ACRO Panel discussion topics

- Increased predictability in the CTIS environment for CTR submissions:
 - Timelines
 - Sequence and co-ordination of RFIs between Part 1 and 2
 - System performance and functionalities
 - Enabling the pending enhancement backlog to CTIS
- Pragmatism in implementation of CTR
 - True harmonisation of submission requirements
 - Consistency in part 2 submission documents
 - Integrating all parts of reviews that require approvals prior to study conduct
- Lack of harmonisation between CTR and IVDR is slowing trials
 - IVDR submission as country competency needs CTR interface to truly harmonise the submission process



1. From your stakeholder group perspective, what needs to be put in place to create an invigorated clinical trials environment?