sup>2</sup> patient.</p>	<p>Based on the current available non-clinical data, there is no concern relevant to human usage.</p>
<p><b>Reproductive/Developmental toxicity</b> Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide is a microdose radiodiagnostic, therefore no reproductive and developmental toxicity studies were conducted with gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide or the gozetotide precursor as they are not required according to the relevant guidelines: CHMP Guideline on the nonclinical requirements for radiopharmaceuticals (EMA/CHMP/SWP/686140/2018) and Microdose Radiopharmaceutical Diagnostic Drugs: Nonclinical Study Recommendations (Guideline for Industry, FDA August 2018).</p>	<p>Beta, and gamma radiation cause deoxyribonucleic acid damage and damage male and female germ cells and a developing fetus. The risk is appropriately communicated in product labeling.</p>
<p><b>Carcinogenicity</b> Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide is a microdose radiodiagnostic, therefore no carcinogenicity studies have been conducted with gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide or the gozetotide precursor as they are not required according to the relevant guidelines: CHMP Guideline on the nonclinical requirements for radiopharmaceuticals (EMA/CHMP/SWP/686140/2018) and Microdose Radiopharmaceutical Diagnostic Drugs: Nonclinical Study Recommendations (Guideline for Industry, FDA August 2018).</p>	<p>Beta, and gamma radiation cause deoxyribonucleic acid damage and are inherently carcinogenic. The risk is appropriately communicated in product labeling.</p>
<p><b>Genotoxicity</b> gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide is a microdose radiodiagnostic, therefore no genotoxicity studies have been conducted with gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide or the gozetotide precursor as they are not required according to the relevant guidelines: CHMP Guideline on the nonclinical requirements for radiopharmaceuticals (EMA/CHMP/SWP/686140/2018) and Microdose Radiopharmaceutical Diagnostic Drugs: Nonclinical Study Recommendations (Guideline for Industry, FDA August 2018).</p> <p>An in silico bacterial mutagenicity study was performed which indicated that gozetotide was not a bacterial mutagen and could be treated as a non-mutagenic compound (See results in Section 4.7).</p>	<p>Beta, and gamma radiation cause deoxyribonucleic acid damage and are inherently genotoxic. The risk is appropriately communicated in product labeling.</p>

<b>Safety Pharmacology:</b>	
<p><b>Cardiovascular</b></p> <p>Gozetotide was negative in the <i>in vitro</i> hERG and the <i>in vivo</i> cardiovascular safety pharmacology study in minipigs after administration of single doses up to 0.29 mg/kg.</p>	<p>Based on the current available data, there is no concern relevant to human usage.</p>
<p><b>Nervous system</b></p> <p>There were no effects of gozetotide on behavioral, neurologic or autonomic parameters in male Sprague Dawley (SD) rats after a single dose administration of up to 0.75 mg/kg.</p>	<p>Based on the current available data, there is no concern relevant to human usage.</p>
<p><b>Respiratory system</b></p> <p>There were no effects of gozetotide on respiratory parameters in male SD rats after a single intravenous administration of up to 0.75 mg/kg.</p>	<p>Based on the current available data, there is no concern relevant to human usage.</p>
<b>Drug-drug interactions</b>	
<p>No DDI studies were required with gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide or the PSMA-11 precursor, however <i>in vitro</i> assessments have been carried out with PSMA-11 in lieu of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11.</p> <p><b>CYP450 enzymes</b></p> <p>Gozetotide is not a substrate of cytochrome P450 (CYP450) enzymes. It did not induce cytochrome P450 (CYP) 1A2, 2B6, or 3A4 and did not inhibit cytochrome P450 (CYP) CYP1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, or 3A4/5 <i>in vitro</i>.</p> <p><b>Transporters</b></p> <p>Gozetotide is not a substrate of BCRP, P-gp, MATE1, MATE2-K, OAT1, OAT3 and OCT2 and not an inhibitor of BCRP, BSEP, P-gp, MATE1, MATE2-K, OAT1, OAT3, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OCT1 and OCT2 <i>in vitro</i>.</p>	<p>Based on the current available data, there is no concern relevant to human usage.</p>

**Conclusions:**

No safety concerns were identified during the non-clinical program of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide.

## 4 Part II Safety specification Module SIII Clinical trial exposure

### Studies included in the clinical development program:

As of the data cut-off (27-Jan-2021), the safety and efficacy of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide for identifying patients amenable to PSMA-targeted therapy were established in study PSMA-617-01 (VISION).

PSMA-617-01 (VISION): An international, prospective, open label, multicenter, randomized Phase 3 study of <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA-617 in the treatment of patients with progressive PSMA-positive metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC).

The alternate primary efficacy endpoints of the VISION clinical study were overall survival (OS) and radiographic progression-free survival (rPFS) by blinded independent central review per PCWG3 criteria.

As of data cut-off (27-Jan-2021), a total of 1003 adult male patients received gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide at median dose per body weight of 1.9 MBq/kg (range: 0.9-3.7 MBq/kg) and underwent PET/CT image acquisition at approximately 60 minutes (range: 50-100 minutes) after injection. Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET/CT scans were assessed in conjunction with contrast-enhanced CT and/or MRI images and were read by independent central readers blinded to clinical information.

### 4.1 Part II Module SIII Clinical trial exposure

**Table 4-1 Exposure by age group (Gozetotide Safety Analysis Set)**

	Overall	
	Age < 65 (N=251)	Age >=65 (N=752)
<b>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide activity injected-decay corrected dose (MBq)</b>		
n	251	752
Mean	166.5	167.3
SD	22.42	23.35
Median	166.5	166.8
Min-Max	113-241	93-288
<b>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide activity injected-decay corrected dose per body weight (MBq/kg)</b>		
n	248	728
Mean	1.8	2.0
SD	0.37	0.41
Median	1.8	2.0
Min-Max	1-3	1-4
Data Cutoff Date: 27-Jan-2021		
Source: [Annex-7 Table GA-7-1]		



**Table 4-2 Exposure by race (Gozetotide Safety Analysis Set)**

	Overall			
	White (N=868)	Black or African American (N=66)	Asian (N=24)	Other/Missing (N=45)
<b>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide activity injected-decay corrected dose (MBq)</b>				
n	868	66	24	45
Mean	167.6	172.1	161.1	155.2
SD	23.36	14.20	19.50	26.64
Median	166.9	173.9	166.5	155.4
Min-Max	93-288	144-204	117-192	99-200
<b>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide activity injected-decay corrected dose per body weight (MBq/kg)</b>				
n	843	65	24	44
Mean	2.0	1.9	2.1	1.8
SD	0.40	0.44	0.44	0.46
Median	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.7
Min-Max	1-4	1-3	1-3	1-3
Subgroups with at least 10 patients are presented Data Cutoff Date: 27-Jan-2021 Source: [Annex-7 Table GA-7-2a]				

**Table 4-3 Exposure by region (PSMA-11 Safety Analysis Set)**

	Overall	
	North America (N=714)	Europe <sup>1</sup> (N=289)
<b>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide activity injected-decay corrected dose (MBq)</b>		
n	714	289
Mean	170.8	158.2
SD	19.25	28.81
Median	170.2	157.0
Min-Max	93-237	96-288
<b>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide activity injected-decay corrected dose per body weight (MBq/kg)</b>		
n	710	266
Mean	2.0	1.9
SD	0.43	0.33
Median	1.9	1.9
Min-Max	1-3	1-4
Data Cutoff Date: 27-Jan-2021 Source: [Annex-7 Table GA-7-3]		

<sup>1</sup> Europe includes sites from Belgium, France, United Kingdom, Denmark, Sweden and Netherlands.

**Table 4-4 Treatment Exposure by Ethnicity (PSMA-11 Safety Analysis Set)**

	Overall		
	Hispanic or latino (N=18)	Not hispanic or latino (N=858)	Not reported (N=127)
<b>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide activity injected-decay corrected dose (MBq)</b>			
n	18	858	127
Mean	172.3	170.2	145.9
SD	23.24	20.86	26.35
Median	175.8	170.2	141.0
Min-Max	115-200	93-288	96-202
<b>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide activity injected-decay corrected dose per body weight (MBq/kg)</b>			
n	18	844	114
Mean	2.1	2.0	1.7
SD	0.37	0.40	0.31
Median	2.1	2.0	1.7
Min-Max	1-3	1-4	1-3
Source: [Annex-7 Table GA-7-2b] Data Cutoff Date: 27-Jan-2021			

### Additional efficacy evaluation for gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide

Results from ongoing PSMA-617-01 (VISION) study, along with the below listed sources constitute the evidence and support for the successful use gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide in the detection of prostate cancer lesions in patients across the spectrum of prostate cancer.

Two studies conducted by Endocyte/AAA and 24 articles from published literature, together with the labels for the recently FDA-approved gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide for UCLA and UCSF, provide evidence and support for the successful use of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide in the detection of prostate cancer lesions in patients across the spectrum of prostate cancer (gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide CO).

**Table 4-5 Overview of sources of efficacy data**

Source of data	Details
<b>Main study PSMA-617-01 (VISION)</b>	An international, prospective, open-label, multicenter, randomized phase 3 study of <sup>177</sup> Lu-PSMA-617 in the treatment of patients with progressive PSMA-positive metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC)
<b>Reviewer variability study</b>	Study using gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide PET/CT scans from Study PSMA-617-01 (reviewer variability study)
<b>Published literature on technical performance</b>	9 published prospective studies from a systematic literature search 4 published retrospective studies

<b>Published literature</b> on clinical impact on prostate cancer management	12 prospective published studies from a systematic literature review search*
<b>Systematic literature reviews/meta-analyses</b>	3 published articles
Labels	USPI for recently FDA-approved gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide from UCLA and UCSF
*among the 12 prospective published studies on clinical impact on prostate cancer management, 4 of these overlap with the literature related to technical performance. Source: (gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide-CO)	

**Table 4-6 Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET/CT efficacy assessments**

Criteria	Studies included
<p><b>Technical performance:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET/CT identifies PSMA expression in primary tumors (relative to a standard of truth): sensitivity, specificity, and SUV<sub>max</sub>.</li> <li>Gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET/CT scans are interpreted reliably across prostate cancer settings.</li> </ul>	<p>A retrospective study by (Woythal et al 2018) showed that gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET detects PSMA expression and differentiates the higher extent of PSMA expression in cancerous prostate from that of normal prostate (a significantly higher mean SUV<sub>max</sub> 14.06 ± 15.56 vs. 2.43 ± 0.63; p &lt; 0.001), with high sensitivity (97%) and specificity (90%).</p> <p>A prospective study from (Basha et al 2019) showed that gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET detects PSMA expression in primary prostate cancer tumors with high sensitivity and can correctly identify PSMA-positive prostate cancer during primary staging.</p> <p>The reliability of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET scan reads supports use in identifying PSMA-positive lesions, based on the results published literature. (Landis and Koch 1977)</p>
<p><b>Diagnostic performance:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequate detection of PSMA expression in different anatomical locations outside the primary tumor.</li> <li>Better sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, accuracy and detection rate than comparator.</li> </ul>	<p>In van Kalmthout et al 2020, 103 adult male patients with biopsy-proven prostate cancer and intermediate- and high-risk features indicated for extended pelvic lymph node dissection (ePLND) underwent gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET/CT imaging. PET/CT scans were read by two independent blinded readers and ePLND was the histopathology reference standard for 96 out of 103 (93%) patients.</p>
<p><b>Clinical impact on patient management:</b></p> <p>The detection of PSMA-positive lesions leads to changes in treatment plans</p>	<p>Ability of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET/CT to detect prostate cancer lesions that are not detected by other methods leads to more accurate staging and restaging (vs. comparator/conventional imaging) and has major impact on the planned treatment for patients with prostate cancer was demonstrated in studies (Fendler et al 2020, Hofman et al 2020).</p>
<p><b>Impact on clinical outcome:</b></p>	<p>Given the acknowledged diagnostic performance of gozetotide, gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET/CT scans have</p>

The detection of PSMA-positive lesions can lead to better clinical outcome for patients treated with PSMA-targeted RLT.	been used to select patients to enter trials for PSMA-targeted therapy with <sup>177</sup> Lu-PSMA-617 (Emmett et al 2019, Crumbaker et al 2020, Violet et al 2020, Yadav et al 2020a, Hofman et al 2021) and <sup>225</sup> Ac-PSMA-617 (Yadav et al 2020b).
---	---

Source: (gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide CO

### Radiation dosimetry

Gallium-68 decays with a half-life of 68 min to stable zinc-68. The principal radiation emission data, and physical decay of gallium-68 are shown in Table 4-7 and Table 4-8.

**Table 4-7 Principal Radiation Emission Data (> 1%) for Gallium-68**

Radiation/Emission	% Disintegration	Mean Energy (MeV)
beta+	88%	0.8360
beta+	1.1%	0.3526
Gamma	178%	0.5110
Gamma	3%	1.0770
X-ray	2.8%	0.0086
X-ray	1.4%	0.0086

Source: SmPC

**Table 4-8 Physical Decay Chart for Gallium-68**

Minutes	Fraction Remaining
0	1
15	0.858
30	0.736
60	0.541
90	0.398
120	0.293
180	0.158
240	0.086
360	0.025

Source: SmPC

## 5 Part II Safety specification Module SIV: Populations not studied in clinical trials

### 5.1 Part II Module SIV. 1 Exclusion criteria in pivotal clinical studies within the development program

The majority of the clinically important exclusion criteria in the pivotal VISION trial are relevant for <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA-617 as the investigational treatment agent, and the ones specific to gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide are discussed in the table below.

**Table 5-1 Important exclusion criteria in pivotal studies in the development program**

Criteria	Reason for exclusion	Is it considered to be included as missing information?	Rationale for not including as missing information
Known hypersensitivity to the components of the study therapy or its analogs	Reduction of the risk of hypersensitivity reactions on study	No	This is not an easily identifiable population. It would be expected to be such a small number of patients that the missing information would not impact the benefit-risk profile. It is proposed to include hypersensitivity as a potential risk, however, in patients who are not known to have prior relevant hypersensitivities.
Patients with severe renal impairment	gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide is excreted by the kidney and severe impairment may increase systemic or local tissue exposure.	No	gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide is administered as a single, low dose injection, with a maximum total peptide mass dose of 25 micrograms. Additionally, <sup>68</sup> Ga has a short physical half-life (68 mins), and shorter effective half-life of 54 minutes, and the resulting radiation as a result of a gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide administration is considered minimal. Based on these two considerations, an increase in exposure due to renal impairment would not be expected to compromise patient safety.

### 5.2 Part II Module SIV.2. Limitations to detect adverse reactions in clinical trial development programs

The clinical development program is unlikely to detect certain types of adverse reactions such as rare adverse reactions, adverse reactions with a long latency.

### 5.3 Part II Module SIV.3. Limitations in respect to populations typically underrepresented in clinical trial development programs

**Table 5-2 Exposure of special populations included or not in clinical trial development programs**

Type of special population	Exposure
Pregnant women	Treatment is intended to be administered to adult (often elderly) males with mPC. Therefore, as gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide is not indicated in females, the safety and efficacy of gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide in pregnant/breastfeeding women has not been assessed in the clinical development program.
Breastfeeding women	
<b>Patients with relevant comorbidities:</b>	
Patients with hepatic impairment	Patients with severe hepatic impairment were not included, a limited number of patients with mild and moderate hepatic impairment were included in the gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide, clinical development program.
Patients with renal impairment	Patients with mild to moderate renal impairment were included in the clinical development program.
Patients with cardiovascular impairment	Not included in the clinical development program
Immunocompromised patients	Not included in the clinical development program
Patients with a disease severity different from inclusion criteria in clinical trials	Not included in the clinical development program
Population with relevant different ethnic origin	Treatment exposure by ethnicity is discussed in Table 4-2
Subpopulations carrying relevant genetic polymorphisms	Not included in the clinical development program

## **6 Part II Safety specification Module SV: Post-authorization experience**

### **6.1 Part II Module SV.1. Post-authorization exposure**

This section is not applicable as the commercial presentation covered by this risk management plan is not yet marketed anywhere in the world at the time of authoring of this document.

## **7 Part II Safety specification Module SVI: Additional EU requirements for the safety specification**

### **7.1 Potential for misuse for illegal purposes**

Unlike other prescription-based medicines, this radioligand imaging agent is administered to the patients under a very controlled setting, thereby resulting in a very low/no likelihood of misuse for illegal purposes.



## 8 Part II Safety specification Module SVII: Identified and potential risks

### 8.1 Part II Module SVII.1. Identification of safety concerns in the initial RMP submission

#### 8.1.1 Part II SVII.1.1. Risks not considered important for inclusion in the list of safety concerns in the RMP

**Table 8-1 List of Safety Concerns not considered Important**

Risk	Reason for non-inclusion as an RMP safety concern
Renal toxicity	<p>Kidneys are a primary site of PSMA uptake, and gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide is rapidly excreted through the kidneys. However, given the microdose of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide administered to the patient as a single dose for imaging purposes there is negligible risk of immediate or long-term renal toxicity. Available clinical safety data do not show renal events attributable to gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide.</p> <p>Therefore, the risk of renal toxicity is not important and does not require further investigation or mitigation.</p>
Salivary gland toxicity	<p>Salivary glands have been shown as a site of PSMA uptake. Dry mouth is a very common AE as reported in the pivotal VISION study, but these events are mild and reversible, may not always be attributed to gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide, and can be readily managed by symptomatic care.</p> <p>The risk of salivary gland toxicity is not important and does not require further investigation or mitigation.</p>
Occupational and inadvertent exposure	<p>The use of radioactive products implies a risk of exposing healthcare professionals preparing and administering the product. However, given the low radioactive dose (1.8-2.2 MBq/kg of body weight) together with the short physical half-life of the radionuclide gallium-68 (68 min), and shorter effective -half-life of 54 minutes administered to the patient as a single dose for imaging purposes in specialist centers and the guidance to the patients given at these centres, the risk of harmful exposure is considered negligible.</p> <p>This is not an important risk requiring further characterisation or special mitigation measures as patient release procedures implemented by specialist centers are considered adequate to address this risk.</p>
Hypersensitivity	<p>Hypersensitivity reactions can be potentially severe and based on mechanistic plausibility there is a theoretical potential risk of hypersensitivity reactions with imaging agents including gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide. Although a rare immunogenic reaction cannot be ruled out, based on current data with a low strength of evidence this risk is not considered to be important.</p>
Injection site reactions	<p>The medicinal product is administered intravenously and may provoke local reactions at the injection site such as pain, swelling, erythema and pruritus. There have been reports of local injection site reactions following administration, but they are uncommon, mild and reversible, and can be readily managed by symptomatic care.</p> <p>This is not an important risk requiring further characterisation or special mitigation measures.</p>

## 8.1.2 Part II Module SVII.1.2. Risks considered important for inclusion in the list of safety concerns in the RMP

**Table 8-2 Important potential risks**

Risk	Risk-benefit impact (Reasons for classification as important potential risk)
PET imaging interpretation errors	Misinterpretation of PET images may lead to patient misdiagnosis. In case of false positive image interpretation, the patients may be exposed to treatment agents whose side effects may be clinically impactful. In case of false negative image interpretation, the patient may be denied a potentially relevant treatment while still expected to receive standards of care. As there is no available clinical data concerning this risk and considering the impact of interpretation of errors on the patient, this needs further characterization. Hence, this is categorized as an important potential risk.

## 8.2 Part II SVII.2: New safety concerns and reclassification with a submission of an updated RMP

This is the first version of the RMP and therefore this section is not applicable.

## 8.3 Part II SVII.3: Details of important identified risks, important potential risks, and missing information

### 8.3.1 Part II Module SVII.3.1. Presentation of important identified risks and important potential risks

#### 8.3.1.1 Important Potential Risk: PET imaging interpretation errors

#### Clinical trial data of Important Potential Risk: PET imaging interpretation errors

As of the 27-Jan-2021 data cut-off, no events of PET imaging interpretation errors were reported in the currently ongoing PSMA-617-01 study.

**Table 8-3 Important potential risk - PET imaging interpretation errors: Other details**

Name of the risk: PET imaging interpretation errors	Details
Potential mechanisms	Gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide uptake is not specific to prostate cancer and may occur in other types of cancers and non-malignant tissues. Distribution of PSMA in the body is not specific to the prostate gland and prostate tumor tissue but can be physiologically present in other tissues such as lacrimal glands, salivary glands, liver, spleen and bowel, which may complicate the interpretation of the images.  If image acquisition is not performed according to instructions, e.g., timing between administration of gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide and scanning, the images may not present a realistic intensity or distribution of PSMA binding which may also complicate the interpretation.

Name of the risk: PET imaging interpretation errors	Details
Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence	There is discussion in the literature that PSMA PET images can be misinterpreted (e.g., Shetty 2018, Malan 2022), but the evidence that this presents a safety risk (see below) to the patient appears tentative.
Characterization of the risk:	<p>The risk consists of false positive or false negative scan interpretations. In case of false positive image interpretation, the patients may be exposed to treatment agents whose side effects may be clinically impactful. In case of false negative image interpretation, the patient may be denied a potentially relevant treatment while still expected to receive standards of care.</p> <p>The risk of misinterpretation and thus the risk of misdiagnosis may vary according to stage of prostate cancer in the patient being scanned, as there is known to be disease-stage variability of PSMA expression as well as inter-patient variability.</p> <p>The literature discusses variation in PSMA uptake and potential pitfalls in interpretation. Shetty et al 2018 categorise cases based on physiological uptake, benign pathological uptake, non-prostatic neoplastic uptake and miscellaneous uptake.</p> <p>In the PSMA-617-01 study, in which gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide was used to select mCRPC patients for treatment with lutetium (<sup>177</sup>Lu) vipivotide tetraxetan there were no such events of misinterpretation reported.</p>
Risk factors and risk groups	<p>Patients with other types of cancers or non-malignant processes manifesting high PSMA tissue presence.</p> <p>Serum PSA levels have been described as affecting the diagnostic performance of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide.</p> <p>Patient risk factors: low or marginal PSMA-specific tumor burden may result in ambiguous images.</p> <p>Non-patient risk factors: imaging centers and readers with little experience in acquiring and interpreting PSMA PET.</p>
Preventability	<p>The risk can be minimized when the PET-tracer is appropriately handled and administered by trained health care professionals and when scans are interpreted by trained experts in the context of histopathology and/or other diagnostic procedures.</p> <p>The minimal dose administered should be sufficient and the scan should be performed during the recommended time window to allow good quality images (which can also be influenced by the performance of the equipment used).</p> <p>As per Section 4.4 of the SmPC, Interpretation of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET imaging findings is recommended in the context of histopathology and/or other diagnostic procedures.</p>
Impact on the benefit-risk balance of the product	Low to moderate impact on the benefit-risk of the product. Patient diagnosis depends on more than simply the PSMA PET imaging, but the risk of misinterpretation may result in delay of the most appropriate treatment or in exposure to the risks of an alternative treatment.
Public health impact	The public health impact is considered low.

### **8.3.2 SVII.3.2. Presentation of the missing information**

No missing information is currently identified for gallium ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ) gozetotide.

## 9 Part II Safety specification Module SVIII: Summary of the safety concerns

**Table 9-1 Table Part II SVIII.1: Summary of safety concerns**

Important identified risks	None
Important potential risks	PET imaging interpretation errors
Missing information	None

## **10 Part III: Pharmacovigilance plan (including post-authorization safety studies)**

### **10.1 Part III.1. Routine pharmacovigilance activities**

#### **10.1.1 Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond ADRs reporting and signal detection**

##### **Specific adverse reaction follow-up checklists:**

None

##### **Other forms of routine pharmacovigilance activities for risks**

None

### **10.2 Part III.2. Additional pharmacovigilance activities**

**Study:** A cross-sectional knowledge and understanding survey to evaluate the effectiveness of the educational material among medical practitioners qualified to interpret PET scans.

##### **Rationale and study objectives:**

A non-interventional cross-sectional survey is being proposed to evaluate the effectiveness of the aRMM educational material among medical practitioners who qualified to interpret PET scans. The study will be classified as a post-authorization safety study (PASS).

**Primary objective:** Assessment of effectiveness of Locametz educational material.

**Secondary objective:** Impact of demographic data (e.g., educational background, years of clinical practice) and training factors (e.g., training method, duration of training, and user baseline training) on knowledge and diagnostic accuracy.

##### **Study design:**

This post-authorisation safety study (PASS) will consist of a non-interventional cross-sectional survey of medical practitioners qualified to interpret PET scans.

##### **Study population:**

The survey population will consist of medical practitioners qualified to interpret PET scans who use Locametz.

**Milestones:** Submission of study protocol: 30-Sep-2023.

Feasibility of PASS study implementation will be considered in selected EU countries, including but not limited to Germany, where the product is first expected to reach the EU market and is one of the largest EU territories where Locametz is expected to be prescribed. Consideration of additional EU countries will focus on the representativeness of the EU populations where Locametz will be most used, the number of medical practitioners who are qualified to interpret PET scans in each country, and the timing of launch of Locametz in the countries.

### 10.3 Part III.3 Summary Table of additional pharmacovigilance activities

**Table 10-1 Part III.1: Ongoing and planned additional pharmacovigilance activities**

Study/Status	Summary of objectives	Safety concerns addressed	Milestones	Due dates
<b>Category 1</b> - Imposed mandatory additional pharmacovigilance activities which are conditions of the marketing authorization				
None				
<b>Category 2</b> - Imposed mandatory additional pharmacovigilance activities which are Specific Obligations in the context of a conditional marketing authorization or a marketing authorization under exceptional circumstances				
None				
<b>Category 3</b> - Required additional pharmacovigilance activities				
A cross-sectional knowledge and understanding survey to evaluate the effectiveness of the educational material among medical practitioners qualified to interpret PET scans  (Planned)	The objectives of the proposed survey will be to evaluate: <b>Primary objective:</b> Assessment of effectiveness of Locametz educational material. <b>Secondary objective:</b> Impact of demographic data (e.g., educational background, years of clinical practice) and training factors (e.g., training method, duration of training, and user baseline training) on knowledge and diagnostic accuracy.	PET imaging interpretation errors	Submission of study protocol	30-Sep-2023

## **11 Part IV: Plans for post-authorization efficacy studies**

No post authorization efficacy studies are planned or ongoing.



## 12 Part V: Risk minimization measures (including evaluation of the effectiveness of risk minimization activities)

### Risk Minimization Plan

#### 12.1 Part V.1. Routine risk minimization measures

**Table 12-1 Part V.1: Description of routine risk minimization measures by safety concern**

Safety concern:	Routine risk minimization activities
<b>PET imaging interpretation errors</b>	<p><b>Routine risk communication</b> Sec 4.2, 4.4 of SmPC</p> <p><b>Routine risk minimization activities recommending specific clinical measures to address the risk:</b> Interpretation of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET imaging findings in the context of histopathology and/or other diagnostic procedures is recommended</p> <p><b>Other routine risk minimization measures beyond the Product Information:</b> None</p>

#### 12.2 Part V.2. Additional Risk minimization measures

**Safety concern:** PET imaging interpretation errors

**Additional Risk minimization measures:** Educational material for HCPs

**Objective:**

Medical practitioners qualified to interpret PET scans obtained with gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide will have access to self-training material for the interpretation of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET scans, to reduce the potential risk of PET imaging interpretation errors.

**Rationale for the additional risk minimization activity:**

The educational material provides HCPs with detailed information in order to reduce the potential risk of incorrect interpretation of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET scans and is prepared in collaboration with external nuclear medicine physicians.

**Target audience and planned distribution path:**

- **Target audience:** Medical practitioners intended to read gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET images.

**Planned distribution path:** An online or/and in-person (when online training is not accessible)

**Plans to evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions and criteria for success:**

A cross-sectional knowledge and understanding survey of HCPs is planned to:

- Evaluate the effectiveness of the educational material among medical practitioners qualified to interpret PET scans.
- Evaluate how the effectiveness is impacted by user demographic and training factors.
- The criteria for success will be discussed in the draft protocol.

**Milestones:** Submission of study protocol: 30-Sep-2023

### 12.3 Part V.3. Summary of risk minimization measures

**Table 12-2 Summary of pharmacovigilance activities and risk minimization activities by safety concerns**

Safety concern	Risk minimization measures	Pharmacovigilance activities
PET imaging interpretation errors	<p><b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b> Section 4.2, 4.4. SmPC</p> <p><b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b> <b>Educational materials for HCPs</b> An online or/and in-person (when online training is not accessible) image interpretation training containing the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biochemical basics</li> <li>• Patient administration and scanning protocol</li> <li>• Image reading and interpretation guidelines</li> <li>• PSMA PET in the context of other imaging modalities and histopathology</li> <li>• Interpretation of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET scans in different use scenarios and comprehensive case study reviews (case studies with image interpretation provided by an expert and selected supplementary videos included)</li> <li>• Self-assessment test</li> </ul>	<p><b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:</b> None</p> <p><b>Additional pharmacovigilance activities:</b> PASS - Knowledge and understanding survey of HCPs to assess the effectiveness of the educational materials</p>

## **13 Part VI: Summary of the risk management plan for LOCAMETZ (gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide)**

This is a summary of the RMP for LOCAMETZ. The RMP details important risks of LOCAMETZ, how these risks can be minimized, and how more information will be obtained about LOCAMETZ's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

LOCAMETZ's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how LOCAMETZ should be used.

This summary of the RMP for LOCAMETZ should be read in the context of all this information including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all which is part of the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR).

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of LOCAMETZ's RMP.

### **13.1 Part VI: I. The medicine and what it is used for**

This medicinal product is for diagnostic use only.

Locametz, after radiolabelling with gallium-68, is indicated for the detection of prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-positive lesions with positron emission tomography (PET) in adults with prostate cancer (PCa) in the following clinical settings:

- Primary staging of patients with high-risk PCa prior to primary curative therapy,
- Suspected PCa recurrence in patients with increasing levels of serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) after primary curative therapy,
  - Identification of patients with PSMA-positive progressive metastatic castration resistant PCa for whom PSMA-targeted therapy is indicated

LOCAMETZ is a multidose kit for radiopharmaceutical preparation of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide solution for injection, containing one vial of white lyophilized powder (powder for solution for injection). LOCAMETZ is for radiolabeling with gallium-68 chloride solution.

Further information about the evaluation of LOCAMETZ's benefits can be found in LOCAMETZ's EPAR, including in its plain-language summary, available on the EMA website, under the medicine's webpage.

### **13.2 Part VI: II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimize or further characterize the risks**

Important risks of LOCAMETZ, together with measures to minimize such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about LOCAMETZ's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimize the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;

- The authorised pack size — the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;
- The medicine’s legal status — the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g. with or without prescription) can help to minimize its risks.

Together, these measures constitute *routine risk minimization* measures.

In the case of LOCAMETZ, these measures are supplemented with *additional risk minimization measures* mentioned under relevant important risks, below.

In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analysed, including PSUR assessment so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute routine pharmacovigilance activities.

### 13.2.1 Part VI – II.A: List of important risks and missing information

Important risks of LOCAMETZ’s are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimize the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely administered. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of LOCAMETZ. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g., on the long-term use of the medicine).

**Table 13-1 List of important risks and missing information**

List of important risks and missing information	
Important identified risks	None
Important potential risks	PET imaging interpretation errors
Missing information	None

### 13.2.2 Part VI - II B: Summary of important risks

**Table 13-2 Important potential risk – PET imaging interpretation errors**

<b>Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine</b>	There is discussion in the literature that PSMA PET images can be misinterpreted (e.g., Shetty 2018, Malan 2022), but the evidence that this presents a safety risk (see below) to the patient appears tentative.
<b>Risk factors and risk groups</b>	Patients with other types of cancers or non-malignant processes manifesting high PSMA tissue presence. Serum PSA levels have been described as affecting the diagnostic performance of gallium ( <sup>68</sup> Ga) gozetotide. Patient risk factors: low or marginal PSMA-specific tumor burden may result in ambiguous images. Non-patient risk factors: imaging centers and readers with little experience in acquiring and interpreting PSMA PET.
<b>Risk minimization measures</b>	<b>Routine risk minimization measures:</b> Section 4.2, 4.4. SmPC

	<p><b>Additional risk minimization measures:</b></p> <p><b>Educational materials for HCPs</b></p> <p>An online or/and in-person (when online training is not accessible) image interpretation training containing the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Biochemical basics</li><li>• Patient administration and scanning protocol</li><li>• Image reading and interpretation guidelines</li><li>• PSMA PET in the context of other imaging modalities and histopathology</li><li>• Interpretation of gallium (<sup>68</sup>Ga) gozetotide PET scans in different use scenarios and comprehensive case study reviews (case studies with image interpretation provided by an expert and selected supplementary videos included)</li><li>• Self-assessment test</li></ul>
<b>Additional PV activities</b>	PASS - Knowledge and understanding cross-sectional survey of HCPs to assess the effectiveness of the educational materials

### 13.2.3 Part VI – II C: Post-authorization development plan

#### 13.2.3.1 II.C.1 Studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization

There are no studies which are conditions of the marketing authorisation or specific obligation of Locametz.

#### 13.2.3.2 II.C.2. Other studies in post-authorization development plan

**Table 13-3 Other studies in the post-authorization development plan**

Study short name	Rationale and study objectives
A cross-sectional knowledge and understanding survey to evaluate the effectiveness of the educational material among medical practitioners qualified to interpret PET scans	<p>A non-interventional cross-sectional survey is being proposed to evaluate the effectiveness of the aRMM educational material among medical practitioners who qualified to interpret PET scans. The study is classified as a category 3 post-authorization safety study (PASS).</p> <p>The objectives of the proposed survey will be to evaluate:</p> <p><b>Primary objective:</b> Assessment of effectiveness of Locametz educational material.</p> <p><b>Secondary objective:</b> Impact of demographic data (e.g., educational background, years of clinical practice) and training factors (e.g., training method, duration of training, and user baseline training) on knowledge and diagnostic accuracy.</p>

## **14 Part VII: Annexes**

## **Annex 4 - Specific adverse drug reaction follow-up forms**

None



## Annex 6 - Details of proposed additional risk minimization activities

### Additional risk minimization measures:

Prior to launch of Locametz in each Member State, the Marketing Authorisation Holder (MAH) must agree about the content and format of the educational programme, including communication media, distribution modalities, and any other aspects of the programme, with the National Competent Authority (NCA).

The educational programme is aimed to reduce the risk of PET imaging interpretation errors.

The MAH shall ensure that in each Member State (MS) where Locametz is marketed, medical practitioners qualified to interpret PET scans in their country who are expected to use gallium ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ) gozetotide have access to the self-training educational material.

The Locametz educational material for HCPs [gallium ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ) gozetotide imaging interpretation training] contains the following key elements:

- Introduction to gallium ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ) gozetotide
- Biochemical basics
  - Chemical structure
  - PSMA
  - Mechanism of uptake
- Patient administration and scanning protocol
  - Patient preparation
  - Injection recommendation
  - Scanning protocol
- Image reading and interpretation guidelines
  - Locametz special warnings and precautions for use
  - Guidelines and practical tips
  - PSMA visual assessment scoring scale
- PSMA PET in the context of other imaging modalities and histopathology
- Interpretation of gallium ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ) gozetotide PET scans in different use scenarios and comprehensive case study reviews (case studies with image interpretation provided by an expert and selected supplementary videos included)
  - Physiological distribution of gallium ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ) gozetotide
  - Primary staging of patients with high-risk PCa prior to primary curative therapy.
  - Suspected PCa recurrence in patients with increasing levels of serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) after primary curative therapy (including cases with and without prior injection of furosemide)
  - Identification of patients with PSMA-positive progressive metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) for whom PSMA-targeted therapy is indicated.
  - Rare locations
  - PSMA expression in other malignant tumors
  - Pitfalls
- Self-assessment test