



1 11 March 2024
2 EMA/CAT/123573/2024
3 Committee for Advanced Therapies (CAT)

4 **Guideline on quality, non-clinical and clinical requirements**
5 **for investigational advanced therapy medicinal products**
6 **in clinical trials**
7 **Draft**

Adopted by Committee for Advanced Therapies (CAT)	December 2018
Adopted by Committee for Medicinal Product for Human Use (CHMP) for release for consultation	January 2019
Start of public consultation	21 February 2019
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	1 August 2019
Adopted by Committee for Advanced Therapies (CAT)	8 March 2024
Adopted by Committee for Medicinal Product for Human Use (CHMP) for release for consultation	11 March 2024
Start of second public consultation	25 March 2024
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 May 2024
Adopted by CAT and CHMP	<DD Month YYYY>
Date for coming into effect	<DD Month YYYY>

8 Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to AdvancedTherapies@ema.europa.eu

Note: a short, second public consultation is conducted for the guideline. All comments received during the first public consultation have been reviewed and incorporated, where possible, in the guideline. Stakeholders can consult the 'Overview of comments' document: comments submitted on the first version of this guideline should not be resubmitted.

9



Keywords	<i>Investigational ATMP, Advanced Therapy medicinal product, ATMP, Gene therapy medicinal product, Cell therapy medicinal product, Tissue engineered medicinal product, Clinical trial, Exploratory trial, First in human trial, Confirmatory trial</i>
-----------------	---

10 Guideline on quality, non-clinical and clinical requirements
11 for investigational advanced therapy medicinal products
12 in clinical trials

13 **Table of contents**

14	Executive summary	5
15	1. Introduction (background).....	6
16	2. Scope.....	7
17	3. Legal basis	8
18	4. Quality documentation	8
19	S Active substance	10
20	S.1. General information.....	10
21	S.1.1. Nomenclature	10
22	S.1.2. Structure.....	10
23	S.1.3. General properties.....	11
24	S.2. Manufacture	11
25	S.2.1. Manufacturer(s)	11
26	S.2.2. Description of manufacturing process and process controls.....	11
27	S.2.3. Controls of materials.....	13
28	S.2.4. Control of critical steps and intermediates	19
29	S.2.5. Process evaluation / validation	19
30	S.2.6. Manufacturing process development	20
31	S.3. Characterisation.....	21
32	S.3.1. Elucidation of structure and other characteristics.....	21
33	S.3.2. Impurities	24
34	S.4. Control of the active substance	25
35	S.4.1. Specification	26
36	S.4.2. Analytical procedures.....	27
37	S.4.3. Validation of analytical procedures.....	27
38	S.4.4. Batch analyses.....	28
39	S.4.5. Justification of specification	29
40	S.5. Reference standards or materials	29
41	S.6. Container closure system	30
42	S.7. Stability	30
43	P Investigational medicinal product	31
44	P.1. Description and composition of the investigational medicinal product	32
45	P.2. Pharmaceutical development	32
46	P.3. Manufacture	34
47	P.3.1. Manufacturer(s)	34
48	P.3.2. Batch formula	34
49	P.3.3. Description of manufacturing process and process controls	34
50	P.3.4. Control of critical steps and intermediates	34
51	P.3.5. Process validation and/or evaluation	35

52	P.4. Control of excipients	35
53	P.4.1. Specification	35
54	P.4.2. Analytical procedures	36
55	P.4.3. Validation of the analytical procedures	36
56	P.4.4. Justification of specification.....	36
57	P.4.5. Excipients of human or animal origin.....	36
58	P.4.6. Novel excipients	36
59	P.5. Control of the investigational medicinal product	36
60	P.5.1. Specification	36
61	P.5.2. Analytical procedures	37
62	P.5.3. Validation of analytical procedures	37
63	P.5.4. Batch analysis	37
64	P.5.5. Characterisation of impurities.....	38
65	P.5.6. Justification of specification.....	38
66	P.6. Reference standards or materials	38
67	P.7. Container closure system	38
68	P.8. Stability	39
69	A.1. Facilities and equipment.....	39
70	A.2. Adventitious agents safety evaluation	39
71	A.3. Excipients	40
72	A.4. Solvents for reconstitution and diluents	41
73	Information on the quality of authorised, non-modified test and comparator products in	
74	clinical trials.....	41
75	Information on the quality of modified authorised comparator products in clinical trials	41
76	Information on the chemical and pharmaceutical quality concerning placebo products in	
77	clinical trials.....	41
78	Changes to the investigational medicinal product and auxiliary medicinal product with a need	
79	to request a substantial modification to the IMPD.....	41
80	5. Non-clinical documentation	42
81	5.1. General aspects	42
82	5.2. Selection of non-clinical models.....	43
83	5.3. Pharmacology studies	44
84	5.4. Pharmacokinetic studies.....	45
85	5.5. Toxicity studies	46
86	5.6. Minimum non-clinical data requirements before first-in-human studies	47
87	5.7. Non-clinical data that can be provided at later stages of development.....	49
88	5.8. Combined ATMPs.....	49
89	6. Clinical documentation	49
90	6.1 General aspects	49
91	6.2 Exploratory clinical trials	52
92	6.3 Confirmatory/pivotal clinical trials.....	55
93	6.4 Long-term efficacy and safety follow-up	57
94	Glossary	57
95	References	58
96		

97 **Executive summary**

98 The guideline provides guidance on the structure and data requirements for a clinical trial application for
99 exploratory and confirmatory trials with investigational advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs).

100 The guideline is multidisciplinary and addresses development, manufacturing and quality control as well
101 as non-clinical and clinical development of investigational ATMPs.

102 Throughout the guideline, requirements for exploratory trials (including First in Human studies) and
103 confirmatory trials are described and a perspective towards Marketing Authorization Application (MAA)
104 is provided.

105 **1. Introduction (background)**

106 Advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) as defined in Article 2(1)(a-d) of Regulation (EC) No
107 1394/2007 comprise gene therapy medicinal products, somatic cell therapy medicinal products, tissue
108 engineered products and combined ATMPs. Scientific knowledge on ATMPs is rapidly expanding, and in
109 order to ensure that reliable data are generated on these complex products, well conducted clinical trials
110 are essential to determine their benefit risk profile.

111 Legal definitions, complemented by the Reflection paper on classification of advanced therapy medicinal
112 products (EMA/CAT/600280/2010 rev.1), form the basis for the classification as somatic cell therapy,
113 tissue engineered product or gene therapy. For the purposes of this guideline scientific characteristics
114 were taken as the organising principle to outline data requirements. Therefore, the text provided refers
115 to “cell-based” products and “gene therapy” products as further detailed below.

116 Cell-based ATMPs are heterogeneous with regard to the origin and type of the cells and to the complexity
117 of the product. Cells can be of human (autologous or allogeneic) or animal origin and may be self-
118 renewing stem cells, more committed progenitor cells or terminally differentiated cells exerting a specific
119 defined physiological function. Depending on the intended therapeutic effect, cell-based ATMPs fulfil the
120 definition of a somatic cell therapy or a tissues engineered product. In addition, the cells may also be
121 genetically modified with newly established genotype/phenotype for the intended therapeutic effect, in
122 which case the gene therapy definition takes precedent (see below) The cells may be used alone,
123 associated with biomolecules or other chemical substances or combined with structural materials that
124 alone are classified as medical devices (combined advanced therapy medicinal products).

125 Gene therapy Medicinal Products generally consist of a vector or delivery formulation/system containing
126 a genetic construct engineered to express a specific transgene (therapeutic sequence) for the regulation,
127 repair, replacement, addition or deletion of a genetic sequence. By using such gene therapy constructs
128 *in vivo*, genetic regulation or genetic modification of somatic cells can be achieved in situ.
129 A gene therapy vector may also be used for *ex vivo* modification of cells or bacteria.

130 For a product consisting of genetically modified cells, the sections on cell-based products apply to the
131 cellular component, and the sections on gene therapy products should be taken into account irrespective
132 of the classification of the finished product

133 Historically many gene therapy approaches have been based on expression of a transgene encoding a
134 functional protein (i.e. a transgene product). Newer tools are now available that modify or edit directly
135 the cellular genome *in vitro* or even *in vivo*. In both cases, the respective tools may be delivered by a
136 viral vector or by a non-viral approach. For genome-editing clinical trials, the principles outlined in this
137 guideline apply and specific safety concerns should be considered, mainly due to off targeting events. At
138 this stage the experience is too limited to provide detailed guidance.

139 In general, the development of an ATMP should follow the same general principles as other medicinal
140 products. However, it is acknowledged that the distinctive characteristics and features of ATMPs are
141 expected to have an impact on product development. This guideline will help the developers of ATMPs
142 to design their development programme. Developers are encouraged to seek early advice at the national
143 or European level to guide product development.

144 Risk-based approach

145 Throughout the development of an ATMP, a risk-based approach can be applied¹. The extent of the
146 quality, non-clinical and clinical data can be adapted having regard to the identified risks. In particular,

¹ Specific guidance is given in the Guideline on the risk-based approach according to annex I, part IV of Directive 2001/83/EC applied to ATMPs

147 the sponsor can perform at the beginning of product development an initial risk analysis based on existing
148 knowledge on the type of investigational product and its intended use. Aspects to be taken into
149 consideration include for example the origin of the cells, the type of vector and/or the method used for
150 the genetic modification, the manufacturing process, the non-cellular components and the specific
151 therapeutic use as applicable. As per Ph. Eur., the risk-based approach may also be applied to meet the
152 quality requirements of the Ph. Eur. gene therapy monograph. The risk analysis should be updated by
153 the applicant throughout the product life cycle as new data become available. Key points relevant to the
154 understanding of the product development approach chosen, should be summarized in the IMPD.

155 In deciding on the appropriate measures to address the identified risks, the priority should be the safety
156 of subjects enrolled in the trial. The Guideline on strategies to identify and mitigate risks for First-in-
157 Human Clinical Trials with Investigational Medicinal Products (EMA/CHMP/SWP/294648/2007) excludes
158 ATMPs but its principles are nevertheless also useful in the design of first-in-human (FIH) trials with
159 advanced therapy investigational medicinal products. The increasing regulatory expectations along with
160 advancing clinical development are discussed in the document.

161 The extent of quality, non-clinical and clinical data to be included in the clinical trials submission should
162 be commensurate with the level of risk. The application of a risk-based approach can facilitate compliance
163 with the guidelines on good clinical practice specific to ATMPs but does not obviate the applicant's
164 obligation to support the quality and safety of the product to enable the generation of reliable and robust
165 data. It likewise does not replace appropriate communications with the authorities.

166 An immature quality development may compromise the use of the clinical trial data in the context of a
167 marketing authorisation application (e.g. if the product has not been adequately characterised). A weak
168 quality system may also compromise the approval of the clinical trial if deficiencies are apparent from
169 the submission that pose a risk on the safety of trial subjects and the robustness of data.

170

171 **2. Scope**

172 The guideline provides guidance on the structure and data requirements for a clinical trial application for
173 investigational ATMPs. The guideline is multidisciplinary and addresses development, manufacturing and
174 quality control as well as non-clinical and clinical development of ATMPs.

175 The scope of the evaluation and authorisation of clinical trial applications as per Regulation (EC) No
176 536/2014 is to ensure that the rights, safety, dignity and well-being of subjects are protected and prevail
177 over all other interests; and that it is adequately designed to generate reliable and robust data. Clinical
178 trial phases in ATMP development are usually not as clear-cut as they might be for other product types.
179 In the majority of cases it is expected that there will be a distinction between exploratory trials and
180 confirmatory trials, where the latter are performed to obtain pivotal data for a marketing authorisation
181 application (MAA). The requirements for early phase, exploratory trials are the main focus of this
182 guidance.

183 It is the responsibility of a developer to consider if the results of the clinical trial are adequate and
184 sufficient to support a later submission of a marketing authorization application, in accordance with the
185 requirements described in Annex I of Directive 2001/83/EC. For confirmatory trials, developers should
186 therefore also take into consideration existing relevant guidelines outlining quality, non-clinical and
187 clinical marketing authorisation requirements. These requirements need to be considered even earlier in
188 cases where pivotal data to support a MAA are expected to be obtained solely from early phase trials,

189 This guideline does not address environmental aspects of investigational ATMPs that contain or consist
190 of genetically modified organisms (GMO). Applicants should consult the specific guidelines related to ERA

191 (see reference list). Information on national requirements for clinical trials with GMOs can be found on
192 the website of the European Commission².

193 While extracellular vesicles and cellular fragments originating from human cells or chemically synthesised
194 therapeutic sequences do not fulfil the definition of ATMPs, the underlying scientific principles outlined
195 here may be applicable. For more in-depth information on classification, reference is made to the
196 Reflection Paper on ATMP classification (EMA/CAT/600280/2010 rev 1).

197

198 **3. Legal basis**

199 This guideline should be read in conjunction with the requirements of Regulation (EU) No 536/2014 and
200 Directive 2001/20/EC³ and, the ATMP Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007 and the Directive 2009/120/EC
201 amending Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Community code
202 relating to medicinal products for human use as regards advanced therapy medicinal products.

203 Details on the submission process for clinical trials in general is provided in Eudralex Volume 10. This
204 includes information on changes during the life-cycle of the clinical trial under Regulation (EU) No
205 536/2014 and clinical trials transitioning from the Clinical Trials Directive to the Clinical Trials Regulation.

206 Compliance with GMP requirements as laid down in the Guidelines on Good Manufacturing Practice
207 specific to Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products (EudraLex Volume 4) is a prerequisite for the conduct
208 of clinical trials.

209 For those products consisting of, or containing, genetically modified organisms (GMOs) compliance with
210 the GMO legislation is required (Directives 2001/18/EC and/or 2009/41/EC).

211 Donation, procurement, and testing of human cell-based products need to comply with the requirements
212 of Directive 2004/23/EC or where applicable Directive 2002/98/EC. The traceability from the recipient of
213 the product to the donor of the cells or tissues should be ensured. The traceability system should be
214 bidirectional (from donor to recipient and from recipient to donor). Data should be kept for 30 years
215 after the expiry date of the product, unless a longer time period is required in the clinical trial
216 authorisation. The requirements for traceability are without prejudice to the provision Regulation (EU)
217 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural
218 persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data.
219 Therefore, the system should allow full traceability from the donor to the recipient through a coding
220 system."

221 Directive 2010/63/EU regarding the protection of animals used for experimental and other scientific
222 purposes needs to be taken into consideration in the context of non-clinical data generation. In general,
223 for investigational ATMPs the same principles as for other IMPs apply for the clinical development (e.g.
224 ICH E8 General considerations for clinical trials), especially current guidelines relating to specific
225 therapeutic areas. Of note, GCP requirements (ICH E6 Guideline for Good Clinical Practice and the
226 Guideline on Good Clinical Practice specific to Advanced therapy medicinal products) also apply.

227 **4. Quality documentation**

228 Investigational ATMPs should be produced in accordance with the principles and detailed Guidelines on
229 Good Manufacturing Practice specific to Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products (Eudralex, Volume 4, Good
230 Manufacturing Practice).

² https://ec.europa.eu/health/human-use/advanced-therapies_en

³ For ongoing clinical trials only. New clinical trial applications should comply with Regulation (EU) No 536/2014.

231 The data on quality aspects of investigational ATMPs should be presented in a logical structure, ideally
232 according to the specified structure of a common technical document (CTD) such as that of Module 3.
233 The data submitted in this module should be consistent with and complement other parts of the clinical
234 trial submission package. The IMPD should be divided into drug substance (DS) and drug product (DP)
235 sections. For certain investigational ATMPs, the starting material, the active substance and the finished
236 product can be closely related or nearly identical. The active substance, any intermediate and the finished
237 product should be identified, if possible.

238 When the manufacturing process includes more than one active substance, separate DS sections for
239 each active substance of the finished product should be provided. The sections should be identified by
240 the DS name and manufacturer in the heading (e.g., General Information, 3.2.S.1 [DS name,
241 manufacturer]).

242 In those cases where the investigational ATMP production is a continuous process, and no active
243 substance is defined, it is up to the applicant to choose where to provide the required information in the
244 DS or DP sections. As recommendation, all DS sections could be completed, and those DP-sections that
245 do not have an equivalent DS-section would be used (i.e. P4). To guide the review, the applicant should
246 briefly outline the chosen approach, use appropriate cross-references and avoid duplication.

247 Even if the active substance used is already authorised in a finished product within the EU/EEA or in one
248 of the ICH regions, with reference made to the valid marketing authorisation and a statement confirming
249 that the active substance has the same quality as in the approved product, additional information might
250 be necessary depending on the nature of the ATMP. The name of the finished product, the marketing
251 authorisation number or its equivalent, the marketing authorisation holder and the country that granted
252 the marketing authorisation should be given. (Reference is made to Table 1 of Regulation 536/2014)

253 Data requirements evolve as development progresses from exploratory to confirmatory clinical trials:

254 - Quality data compiled in the IMPD are expected to reflect increasing knowledge on and
255 experience with the manufacturing process and overall product development. Even for
256 exploratory trials where they are inherently preliminary, process parameters, in-process
257 controls, and release specifications with their criteria should be documented and be reviewed at
258 later stages of development.

259 - During development, the addition or removal of parameters and modification of acceptance limits
260 or analytical methods may be necessary, but in all cases, only methods that are confirmed to be
261 suitable for the intended use should be used.

262 Confirmatory clinical trials should be conducted with a product based on a manufacturing process that is
263 as mature as feasible. The introduction of substantial changes during pivotal clinical studies is not
264 recommended as this will give rise to comparability issues at marketing authorization application (MAA),
265 a particular challenge for ATMPs. In addition, this may raise questions on the representativeness
266 (validity) of the data obtained with the pre-change material. Reference is made to the Questions and
267 answers on Comparability considerations for Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products
268 (EMA/CAT/499821/2019).

269 The combination of ATMPs with medical devices may give rise to different regulatory scenarios:

270 - When an ATMP incorporates a medical device as an integral part of the active substance, the
271 product is classified as combined ATMP and the medical device will be considered as starting
272 material (provide information in section S.2.3), e.g. a structural scaffold providing three-
273 dimensional structure to cells seeded on it.

274 - Alternatively, the medical device may be part of the finished product final formulation, container
275 closure or independently be required for administration. These settings are discussed in the
276 Finished Product sections.

277

278 **S Active substance**

279 The active substance of a cell-based investigational ATMPs is composed of the manipulated or non-
280 manipulated cells and/or tissues and additional substances (e.g. scaffolds, matrices, devices,
281 biomaterials, biomolecules and/or other components) as applicable (provide information in S.2.3)

282 The active substance of a gene therapy investigational ATMP based on gene transfer methods in vivo is
283 composed of the recombinant nucleic acid and, where relevant, including the vector used to deliver it.
284 In the case of in vivo genome editing approaches, active substances normally comprise the tools used
285 for the intended genome editing. This can be as diverse as a recombinant nucleic acid, a recombinant
286 protein, a synthetic oligonucleotide (DNA or RNA), a ribonucleoprotein, etc. or the viral or non-viral
287 vectors used to deliver them.

288 In the case of ex vivo genetically modified cells, the active substance is composed of the modified cells.
289 The initial cell population, the viral or non-viral vectors and any other nucleic acid and/or protein used
290 in the genetic modification of the cells are considered starting material. Recombinant proteins and
291 recombinant mRNA, and the components to produce them (e.g. plasmids, cells) are also considered
292 starting materials (provide information in S.2.3.).

293 Of note, if the investigational ATMP contains additional biological/biotechnological components other than
294 the cells, reference to an Active Substance Master File or a Certificate of Suitability (CEP) of the European
295 Directorate for the Quality of Medicines is neither acceptable nor applicable. The only accepted context
296 of reference to a CEP is on the TSE status of materials used in the manufacturing process.

297 **S.1. General information**

298 **S.1.1. Nomenclature**

299 Information concerning the nomenclature of the active substance (e.g. proposed International Non-
300 proprietary name (INN) if available, pharmacopeial name, proprietary name, company code, other
301 names or codes, if any) should be provided. The naming history should be included.

302 **S.1.2. Structure**

303 For cell-based investigational ATMP active substances, a description of the cell(s) that are defined as the
304 active substance should be provided, including information on the cell composition (e.g. cell (sub)types).
305 Structural components, if they are part of the active substance should be described, e.g. where cells are
306 grown onto sheets or combined with matrices/scaffolds.

307 For gene therapy investigational ATMP active substance, a description of the vector, its structural
308 features and the genetic construct should be provided, including a diagrammatic representation. The
309 therapeutic sequence(s), junction regions and regulatory elements should be provided. Any sequence
310 which has been added for targeting, regulation or expression of the genetic construct should be described.

311 For genetically modified cell active substances, in addition to the information described for all cell-based
312 investigational ATMPs, the genetic construct or genetic modification should be described. If structural
313 properties of the cells are changed (e.g. receptor expression) this should also be detailed.

314 **S.1.3. General properties**

315 The composition and a list of physico-chemical and other relevant properties of the active substance
316 should be provided including biological activity (i.e. the specific ability or capacity of a product to achieve
317 a defined biological effect). The proposed mechanism of action should be presented and form the basis
318 for the definition of the relevant biological properties of the active substance.

319 For cell based investigational ATMPs where the cellular starting materials are obtained through specific
320 technologies (e.g. reprogramming, genetic modification, activation), the origin and type of the initial
321 cells, and processing technique should be outlined briefly including, as applicable, properties such as
322 adherence, differentiated status, ability to undergo mitosis/proliferation, secretion/production of trophic
323 factors or other proteins, binding to and/or activation of immune cells, and other biological activity.

324 For investigational ATMPs based on viral or bacterial vectors, the biological properties include serotype
325 or strain of the vector, wild-type or modified construct, replication competency, tissue tropism, tissue
326 specificity and intended result of the genetic modification.

327 For genome editing, the intended modifying mechanism should be described. If there is a donor sequence
328 (transgene) to be inserted into the genome, its mechanism of insertion should be described. For *in vivo*
329 approaches, it is also important to describe the administration of the different tools to the patient since
330 this will be an important factor to consider for the potency evaluation of the tool combination and also
331 for the regulatory perspective.

332 For non-viral vector active substances, such as plasmid or mRNA, the physico-chemical properties length
333 and molar mass, and information on the usage of modified nucleotides should be included.

334

335 **S.2. Manufacture**

336 **S.2.1. Manufacturer(s)**

337 The name(s), address(es) and responsibilities of each manufacturer or facility, including contractors,
338 involved in active substance manufacture, testing and batch release should be provided.

339 **S.2.2. Description of manufacturing process and process controls**

340 The manufacturing process and process controls should be carefully designed, described concisely and
341 step-by-step. A flow chart of all successive manufacturing steps following the entry of the starting
342 material in the manufacturing process including relevant process parameters and in-process-control
343 testing linked to these steps should be indicated. All relevant processing and hold times should be
344 specified. Batch(es) and scale should be defined, including information on any pooling of harvests or
345 intermediates. For cell-based products, the overall culture duration should be indicated in days after
346 procurement, as well as an estimation of the population doublings.

347 The process control strategy should focus on safety relevant in-process controls (IPCs). Acceptance
348 criteria for critical steps should be established for manufacture of phase I/II material (e.g. ranges for
349 process parameters of steps involved in virus removal). These in-process controls (process parameters
350 and in process testing as defined in ICH Q11) should be provided with action limits or preliminary
351 acceptance criteria. For other IPCs, monitoring might be appropriate and acceptance criteria or action
352 limits do not need to be provided. Information on critical steps, to the extent they are identified, is to be
353 provided in the dedicated section S.2.4.

354 Since early development control limits are normally based on a limited number of development batches,
355 they are inherently preliminary. During development, as additional process knowledge is gained, further
356 details of IPCs should be provided and acceptance criteria reviewed.

357 Any reprocessing during manufacture of the active substance (e.g. filter integrity test failure) should be
358 described and justified. Reprocessing could only be considered in exceptional circumstances. These
359 situations are usually restricted to re-filtration and re-concentration steps upon technical failure of
360 equipment or mechanical breakdown of a chromatography column.

361 The manufacturing process should be designed to remove process- and product-related impurities.
362 Manufacturing steps introduced to reduce or eliminate product and process related impurities to
363 acceptable levels should be identified and the control strategy around such steps be defined as the
364 process develops.

365 The manufacturing process must be set up to minimise the risk of microbiological contamination. The
366 procedures implemented to minimize microbial ingress should be described, such as incoming goods
367 qualification and testing, 0.2 µm filtration of media and supplement into culture vessels, as well as
368 routine IPC testing for microbial contamination during manufacture.

369 Manufacture of ATMPs that incorporates a medical device as an integral part of the active substance
370 requires additional considerations regarding associated quality issues, such as cell-matrix/ scaffold
371 interactions (information to be provided in S.3).

372 In case of a continuous manufacturing process (as defined in ICH Q13 on continuous manufacturing of
373 drug substances and drug products" (EMA/CHMP/ICH/427817/2021), the batch definition should include
374 all steps through to finished product in its container.

375 For cell-based investigational ATMPs that do not use cell banks, the manufacturing process starts with
376 the biological fluid/tissue/organ from which the cells are obtained, and typically includes cell separation
377 and/or culture steps.

378 For cell-based investigational ATMP active substances, the following aspects should additionally be
379 considered, as applicable:

380 – A clear definition of a active substance batch should be provided (i.e. number of cell bank vials
381 used per batch or amount of source tissue/blood per batch, pooling strategies, batch numbering
382 system).

383 – For cell-based investigational ATMPs that do not use cell banks, the IMPD should contain
384 information on the biological testing on the donor blood, procurement, volume/number of cells
385 collected and a description of the manipulation steps after sourcing. This should include a
386 description of any selection/separation equipment used.

387 – For all cell-based investigational ATMPs, the type and steps of manipulation(s) required for cell
388 processing shall be described including the number of cell passages/cell population doublings.

389 For gene therapy investigational ATMP active substances, the following aspects should additionally be
390 considered:

391 – Information on any pooling of harvests or intermediates and related batch numbering system.

392 – Stability of the vector sequence throughout cell culture. Where sufficient manufacturing
393 experience permits, a maximal passage number for the cells should be established and reported
394 here and genetic stability data for End of Production Cells in S.2.3) should be provided.

395 – For replication-deficient viral vectors and conditionally replicating viral vectors, information
396 should be provided on process parameters or controls conducted to assess the potential

- 397 contamination of the packaging cell line by wild-type, helper or hybrid viruses which might lead
398 to the formation of replication-competent recombinant or wild type viruses during production.
- 399 – For conditionally replicating viral vectors, a suitably qualified in process test is essential to show
400 that replication-competent viruses are below an acceptable level during production. For
401 replication-deficient viral vectors, the absence of RCV should be demonstrated using a suitably
402 qualified assay (provide information in S.2.4. and S.3.4)
 - 403 - For viral vectors produced from a cell bank, in process control on the batch harvest should be
404 performed to demonstrate absence of adventitious viruses.

405

406 **S.2.3. Controls of materials**

407 Materials used in the manufacture of the active substance (starting materials and raw materials) should
408 be listed and their acceptance criteria for use in production should be provided, identifying where each
409 material is introduced into the process.

410 The quality of raw and starting materials is a key factor in the production of ATMPs. Therefore, avoiding
411 contamination and minimising variability of raw and starting materials is vital for the manufacturing
412 process.

413 **Raw materials**

414 Raw materials are the reagents that are used during the manufacturing process but that are not part of
415 the finished product. Examples include foetal bovine serum, human serum or platelet lysates, trypsin,
416 digestion enzymes (e.g., collagenase, DNase), growth factors, cytokines, monoclonal antibodies,
417 antibiotics, resins, and media and media components. Raw materials need to be qualified from the
418 perspective of safety prior to human clinical trials. Preferably, they should be of pharmaceutical quality.
419 However, it is acknowledged that, in some cases, only materials of research grade are available. The
420 risks of using research grade materials should be understood (including the risks to the continuity of
421 supply). Where applicable, reference to quality standards (e.g. compendial monographs or
422 manufacturer's in-house specifications) should be provided. If non-compendial materials are used,
423 information on the quality and control thereof should be provided.

424 The relevant characteristics (composition, function, degradation) of any matrices, fibres, beads, or other
425 materials that are used in manufacture and that are not part of the finished product should be described.

426 Microbial purity and low endotoxin level of raw materials should be ensured, as appropriate.

427 Due to their potential to introduce adventitious agents, the use of human/animal reagents should be
428 avoided and replaced by non-human/animal derived reagents of defined composition where possible. For
429 all raw materials of biological origin, the information on the supplier or the criteria for material selection
430 should be provided and the potential impact of using several sources or suppliers on the quality of active
431 substance needs to be addressed. Further information on the respective stage of the manufacturing
432 process where the material is used, summaries of adventitious agents safety information and a risk
433 assessment should be provided in section A.2. Specific guidance is provided in Ph.Eur. (5.2.12) *Raw*
434 *Materials for the Production of Cell based and Gene Therapy Medicinal Products*. The same safety
435 principles apply to critical raw materials generated in biological systems that are used for the
436 manufacture of starting materials such as viral vectors, gene editing products or induced pluripotent
437 stem cells (iPSC).

438 Raw materials derived from human blood or plasma should comply with relevant EU regulations and
439 guidelines. If the material is authorized as a medicinal product in the EU or it is linked to an EMA approved

440 Plasma Master File, relevant references should be provided. Plasma-derived raw materials (also including
441 components in culture media) not authorised as medicinal products in the EU should be produced
442 according to the principles of the applicable EU Guidelines (such as EMA/CHMP/BWP/706271/2010
443 Guideline on plasma-derived medicinal products, EMA/CHMP/BWP/303353/2010 CHMP position
444 statement on Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and plasma-derived and urine-derived medicinal products).
445 Traceability from the final batch of ATMP to the donors of plasma derived raw materials must be assured.

446 Feeder cell lines are raw materials and where they are used, an appropriately characterised Master Cell
447 Bank (MCB) and Working Cell Bank (WCB) should be established, whenever possible.

448 Helper viruses are classed as raw materials. Detailed descriptions of their design, construction,
449 production and the banking system used should be provided with the same level of detail as is required
450 for the starting materials.

451

452 **Starting materials**

453 ***I. Starting materials for cell-based investigational ATMPs***

454 Starting materials shall mean all the materials from which the active substance is manufactured. The
455 IMPD should include the definition(s) of starting material(s) and the principle of the risk-based approach
456 that may be applied to determine the extent of quality data.

457 The following types of starting materials are obtained from processing donated cellular material (cells or
458 tissues) from single or multiple donors see the starting materials section of GMP for ATMPs:

- 459 • A single primary cell isolate or cell suspensions containing various naturally occurring cell types
460 used directly for the cell based medicinal product manufacturing;
- 461 • Primary cells cultured for a few passages before being used for cell-based medicinal product
462 manufacturing (cell stocks);
- 463 • Cells based on a well-defined cell bank system, ideally consisting of a master cell bank and a
464 working cell bank.

465 The cell source should be documented, as well as tissue and cell type, and any donor/patient pre-
466 treatment required prior to donation. The procedure to obtain the cells from their source has to be
467 described (with respect to the type of enzyme, media, etc.) and the purpose of respective steps
468 explained. Where multiple methods are used for donation (e.g. mobilization or not), appropriate
469 characterization/comparability data is needed to assess the potential impact on the quality of the
470 product. Any observed differences need to be thoroughly justified. The identity of the cells should be
471 verified by relevant genotypic and/or phenotypic markers and the proportion of cells bearing these
472 identity markers evaluated as an indicator of the intended cell population.

473 Information on the donation, procurement and testing of human cell-based starting materials needs be
474 provided within the IMPD and must comply with relevant EU and member-state specific legal
475 requirements. For allogeneic donors, the occurrence of emerging pathogens should be considered during
476 the course of clinical studies and donation and testing requirements have to be adapted accordingly once
477 new donations are required.

478 Procedures and standards employed for the selection of appropriate donors and the exclusion of high-
479 risk or otherwise unsuitable candidate donors should be clearly delineated and justified. If it is necessary
480 to pool cells from different donors, the risk analysis should address the possibility that pooling of
481 allogeneic cell populations may increase the risk of disease transmission, the risk of undesired
482 immunological responses in the recipient and compromise its therapeutic activity. The latter two aspects
483 should be summarized in the IMPD and further discussed in the pre-clinical/clinical sections. Depending

484 on the nature of the source of the cells and tissues, other risk factors, e.g. previous radiation exposure,
485 should be also considered and addressed.

486 For cellular starting materials obtained through specific technologies (e.g. iPS cells), the origin and the
487 type of original cells, information on the processing technique together with the target function need to
488 be provided.

489 Where cells are stored information on shelf life and if applicable preservation method/materials and
490 stability data need to be provided to support maintenance and retrieval of cells without alteration of their
491 intended characteristics. Storage conditions should be optimised to ensure cell viability, purity,
492 microbiological quality and functionality.

493 Additional substances (e.g. scaffolds, matrices, devices, biomaterials, biomolecules and/or other
494 components) when combined as an integral part with the cells are part of the active substance and are
495 therefore considered as starting materials, even if not of biological origin. Information on relevant
496 manufacturing and control and viral safety aspect of these additional substances needs to be provided.

497

498 ***A. Cells of primary origin***

499 Microbiological quality of the procured cells should be tested, by compendial/validated methods. Medium
500 components that might interfere with the assays (e.g. antibiotics) should be taken into consideration for
501 ensuring test sensitivity. When cells originate from non-healthy tissues, additional, tailored acceptance
502 criteria should be defined according to the intended use.

503 Quality parameters aimed at the definition of acceptance criteria for the starting material should be
504 specified, taking into consideration general aspects such as shipment and storage conditions. The origin
505 and procurement of starting material to isolate cells is considered critical for the yield and identity/purity
506 of the final cell population and adequate standardisation of isolation conditions to control cell populations,
507 heterogeneity and yield should be in place.

508 The use of antimicrobials should be kept to a minimum and the use of reagents with sensitisation
509 potential e.g. β -lactam antibiotics should be avoided. The requirement for aseptic manufacturing remains
510 where use of antimicrobials is necessary. When antimicrobials are used, they should be removed as soon
511 as possible unless they are intended to contribute to the mechanism of action. It is important to ensure
512 microbiological safety testing is not impacted by their presence.

513 ***B. Cell stocks***

514 Primary cells might be organized as cell stocks by expanding them to a given number of cells and storing
515 them in aliquots which are subsequently used for production of a cell-based ATMP. In contrast with the
516 two-tiered system of master and working cell banks, the number of production runs from a cell stock is
517 limited by the number of aliquots obtained after expansion and does not cover the entire life cycle of the
518 product. Primary cell stocks should be appropriately characterised and the same characterisation
519 programme and acceptance criteria shall be applied to each new cell stock. The strategy for cell stock
520 changes (e.g. frequent donor replacements) should be addressed in the clinical trial authorisation and
521 the conditions therein should be complied with. When cell stocks are used, the handling, storage and
522 manufacturing and testing of cells should be done in accordance with the principles outlined for cell banks

523 The strategy to establish, control, introduce and change a cell stock (including introduction of cells from
524 new donors) should be addressed in the IMPD.

525

526 ***C. Banking system for established cell lines***

527 Where cell lines are used, for example, as cell substrate or producer cell for vector manufacture an
528 appropriately characterised Master Cell Bank (MCB) and Working Cell Bank (WCB) should be established,
529 whenever possible. Information on the cell banking process and characterisation and testing of the
530 established cell banks should be provided as well as available information on cell substrate stability. The
531 generation and characterisation of the cell banks should be performed in accordance with principles of
532 ICH Q5D and relevant Ph. Eur. texts. While a MCB should be established prior to the initiation of phase
533 I trials, the WCB may not always be established early on.

534 The history of the cell line derivation and cell banking, including the raw material used during production,
535 needs to be carefully documented. This is particularly important for human embryonic stem cells (ESCs).
536 Where ESCs were established before the requirements of Directive 2004/23/EC came into force, and
537 results from donor testing are not available, viral safety testing of those cell lines is expected according
538 to a comprehensive risk assessment.

539 For investigational ATMPs based on induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells the principles of good
540 manufacturing practice and the scientific recommendations given in this guideline should apply after
541 procurement of the cells including the generation of iPS cells and the subsequent selection process. It is
542 acknowledged that at the early steps in iPS cell generation, cell material may be limited and availability
543 of samples may impact on the extent of testing and process qualification.

544 Further, in exceptional cases, where the early steps for the generation of ESC or iPSC banks were
545 conducted before a clear product concept was present, the stringency of oversight and documentation
546 might have been reduced as compared to regulatory guidance. At minimum, the GMP principles should
547 be followed in this exceptional situation, as described in section 7.35 of the Guidelines on Good
548 Manufacturing Practice specific to Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products.

549 Viral and TSE safety of the cells and raw materials should be addressed during cell bank and/or starting
550 material qualification or early in the production process to minimize the risk of contamination.

551

552 **II. Starting materials for gene-therapy investigational ATMPs**

553 The starting materials for gene-therapy investigational ATMPs depend on the nature of the product: They
554 can be master bacterial/virus seed or master cell bank(s), and the plasmids used to transfect the
555 packaging or producer cells.

556 In the case of gene therapy *ex vivo* (i.e. genetically modified cells), the unmodified cells, the viral or
557 non-viral vectors and any other nucleic acid and/or protein used in the genetic modification of the cells
558 and the components to produce them are considered starting material. The same level of information
559 needed for the active substance should be provided for the starting materials and it may be provided in
560 a dedicated section including the stability data.

561 In the case of replication deficient retro- and lentiviral vectors, used for the generation of genetically
562 modified cells, if absence of RCV is demonstrated for the viral vector starting material using a validated
563 method, no additional testing at the level of active substance or finished product is required, provided
564 that generation of RCVs during manufacturing is ruled out in an appropriate risk assessment. The assay
565 for RCV should have an appropriate limit of detection, justified in the risk assessment taking into
566 consideration the worst case and expressed for the maximum patient dose.

567 For *ex vivo* genome editing approaches, the starting materials shall be, as appropriate, the vector (viral
568 or non-viral vector) carrying the nucleic acid sequences encoding the modifying enzyme, the mRNA
569 expressing the modifying enzyme, the modifying enzyme itself, the genetic sequence for modification of
570 the cell genome (e.g. a regulatory guide RNA or a sequence to be inserted) or a ribonucleoprotein (e.g.

571 Cas9 protein precomplexed with gRNA), the template (e.g. linear DNA fragment or a plasmid) for mRNAs,
572 and the components to produce them.

573 For *in vivo* genome editing approaches, a combination of different tools is normally used, e.g. a
574 recombinant protein plus a guide RNA, a mRNA plus a guide RNA, a recombinant vector encoding one or
575 two of the above, etc. Identification of the starting materials should follow the rules for each product
576 type. When non-viral delivery vehicles are used (e.g. lipid nanoparticles) these should be considered as
577 excipients.

578 When mRNA or proteins are used to generate genetically modified cells, the principles of GMP, as
579 provided in the General Principles in the Guidelines for GMP for ATMP and further detailed in the Questions
580 and answers on the principles of GMP for the manufacturing of starting materials of biological origin used
581 to transfer genetic material for the manufacturing of ATMPs (EMA/246400/2021), should be applied from
582 the cell bank systems used to produce the starting materials, when applicable.

583 For the manufacture of active substances consisting of genetically modified cells derived from genetically
584 modified animals, good manufacturing practice shall apply after their procurement and testing according
585 to the *Guideline on xenogeneic cell-based medicinal products* (EMA/CHMP/CPWP/83508/2009)'.
586

586 Complexing materials that are an integral part of active substance are considered as starting materials
587 and have to be qualified for their intended purpose. The level of information to be provided will depend
588 on nature of the complexing material and resulting active substance. All genetic elements of the starting
589 materials used for the gene-therapy investigational ATMP should be described including those aimed at
590 therapy, delivery, control and production and the rationale for their inclusion should be given. For helper
591 virus, the same level of detail should be provided.

592 DNA elements used for selection should be justified. The presence of antibiotic resistance genes in a
593 gene-therapy investigational ATMP should be avoided given the burden of bacterial multi-resistance to
594 antibiotics and the existence of alternative methods for selection. If unavoidable, a risk analysis should
595 be provided.

596 Cells used for the amplification of the genetic material should be characterised.

597 Details of the construction of any packaging/producer cell line or helper virus should be provided.

598 For guidance towards marketing authorization applicants should consult the requirements for banking as
599 described in the *Guideline on the quality, non-clinical and clinical aspects of gene therapy medicinal
600 products* (EMA/CAT/80183/2014)

601 **A. Virus seed banks**

602 Control of virus seed banks (including genetically modified phages or phage-like particles designed to
603 transduce therapeutic sequence in bacteria should include identity (genetic and immunological), virus
604 concentration and infectious titre, genome integrity, phenotypic characteristics, transcription/
605 expression/biological activity of the therapeutic sequence as applicable, sterility (bacterial, and fungal),
606 absence of mycoplasma, absence of adventitious/contaminating virus and replication competent virus
607 (where the product is replication deficient or replication conditional), absence of bacteriophages (where
608 vectors are produced on bacterial substrates). The sequence of key elements such as the therapeutic
609 and the regulatory elements should be confirmed.

610 For integrating vectors, the risk of insertional mutagenesis should be addressed. Reference is given to
611 the *Reflection paper on clinical risks deriving from insertional mutagenesis* (EMA/CAT/190186/2012).

612 **B. RNA or DNA Vectors and plasmids**

613 Testing of RNA and DNA vectors, plasmids or artificial chromosome DNA should include tests for genetic
614 identity and integrity including confirmation of the therapeutic sequence and regulatory/controlling
615 sequences, purity, concentration (strength), structural conformity and freedom from extraneous agents
616 using a range of tests, sterility and endotoxin levels.

617 **C. Eukaryotic Cell Banks**

618 Testing conducted on producer/packaging cell lines (organised in a cell bank system described above)
619 should include identity, purity, cell number, viability, strain characterization, genotyping/phenotyping,
620 verification of the plasmid/transgenic/helper sequence structure (e.g. restriction analysis or sequencing),
621 genetic stability, copy number, identity and integrity of the introduced sequences.

622 Testing of the producer/packaging cell bank for presence of adventitious viruses should be conducted
623 according to the principles of ICH Q5A. Relevant Ph. Eur. texts should be followed and should include
624 tests for contaminating and endogenous viruses. The absence of bacterial and fungal contamination, as
625 well as mycoplasma and spiroplasma (insect cells), should be determined. Electron microscopy of insect
626 cells should also be carried out, unless otherwise justified.

627 For the packaging cell lines, descriptions of their design, construction, production and the banking system
628 used should be provided.

629 **D. Bacterial cell banks**

630 Bacterial cell banks should be tested for phenotypic and genomic identity. The presence/absence of
631 inserted/deleted sequences necessary for the safe use of the gene-therapy investigational ATMP should
632 be confirmed. The immunological identity including the genetically modified components should be
633 determined, for instance by serotyping. Transduction efficiency, absence of contaminating bacteria,
634 bacteriophages and fungi should be assured. For transduced bacterial cell banks testing should include
635 presence of plasmid or genome sequences containing the therapeutic sequence and associated
636 regulatory/control elements, plasmid copy number and ratio of cells with/without plasmids. The
637 principles described in the ICH Q5D guideline and Ph. Eur. on derivation and characterisation of cell
638 substrates should also be considered.

639

640 **III. Structural components**

641 Investigational ATMPs may incorporate additional components as starting materials which may be
642 medical devices or active implantable medical devices. The device components may or may not be
643 independently CE certified or certified but used outside of their intended use. Examples include matrices
644 providing a 3D structure for cells to grow in and be implanted with.

645 ATMPs incorporating integral medical devices are classified and evaluated as medicinal products. The
646 device component(s) should meet the relevant general safety and performance requirements laid down
647 under EU legislation on medical devices, and supportive information shall be provided in the IMPD.
648 Independent certification of the device component is not required, but where a CE certificate for the
649 intended use is available, this information shall be included in the dossier. The suitability for the intended
650 use in the context of the medicinal product needs to be demonstrated (See sections on Characterisation
651 and Development Pharmaceuticals).

652

653 **S.2.4. Control of critical steps and intermediates**

654 Process parameters, process controls and the associated acceptance criteria should be set based on
655 development data and current knowledge. Intermediate cell products are products that can be isolated
656 during the process; specifications for these intermediates should be established to assure the
657 reproducibility of the process and the consistency of the finished product.

658 Tests and acceptance criteria for the control of critical steps in the manufacturing process should be
659 provided. Cross reference to section S 2.2 might be sufficient for acceptance criteria or action limits.
660 Hold times and storage conditions for process intermediates should be justified and supported by data.

661 Monitoring of *in vitro* cell culturing at selected stages of the production should be performed where
662 feasible and the *in vitro* cell age (population doublings) should be controlled. The culture should be
663 examined for microbial contamination.

664 It is acknowledged, that due to limited data at an early stage of development complete information on
665 the criticality of process steps may not be available.

666

667 **S.2.5. Process evaluation / validation**

668 With the exception of aseptic aspects, the manufacturing process for investigational ATMP active
669 substances is not expected to be validated from a clinical trial approval perspective, but appropriate
670 monitoring and control measures should be implemented. Validation of the aseptic process (and, where
671 applicable, sterilising processes) and the viral removal/inactivation steps are however expected to be
672 validated prior to FIH clinical trials.

673 Details on the validation of manufacturing steps intended to remove or inactivate viral contaminants
674 should be provided in section A2, Adventitious agents safety evaluation.

675 Process characterisation/evaluation validation data should be collected throughout development.
676 Implementation of appropriate monitoring and control measures ensures that knowledge is gained to
677 continuously optimize the setting of acceptance criteria for process parameters, in-process controls, and
678 critical quality attributes. Summaries of the process characterisation and verification studies need to be
679 provided, but the reports themselves are not required to be submitted as part of the IMPD.

680 It is noted, that for a clinical trial generating pivotal data for a marketing authorisation application it is
681 important to demonstrate that the manufacturing process of the investigational ATMP active substance
682 ensures consistent production and is representative of the intended commercial manufacturing process.
683 This equally applies to the manufacture of critical starting materials, for example a viral vector used to
684 genetically modify cells. Furthermore, it is strongly recommended to use the process that is intended for
685 commercial supply for the manufacture of product to be used in pivotal studies. For guidance towards
686 MAA, reference is made to the *Guideline on process validation for the manufacture of biotechnology-
687 derived active substances and data to be provided in the regulatory submissions*
688 (EMA/CHMP/BWP/187338/2014) and to the GMP for ATMP Guidelines.

689 - cell-based investigational ATMPs:

690 Characterisation/evaluation with surrogate materials: Limited availability of the cells/tissues e.g.
691 autologous ATMPs, allogeneic cell stocks, may require the development of pragmatic approaches for
692 characterization/evaluation of the manufacturing process or subsequent changes (see GMP for ATMP
693 10.41, 10.42). The goal needs to be to gain maximum experience from each batch processed.

694 The representativeness of surrogate starting material should be evaluated, considering, for example,
695 donor age, donor health status anatomical source (e.g. femur vs iliac crest) or other characteristics (e.g.
696 use of representative cell-types or use of cells at a higher passage number than that foreseen in the
697 product specifications). Where possible, consideration should be given to complementing the use of
698 surrogate materials with samples from the actual starting materials for key aspects of the manufacturing
699 process. For instance, in the case of an ATMP based on genetically modified cells, use of patient material
700 may be limited to process characterization of the genetic modification. Other aspects could be
701 qualified/evaluated using a representative surrogate cell type. For further information, reference is made
702 to the Guidelines on GMP for ATMPs.

703 - gene-therapy investigational ATMPs:

704 Data on the control and stability of the vector genome and the therapeutic sequence(s) during
705 development should be provided. The degree of fidelity of the replication systems should be ensured as
706 far as possible and described. Evidence should be obtained to demonstrate that the therapeutic sequence
707 remains unmodified and is stably maintained during any amplification.

708

709 **S.2.6. Manufacturing process development**

710 ***Process improvement***

711 Manufacturing processes and their control strategies are continuously being improved and optimised,
712 especially during early phases of clinical trials and later development towards marketing authorisation.
713 These changes need to be adequately documented and evaluated in the context of a phase appropriate
714 comparability exercise (see below). In general, these improvements and optimisations are considered
715 as normal development work and should be appropriately described in the IMPD. Changes of
716 manufacturers, the manufacturing process and controls should be summarized and the rationale for
717 changes should be presented. This description should allow a clear identification of the process versions
718 used to produce each batch used in non-clinical and clinical studies, to establish an appropriate link
719 between pre-change and post-change batches. Comparative flow charts and/or list of process changes
720 may be used to present the process evolution. Process modifications may require adaptation of in-
721 process and release tests, and thus these tests and corresponding acceptance criteria should be
722 reconsidered when changes are introduced.

723 Gene-therapy investigational ATMP:

724 It is recognised that in particular for gene-therapy investigational ATMPs, only a limited number of active
725 substance batches may be produced prior to MAA. Therefore, it is particularly important to gather
726 sufficiently detailed manufacturing process and batch analytical data throughout the development
727 process as these can be used as supportive information during a licence application.

728 ***Comparability exercise***

729 While changes to the manufacturing process commonly occur during development, the complex and
730 dynamic nature of AMTPs presents a challenge for the evaluation of pre-versus post-change product.
731 Orthogonal methods need to be applied in this evaluation and the potential impact on the entire product
732 needs to be taken into consideration rather than on a single parameter.

733 Depending on the consequences of the change introduced and the stage of development, a comparability
734 exercise may be necessary to ensure that the change does not have an adverse impact on the quality of
735 the active substance and therefore on the expected safety and clinical efficacy of the product. The main

736 purpose of this exercise is to provide assurance that generated clinical data remain valid throughout
737 development, the post-change product is suitable for the forthcoming clinical trials and that it does not
738 raise any concern for the safety of the patients included in the clinical trial. The extent of the
739 comparability exercise needed depends on the nature of the change introduced and the stage of
740 development. Reference is made to the Questions and answers Comparability considerations for
741 Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products (ATMP) (EMA/CAT/499821/2019) and principles outlined in ICH
742 Q5E Comparability of Biotechnological/Biological Products. During early phases of non-clinical and clinical
743 studies, comparability testing is generally not as extensive as for an approved product.

744 This comparability exercise should normally follow a stepwise approach, including comparison of
745 processes, quality attributes of the active substance and relevant intermediates, using suitable analytical
746 methods. Analytical methods usually include routine tests and should be supplemented by additional
747 characterisation tests (including orthogonal methods), as appropriate. Developing a panel of suitable
748 analytical tools for comparability is highly recommended from the first steps of development. As such,
749 biological characterisation and the potency assay(s) are the most important parameters to perform
750 comparability on quality grounds.

751 When only non-clinical data has been generated, prior to clinical exposure, analytical results should
752 support safety data filiation, i.e. demonstrating the non-clinical safety of product that is representative,
753 from a quality perspective, to that which will be used in exploratory trials (see Guideline on Strategies
754 to Identify and Mitigate Risks for First-In-Human Clinical Trials with Investigational Medicinal Products
755 (EMA/CHMP/SWP/28367/07)). For confirmatory trials, the comparability exercise is expected to be
756 comprehensive. During confirmatory clinical studies introducing changes to the manufacturing process
757 should be avoided, because comparability issues may impact the acceptability of the data at MAA.

758 Where the relevant information is not sufficient to assess the consequences introduced by the change
759 and if a potential risk to the patients cannot be excluded, a comparability exercise based only on quality
760 considerations most likely will not be sufficient and further non-clinical data will be required.

761 It is particularly important that all stages of development relevant for non-clinical and clinical analysis
762 are fully evaluated, justified, and tracked within the evolving dossier.

763 In case of complex cell-based investigational ATMPs with a three-dimensional architecture (e.g.
764 scaffolds), the extended characterisation for comparability should consider possible structural changes
765 as well as functional changes.

766 Of note it is highly recommended to keep retain samples of critical starting materials (vectors),
767 intermediates, active substance and finished product, when possible, in the event that comparability
768 studies are required during future product development or after licensure.

769

770 **S.3. Characterisation**

771 **S.3.1. Elucidation of structure and other characteristics**

772 Characterisation studies (which include the determination of physico-chemical properties, biological
773 activity, immuno-chemical properties, purity and impurities) should be conducted throughout the
774 development process, resulting in a comprehensive picture and knowledge of the investigational ATMP
775 active substance. The knowledge gained in characterisation studies by appropriate techniques is
776 necessary to identify quality parameters related to efficacy and safety and to facilitate suitable
777 specifications to be established. Reference to literature data alone is not acceptable. Sufficient

778 characterisation to define the product profile should be performed in the development phase prior to FIH
779 clinical trials and, where necessary, following significant process changes.

780 Characterisation data could encompass data obtained throughout the development and/or manufacturing
781 process and should reflect the most complete knowledge of the active substance. Characterisation is also
782 the basis for comparability and stability studies. If the investigational ATMP includes multiple components
783 (e.g. cellular or recombinant nucleic constructs), characterisation data are likely to be necessary for each
784 component as well as for the drug substance.

785 Characterisation of the biological activity of the active substance is essential, and the strategy to
786 demonstrate biological activity should be explained and justified. The extent of data demonstrating the
787 characterization of biological activity is expected to increase as product development progresses.

788 Potency is the quantitative measure of biological activity, which is itself related to the relevant biological
789 properties and the claimed mechanism of action of the active substance. The methods used for
790 characterization and evaluation of the biological activity will help to define the relevant potency assay.
791 In general, one (or more) of the methods used for characterization of the biological activity of the active
792 substance will be developed as a quantitative assay and will be defined as the potency test for release.
793 Surrogate potency markers can be considered for release tests, but appropriate justification of their
794 relevance in the context of the intended action of the investigational ATMP is needed. It is strongly
795 recommended that suitable methods to quantitatively measure the biological activity are developed as
796 soon as possible. Preferably, a suitable potency assay should be in place when material for the FIH
797 clinical trial is produced.

798 *In vivo* potency tests should generally be avoided, justified according to 3R principles where not
799 avoidable and replaced by *in vitro* tests whenever possible prior to confirmatory clinical trials.

800 **1. Characterisation studies of cell-based investigational ATMPs**

801 The characterisation should encompass all the components present in the active substance or finished
802 product in case of continuous manufacture. Characterisation may prove particularly challenging for where
803 cells are combined with matrices, scaffolds and innovative devices. At minimum characterisation of the
804 cellular component should be established in terms of identity, purity, impurities (see also S.3.2), viability,
805 quantity (cell number) and potency.

806 It is noted that in a combined product the characteristics of both the cellular and the non-cellular
807 components may be altered by the process of integration.

808 **- Cellular Component**

809 The identity of the cellular components, depending on the cell population and origin, should be
810 characterised in terms of phenotypic and/or genotypic profiles.

811 When addressing the phenotype of the cells, relevant identity markers should be used. These markers
812 may be based on gene or surface marker expression, the capacity to present antigen, biochemical or
813 immunological activities, response to exogenous stimuli, capability to produce biologically active or
814 otherwise measurable molecules, etc. They should be suitable for the intended cell population(s) and
815 should be based on an understanding of the biological or molecular mechanism of the proposed therapy.
816 For adherent cells, morphological analysis may be a useful tool in conjunction with other tests whereas
817 for stem cells, markers of pluripotency, lineage commitment or differentiation state might be appropriate.

818 Genetic stability should be evaluated for cell preparations that undergo extensive *in vitro* manipulation
819 using orthogonal methods. When relevant, cross reference to tumorigenicity studies in the non-clinical
820 part of the dossier can be made.

821 The cellular active substance could contain other cells that are of different lineages and/or differentiation
822 stage or that may be unrelated to the intended population. Where a specific cell type is required for the
823 mechanism of action, additional, other cell populations should be defined and their amount in the finished
824 product should be controlled by appropriate specifications, i.e. acceptance criteria for the amounts of
825 cellular impurities (S.3.2.) should be set. In cases, where the desired biological activity and efficacy of
826 the product requires a complex mixture of cells, the cell mixture needs to be characterized and its
827 composition controlled by appropriate in-process controls and release testing.

828 - **Non-cellular Components of the active substance**

829 Non-cellular components are starting materials that should be characterised on their own in the context
830 of their required function. This includes biomaterials, proteins or chemical entities which may supply
831 structural support, a suitable environment for growth, biological signalling or other functions.

832 These components should be identified and characterised with respect to their composition, structural
833 characteristics and mechanical properties. The general principles that are applied to the biological
834 evaluation of medical devices can also be applied to the evaluation of biomaterials intended for use in
835 cell-based investigational ATMPs. Where applicable, ICH and CAT/CHMP guidelines, Q&A documents and
836 other documents as linked to, or published on, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) website should be
837 considered. The summary of performed analysis and studies should be submitted.

838 If the device has been CE marked for the same intended use, the 'Instructions for Use' should be
839 provided. Additional studies (e.g. cell adhesion studies, growth studies) may be necessary to
840 demonstrate aspects of biocompatibility specific to the cell-based product.

841 In addition, effects of potential impurities that can be present in non-cellular components should be
842 taken into consideration.

843 Since the identity of both the cellular and the non-cellular components may be altered by the process of
844 combination a distinctive way to define identity should be established for the components in the
845 combination, unless justified. Special consideration should also be given to their degradation profile and
846 impact on the combination.

847 **2. Characterisation studies of gene-therapy investigational ATMPs**

848 Characterisation of a gene therapy active substance (which includes the determination of physico-
849 chemical, biological and functional properties, purity and impurities) by appropriate techniques is
850 necessary to allow relevant specifications to be established. Tests should be included to show integrity
851 and homogeneity of the recombinant viral genome, plasmid or nucleic acid and the genetic stability of
852 the vector and therapeutic sequence.

853 • Tests performed on harvested vector should as a minimum include identity (desired transgene
854 and vector) and purity. For viral vectors, titre and particle to infectivity ratio should normally be
855 determined.

856 • The presence/absence of other genetic features such as immunomodulatory CpG sequences
857 should be determined, unless otherwise justified.

858 • For complexed nucleic acids, the structure of the complex and the interaction between the
859 vehicle(s) and the negatively charged nucleic acids should be addressed. Suitable tests should
860 be included to establish, for example, that the complexed nucleic acid has the desired
861 biochemical and biological characteristics required for its intended use.

862 • For bacterial and viral vectors, the presence/absence of inserted/deleted sequences necessary
863 for the safe use of the gene therapy investigational ATMP should be confirmed. It should be
864 demonstrated that there is no inclusion of known oncogenic/tumorigenic sequences. Phenotypic

865 identity, immunological identity (including the genetically modified bacterial or viral components)
866 and analysis of the therapeutic sequences and selectivity/regulatory elements delivered by the
867 vector should be included.

868 • For replication deficient viral vectors, the strategy taken to render the viral vector replication
869 incompetent should be clearly documented, and replication deficiency demonstrated during
870 characterization. The possibility of any recombination events leading to RCV or replication via
871 trans regulation should be considered.

872 • For replication competent viral vectors or replication-conditional viral vectors, a clear rationale
873 for the construct and the individual genetic elements that control replication should be provided
874 regarding to its safe use for the proposed clinical indications.

875 It should be demonstrated that there is no inclusion of known oncogenic/tumorigenic sequences,
876 and that if the parental viral strain is a known pathogen, the infectivity, virulence and
877 pathogenicity of the RCV should be characterized after the desired genetic manipulations.

878 • For genetically modified cells, *in vitro* assays for transduction efficiency and vector copy number
879 per transduced cell should be conducted. A risk-based approach should be followed to determine
880 the need for integration site characterization. For genetically modified cells derived using genome editing tools, *in vitro* assays for editing
881 efficiency and off-target editing should be conducted. In addition, the cells should be analysed
882 for large DNA-fragment inversions, deletions, duplications or chromosomal rearrangements
883

884 • The intended action of regulating, repairing, replacing, adding or deleting a genetic sequence
885 should be demonstrated. The potency assay should normally encompass an evaluation of the
886 efficiency of gene modification (infectivity/transduction efficiency/delivery efficiency) and the
887 level and stability of expression of the therapeutic sequence or its direct activity or deletion.
888 Where possible the potency assay should include a measure of the functional activity of the
889 therapeutic sequence or the product of it.

890 • For *in vivo* genome editing medicinal products, on-target effects and the risk of off-target edits
891 should be adequately addressed. Among others, *in silico* and *in vitro* analyses should be
892 performed to evaluate possible off-target edits and other potential genotoxic effects, especially
893 those which could affect regulatory elements or gene sequences which could have a biological
894 impact.

895 The rationale for selection of the analytical methods used for characterisation should be provided and
896 their suitability should be justified.

897 **S.3.2. Impurities**

898 During the production of an investigational ATMP, variable amounts of impurities, product- and process-
899 related, may be introduced into the active substance. Residual raw materials in the active substance (or
900 in individual components if otherwise not possible) should be analysed on a risk-based approach
901 reflecting general knowledge on potential clinical impact. Removal, particularly in exploratory clinical
902 trials can be justified by dilution factors, removal capability of the manufacturing process or controlled
903 by setting acceptance criteria, where relevant. Specification limits should be justified by levels detected
904 in batches used for toxicological and/or clinical studies. The aim should be to maximise the active
905 components and minimise features which do not contribute or may negatively impact on therapeutic
906 activity/safety. The setting of purity specifications should be based on characterisation studies conducted
907 as part of product development and an assessment of the significance of the impurities. Purity does not
908 necessarily imply homogeneity, however, consistency needs to be demonstrated. In early development,

909 IPC testing to determine whether impurities are being generated should be implemented and the
910 contaminating levels quantified. The need for release specifications for these impurities needs to be
911 evaluated based on appropriate risk assessment and the overall control strategy.

912 Any material capable of introducing degradation products during the production, e.g. biodegradable
913 materials, should be thoroughly characterised in this respect and the impact on the cellular component(s)
914 should be addressed.

915 Analytical procedures should be demonstrated to be suitable to detect, identify, and quantify biologically
916 significant levels of impurities.

917 Process related impurities (e.g. media residues, growth factors, host cell proteins, host cell DNA, column
918 leachables) and product related impurities (e.g. cell types not linked to the therapeutic effect, cell
919 fragments or non-viable cells, precursors, degradation products, aggregates) should be kept to the
920 minimum and a risk assessment provided. Based on the risks identified, consideration should be given
921 to the maximum amount for the highest clinical dose and an estimation of the clearance should be
922 provided. In case only qualitative data are provided for certain impurities, this should be justified.

923 Information on product-related impurities, such as or unrelated or non-viable cells, vectors with deleted,
924 rearranged, hybrid or mutated sequences or co-packaged nucleic acids, non-infectious and empty vector
925 particles should be provided, as relevant, with a particular focus initially on safety. In the case of vectors
926 designed to be replication deficient or conditionally replicating, the overall absence of replication-
927 competent virus should be demonstrated and/or conditional replication demonstrated. Helper or hybrid
928 viruses generated or used during manufacture or components of the production system should be
929 eliminated or minimized. If genetically modified cells are used in the product, any additional proteins
930 expressed from the vector, e.g. antibiotic resistance factors or other selection markers should be
931 analysed and their presence in the product should be justified.

932 For cell based investigational ATMPs: Where only a selected population of cells in a mixture is responsible
933 for the therapeutic effect, the other cell populations should be defined and their amount controlled by
934 appropriate specifications.

935 Irrespective of the cell type, the cell population can contain non-viable cells. Since cell viability is an
936 important parameter for product integrity and is directly correlated to the biologic activity in most cases,
937 a specification should be set, and justified, for the content of non-viable cells, such as the ratio of non-
938 viable to viable cells, % viability (of total cells/intended cell population, if applicable) or a limit of the
939 total number of non-viable cells per dose.

940 Gene therapy investigational ATMP impurities can include (but are not limited to) hybrid viruses, empty
941 viral particles, viral proteins, vector aggregates in the case of virus vector production; residual starting
942 material (e.g. residual virus in the case of a manufacturing process using a virus (e.g. baculovirus) as
943 one of the starting materials, residual gRNA or proteins in case of a manufacturing process which involves
944 a CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing system); residual DNA and proteins from the starting material (e.g. host
945 cell-DNA and protein, residual plasmid DNA, residual viral DNA and proteins in the case of a
946 manufacturing process using a virus as one of the starting materials, lipids and polysaccharides in the
947 case of production systems which involve bacterial fermentations); and RNA and chromosomal DNA in
948 the case of plasmid purification production.

949 **S.4. Control of the active substance**

950 During all clinical trial phases, but particularly where process validation data are incomplete, the quality
951 attributes to control the active substance are important to demonstrate pharmaceutical quality, product
952 consistency and comparability after process changes. To facilitate analytical method improvement and

953 manage comparability requirements quality attributes controlled throughout the development process
954 should be more comprehensive than the tests included in the specification.

955 For quality control the active substance should be subjected to release testing, whenever possible. If
956 justified, it can be acceptable to have reduced testing at release provided an exhaustive control is
957 performed at another stage of the manufacturing process.

958 When routine release testing is limited or not possible, this needs to be taken into consideration with
959 regards to characterisation and overall control strategy.

960 **S.4.1. Specification**

961 The specifications for the batch(es) of the active substance to be used in the clinical trial should be
962 defined. The acceptance criteria together with the tests used should ensure sufficient control of the
963 quality of the active substance.

964 The release specification of the active substance should be selected based on the quality attributes of
965 the active substance defined during the characterisation studies. The selection of analytical methods
966 used to measure these attributes should be defined by the applicant and justified in S.4.5.

967 During early phases of clinical development specification can include wider acceptance criteria based on
968 the current knowledge of the risks. As the acceptance criteria are normally based on a limited number
969 of development batches and batches used in non-clinical and clinical studies, they are by their nature
970 preliminary and need to be subject to review during development.

971 If certain release tests cannot be performed on the active substance or finished product, but only on key
972 intermediates and/or as in-process tests, this needs to be justified.

973 Specifications should be meaningful and quantitative and a limit of 'record' or 'report results' should be
974 avoided whenever possible. For test parameters relevant to safety, the absence of defined limits is not
975 acceptable. Tests and defined acceptance criteria are expected for quantity, identity, purity,
976 microbiological assays and biological activity. The absence of quantitative limits for potency / biological
977 activity for a FIH trial may be accepted, provided that sufficient control can be justified. Upper limits,
978 taking safety considerations into account, should be set for impurities. In early development a risk-
979 assessment and justification based on theoretical dilution can be accepted for process related impurities
980 with the exception of safety relevant impurities, e.g. Host cell protein, residual DNA and microbiological
981 safety aspects. Where different from release specifications, end of shelf-life specifications need to be
982 justified.

983 Product characteristics additional to specifications that are not completely defined at a certain stage of
984 development or for which the available data is too limited to establish relevant acceptance criteria, should
985 also be recorded and could be included in the specification, without pre-defined acceptance limits. The
986 results should be reported in the Batch Analyses section (S.4.4).

987 When the initial release specification is based on results from healthy volunteer rather than patient-
988 derived starting material, acceptance criteria should be revised when sufficient data with patient material
989 is available.

990 In case of gene-therapy investigational ATMPs, where applicable, the genetic identity and integrity of
991 the active substance should be assured. Tests should identify both the therapeutic sequence, the vector
992 and, if applicable and possible, the complexed nucleic acid sequences. In addition to sequencing data,
993 the identity of the active substance may also be confirmed through infection/transduction assays and
994 detection of expression/activity of the therapeutic sequence(s). An assessment of the ratio of infectious

995 to physical particles in the case of viral vectors is expected. For non-viral particles the specific
996 transfection efficiency should be determined.

997

998 In case of ex-vivo genetically modified cells, the vector copy number per transduced/transfected cell
999 should be measured and justified in relation to the intended use of the product. Transduction/transfection
1000 and transgene expression efficiencies (or in case of genome editing the percentage of genetically
1001 modified cells) should be measured.

1002 Homogeneity and genetic stability of genetically modified cells should be thoroughly characterised.

1003

1004 To address the risk deriving from insertional mutagenesis, the integration profile of integrating vectors
1005 or plasmids should be studied in relation to known oncogenes/tumour suppressor genes, where
1006 applicable.

1007 If sufficiently justified, it could be acceptable to have a limited integration site study when extensive
1008 characterization data are available of insertion site distribution from the same vector, using the same
1009 cells and promoter etc., but with a different transgene sequence.

1010 In some cases, where cells have proliferative potential and are intended to sustain an *in vivo* repopulating
1011 or expanding activity, clonality and chromosomal integrity of the genetically modified cells may also need
1012 to be studied.

1013

1014 ***Additional information for confirmatory clinical trials***

1015 As knowledge and experience increase, the addition or removal of parameters and modification of
1016 analytical methods may be necessary. Parameters, analytical methods and acceptance criteria set for
1017 previous trials should be reviewed and, where appropriate, adjusted to the current stage of development.

1018 For confirmatory trials, active substance specifications should be in place to allow sufficient and accurate
1019 evaluation of quality profile and to the extent possible link the quality profile to clinical outcome.

1020

1021 **S.4.2. Analytical procedures**

1022 The analytical methods used for the active substance should be listed for all tests included in the
1023 specification (e.g. phenotypic characterisation, chromatographic methods, biological assay etc.), end-of
1024 shelf-life specification where applicable, and including those tests reported without acceptance limits. A
1025 brief description for all non-compendial analytical procedures, i.e. the way of performing the analysis,
1026 should be provided highlighting controls used in the analysis. For methods, which are either described in
1027 a monograph or a general chapter of the Ph. Eur., the pharmacopoeia of an EU Member State, USP or
1028 JP, reference to the relevant monograph or general chapter is acceptable.

1029 The suitability of methods for their intended purpose should be described in S.4.3/P.4.3.

1030 **S.4.3. Validation of analytical procedures**

1031 Validation of analytical procedures during clinical development is an evolving process. An appropriate
1032 degree of method validation should be applied at each stage to demonstrate the methods are suitable
1033 for their intended use at that time.

1034 Validation at the initial stages is the establishment of suitability for purpose of performance capabilities
1035 of an analytical procedure for ICHQ2(R2) attributes such as specificity, range, accuracy and precision,
1036 and based on preliminary acceptance criteria.

1037 Validation at later stages is the confirmation of performance capabilities of an analytical procedure for
1038 ICHQ2 attributes such as specificity, range, accuracy, and robustness with pre-determined phase-
1039 appropriate method performance acceptance criteria. Analytical procedures, which are either described
1040 in Ph. Eur monograph or where a monograph makes reference to a general chapter, the pharmacopoeia
1041 of a Member State, USP or JP general chapter, or are linked to a product specific monograph, are
1042 considered as validated. Modifications to compendial methods require validation. The parameters for
1043 performing validation of the analytical methods should be presented. A summary of the results including
1044 relevant information on the validation procedures should be included in tabulated form. It is not
1045 necessary to provide full validation reports.

1046 Irrespective of the clinical trial phase, all safety relevant methods such as those used for microbiological
1047 and viral testing have to be fully validated prior to the start of the clinical trial. The suitability of the
1048 analytical methods used for viral testing, either as a qualitative or a quantitative method, should be
1049 substantiated. ICH Q5A (R2) should be considered. Chapter 3.2 "Recommended Viral Detection and
1050 Identification Assays" is applicable. Validations of sterility and microbial assays, as well as RCV testing
1051 are required for all clinical trial phases. When using assays determining residual replication competent
1052 virus (RCV) the limit of detection must be such that the test provides assurance of the safety of the
1053 vector product. Also, the appropriateness of the permissive cell type(s) used in the assays for replication-
1054 competent virus should be established.

1055 If analytical procedures are performed at different testing sites, method equivalence should be
1056 demonstrated.

1057 ***Information for confirmatory clinical trials***

1058 For confirmatory clinical trials, the guidelines applicable to MAAs should be considered. From a MAA
1059 perspective, validation of analytical methods for batch release and stability testing prior to confirmatory
1060 trials is recommended, although not required for clinical trial approval. A hierarchical approach may be
1061 taken to assay validation, with emphasis on potency and safety assays first, followed by stability
1062 indicating assays.

1063 **S.4.4. Batch analyses**

1064 The focus of this section is to demonstrate quality of the batches (conformance to established preliminary
1065 specification) relevant for the given clinical trial. The manufacturing history is important for this purpose.
1066 As acceptance criteria may be initially wide, actual batch data are important for quality assessment. For
1067 quantitative parameters, actual numerical values should be presented. These values serve to evaluate
1068 process variability/manufacturing consistency.

1069 Batch number, batch size, manufacturing site, manufacturing date, control methods, acceptance criteria
1070 and the test results should be listed together with the use of the batches. The manufacturing process
1071 version used for each batch should be identified.

1072 For exploratory clinical trials, which are often characterised by a limited number of batches, results for
1073 relevant non-clinical and test batches should be provided, including the results of batches to be used in
1074 the given clinical trial, when available. In case of ex-vivo genetically modified cells, the batch data on
1075 the vector used to produce the active substance should be provided and the location of the information
1076 clarified (S.2.3, or other)

1077 Generally, data from all batches produced should be provided, although, depending on the nature of the
1078 product and the production history, it could be acceptable to provide results from a justified number of
1079 representative batches. In the autologous setting, each manufactured product should be viewed as a
1080 batch.

1081 **S.4.5. Justification of specification**

1082 A justification for the quality attributes included in the specification and the acceptance criteria for purity,
1083 impurities, biological activity, and any other quality attributes which may be relevant to the performance
1084 of the drug substance is required already for an exploratory clinical trial.

1085 The justification of specifications should be based on sound scientific knowledge supported by the
1086 available development data, the batches used in non-clinical and/or clinical studies and data from
1087 stability studies, taking into account the methods used for their control. The justification should address
1088 how the respective quality attributes and acceptance criteria are relevant for the performance of the
1089 active substance.

1090 It is acknowledged that during early clinical development when there is only limited experience, the
1091 acceptance criteria may be wide. However, for those quality attributes that may impact patient safety,
1092 the limits should be carefully considered taking into account available knowledge (e.g. impurities).
1093 Further refinement is expected as knowledge increases and data become available. Changes to a
1094 previously applied specification (e.g. addition or removal of parameters, widening of acceptance criteria)
1095 should be indicated and justified.

1096 **S.5. Reference standards or materials**

1097 According to ICH Q6B, the term reference standard refers to international or national standards, whereas
1098 reference material is defined as an appropriately characterized material prepared by the manufacturer
1099 from lot(s) representative of production and clinical materials.

1100 Where available, an international or Ph. Eur. standard should be used as primary reference. Each in-
1101 house working reference material should be qualified against this primary reference standard. However,
1102 it should be noted that the use of an international or Ph. Eur. standard might be limited to certain defined
1103 test methods, e.g. biological activity.

1104 For new molecular entities, it is however unlikely that an international or national standard will be
1105 available. Where feasible, it is therefore recommended to establish an appropriately characterized in-
1106 house primary reference material to evaluate the performance of an analytical method and to ensure
1107 reliability of the result obtained. The use of assay-specific reference material instead of reference
1108 material, prepared from lot(s) representative of production and clinical materials is acceptable where
1109 justified.

1110 The reference material may support units of measurement, the demonstration of consistency between
1111 different batches and the comparability of the product in clinical studies and supports the link between
1112 process development and commercial manufacturing.

1113 The characterisation of the reference material should be performed with reliable state-of-the-art
1114 analytical methods, which should be adequately described. Information regarding the manufacturing
1115 process used to establish the reference material should be provided. If additional orthogonal methods
1116 are used for qualification, that are not part of routine manufacture, in process controls or release testing
1117 they should be described in S.5. The stability of the reference material should be monitored. This can be
1118 handled within the quality system of the company. It is recommended to establish a reference batch as
1119 soon as possible for investigational ATMPs.

1120 If more than one reference standard/material have been used during the clinical development, a
1121 qualification history should be provided describing how the relationship between the different standards
1122 was maintained.

1123

1124 **S.6. Container closure system**

1125 The immediate packaging material used for the active substance should be stated. A description of the
1126 container closure system should be provided.

1127 Information on the sterilisation procedures of the container closure and container closure integrity should
1128 be provided. A possible interaction between the immediate packaging and the active substance should
1129 be considered (see stability).

1130 Where applicable, it should be indicated if the container closure is a medical device and has a CE marking
1131 for the intended use under the EU legislation.

1132

1133 **S.7. Stability**

1134 ***Stability summary and conclusions (protocol / material and method)***

1135 A stability protocol covering the proposed storage period and storage conditions of the active substance
1136 should be provided, including specifications with suitable limits, analytical methods and test intervals.
1137 Unless justified, the testing interval should follow ICH Q5C. The re-test period (as defined in ICH Q1A
1138 guideline) is not applicable to ATMPs.

1139 The quality of the batches of the active substance placed into the stability program should be
1140 representative of the quality of the material to be used in the planned clinical trial.

1141 The stability samples of active substance entered into the stability program should be stored in containers
1142 that use the same materials and container closure system as the active substance used to manufacture
1143 the clinical trial batch. Containers of reduced size are usually acceptable for the active substance stability
1144 testing.

1145 Studies should evaluate the active substance stability under the proposed storage conditions. Accelerated
1146 and stress condition studies may help understanding the degradation profile of the product and support
1147 extension of shelf-life and comparability studies.

1148 Stability-indicating methods should be included in this stability protocol or a cross-reference to S.4.3
1149 included, to provide assurance that changes in the purity / impurity profile and potency of the active
1150 substance would be detected. A potency assay should be included in the stability protocol, unless
1151 otherwise justified.

1152 - cell-based investigational ATMPs:

1153 For cell-based investigational ATMPs, particularly in the autologous setting, stability studies can pose a
1154 challenge, due to ethical considerations of using patient material. In these cases, it is acceptable to base
1155 early stability evaluations on results with cells from healthy donors. The representativeness of this
1156 approach for patient material, however, needs to be justified and investigated as development proceeds.

1157 - gene-therapy investigational ATMPs:

1158 For gene-therapy investigational ATMPs, vector integrity, biological activity (including transduction
1159 capacity) strength and appearance are critical product attributes which should be included in stability
1160 studies. It is appreciated, however, that during early development the potency assay may not be fully
1161 developed. Where feasible forced degradation studies may also provide important information on
1162 degradation products and identify stability indicating parameters to be tested.

1163 In the case of products formulated with carrier or support materials, the stability of the complex formed
1164 with the active substance should be studied.

1165 ***Stability data / results***

1166 Stability data should be presented for at least one batch representative of the manufacturing process of
1167 the clinical trial material. In addition, stability data of relevant development batches or batches
1168 manufactured using previous manufacturing processes could be provided. Such batch data may be used
1169 in the assignment of shelf life for the active substance provided appropriate justification of representative
1170 quality for the clinical trial material is given.

1171 The relevant stability data available should be summarised in tabular format, specifying the batches
1172 tested, date of manufacture, process version, composition, storage conditions, time-points, test
1173 methods, acceptance criteria in use at the time and results.

1174 For quantitative parameters, actual numerical values should be presented. Any observed data trends
1175 should be discussed.

1176 The increase of available data and improved knowledge about the stability of the active substance will
1177 need to be demonstrated during the different phases of clinical development. For confirmatory clinical
1178 trials the applicant should have a comprehensive understanding of the stability profile of the active
1179 substance.

1180 ***Shelf-life determination***

1181 The claimed shelf-life of the active substance under the proposed storage conditions should be provided
1182 and accompanied by an evaluation of the available data. Any observed trends should be discussed.

1183 The foreseen storage period should be based on long term, real time and real temperature stability
1184 studies, as described in ICH Q5C. Extension of the shelf-life beyond the period covered by real-time
1185 stability data may be acceptable, if supported by relevant data, including accelerated stability studies
1186 (not applicable for cell-based investigational ATMPs) and/or relevant stability data generated with
1187 representative material.

1188 The maximum shelf-life after the extension should not be more than double, or more than twelve
1189 months longer than the period covered by real time stability data obtained with representative
1190 batch(es). However, extension of the shelf life beyond the intended duration of the long term stability
1191 studies is not acceptable

1192 Where extensions of the shelf-life are planned, the applicant should commit to perform the proposed
1193 stability program according to the presented protocol, and, in the event of unexpected issues, to inform
1194 Competent Authorities of the situation, and propose corrective actions.

1195 Prior knowledge including platform technologies could be taken into consideration when designing a
1196 stability protocol. However, the relevance of existing data needs to be justified and verified by product-
1197 specific data.

1198 ***P Investigational medicinal product***

1199 Most of the investigational ATMP specific considerations made for active substance are also applicable to
1200 the finished product and will therefore not be repeated in this section. However, some specific
1201 considerations as regards finished product are outlined.

1202 **P.1. Description and composition of the investigational medicinal product**

1203 The qualitative and quantitative composition of the investigational ATMP should be provided including:

- 1204
- a short statement or a tabulated composition of the dosage form;
 - 1205 • description of the product composition, i.e. list of all components (active substances, excipients
1206 and any other structural components) of the product and their amount on a per-unit basis
1207 (including overages, if any), the function of each component, and a reference to their quality
1208 standards (e.g. compendial monographs or manufacturer's specifications);
 - 1209 • description of accompanying components (e.g. medical devices to administer the product) and/or
1210 accompanying diluent(s);
 - 1211 ○ Where the medical device is part of the finished product final formulation (e.g. a matrix
1212 added to the active substance shortly before it is administered to the patient which is
1213 intended to spatially restrict the product or control its release), the medical device will
1214 be considered an excipient (provide information in P.4 and Annex 3).
 - 1215 ○ Where the medical device is used as container closure system for the finished product or
1216 is intended to administer the ATMP as single integral product, where the device is not
1217 reusable (e.g. a prefilled syringe), provide information in section P.7. The finished
1218 product is regulated under the medicines framework.
 - 1219 ○ Where the ATMP requires an independent medical device for administration that is not
1220 integral, the device is regulated under the medical device framework (Regulation (EU)
1221 2017/745).
 - 1222 • an outline of the type of container and closure used for the dosage form and for any
1223 accompanying reconstitution diluent and devices, if applicable. A complete description should be
1224 provided in section P.7.

1225 **P.2. Pharmaceutical development**

1226 For early development there may be only limited information to include in this section.

1227 A short description of formulation development, including justification of any new pharmaceutical form
1228 should be provided. The usage of any excipient or combination of excipients and storage condition need
1229 to be justified and references should be made to the appropriate CTA sections (e.g., P.4, pre-clinical,
1230 pharmacy manual, IB).

1231 For products requiring additional preparation of the medicinal product (e.g. reconstitution), the
1232 compatibility with the used materials (e.g. solvents, diluents, matrix) should be demonstrated and the
1233 method of preparation including the equipment used should be summarised (reference may be made to
1234 a full description in the clinical protocol or in a separate document, e.g. Pharmacy Manual). Through
1235 appropriate studies it should be demonstrated that the specified reconstitution process is sufficiently
1236 robust and consistent to ensure that the product fulfils the specifications and can be administered without
1237 negative impact on quality or the potential safety or clinical properties of the investigational ATMP.

1238 The relevance of the structural and functional characteristics of the non-cellular components in a
1239 combination product should be discussed. Interaction of the cellular component and any additional non-
1240 cellular components with the device should be evaluated and the development and characteristics of the
1241 combined product as a whole should be presented.

1242 **Compatibility**

1243 It should be documented that the combination of intended formulation and packaging material does not
1244 impair correct dosing, ensuring for example that the product is not adsorbed to the wall of the container
1245 or infusion system. This is particularly relevant for low dose and highly diluted presentations.

1246 Where applicable, the reliable administration of very small doses in exploratory studies should be
1247 addressed as laid down in the Guideline on strategies to identify and mitigate risks for first-in-human
1248 and early clinical trials with investigational medicinal products (EMA/CHMP/SWP/28367/07 Rev. 1).

1249 Where a medical device is used to deliver the investigational product, compatibility with the product or
1250 representative material where this is not feasible should be demonstrated prior to use. In particular the
1251 risks of loss of strength or potency, increased impurities, should be addressed and the studies should
1252 mimic the real-world situation to the maximum extent possible.

1253 Reconstitution of product (see GMP for ATMP section 16):

1254 Reconstitution activities can be performed at the administration site. This covers activities required after
1255 batch release and prior to the administration of the ATMP to the patient, and which cannot be considered
1256 as manufacturing steps, e.g. thawing or mixing with other substances added for the purposes of
1257 administration (including matrices). Grinding and shaping are part of surgical procedures and therefore
1258 are neither manufacturing, nor reconstitution activities. No activity that entails substantial manipulation
1259 can, however, be considered reconstitution (e.g. cultivation). Generally, time to administration should
1260 be minimized for cell based investigational medicinal products.

1261 The reconstitution process has to be described, including all components that come into contact with the
1262 investigational ATMP as part of the clinical application (e.g. membranes for local containment, fibrin
1263 glues). The compatibility with the used materials (e.g. solvents, diluents, matrix) should be
1264 demonstrated and the method of preparation including the equipment used should be summarised
1265 (reference may be made to a full description in the clinical protocol or in a separate document). Through
1266 appropriate studies it should be demonstrated that the specified reconstitution process is sufficiently
1267 robust and consistent to ensure that the product fulfils the specifications and can be administered
1268 without negative impact on quality/safety/clinical properties of the ATMP. For MAA, the defined
1269 reconstitution process should be formally validated.

1270 If the diluent is co-packaged with the finished product, the information on the diluent should be placed
1271 in a separate Drug Product section. The compatibility of the finished product with reconstitution diluents
1272 should be discussed in P.2. Data from constitution or dilution studies that are performed as part of the
1273 formal stability studies to confirm product quality through shelf-life should be reported in P.8. (see ICH
1274 M4 Q&A)

1275 **Manufacturing process development**

1276 Any changes in the manufacturing process during the clinical phases should be documented and justified
1277 with respect to their impact on quality, safety, clinical properties, dosing and stability of the medicinal
1278 product. An appropriate comparability exercise should support significant changes, e.g. formulation
1279 changes. In this regard, expectations are similar to those described in S.2.6. This data should be
1280 sufficiently detailed to allow an appropriate understanding of the changes and assessment of possible
1281 consequences to the safety of the patient.

1282 **Comparability**

1283 Development of an investigational ATMP may encompass changes in the manufacturing process that
1284 might have an impact on the finished product. Changes in the manufacturing process including changes
1285 in formulation and dosage form compared to previous clinical trials should be described. An appropriate
1286 comparability exercise should support significant changes, e.g. formulation changes, considering their
1287 potential impact on quality, safety, clinical properties, dosing and stability. In this regard, expectations

1288 are similar to those described in S.2.6. This data should be sufficiently detailed to allow an appropriate
1289 understanding of the changes and assessment of possible consequences to the safety of the patient. The
1290 same principles to demonstrate comparability throughout development that apply to the active substance
1291 also apply to the finished product.

1292 **P.3. Manufacture**

1293 **P.3.1. Manufacturer(s)**

1294 The name(s), address(es) and responsibilities of all manufacturer(s) for each proposed production site
1295 involved in manufacture, testing and batch release should be provided. In case multiple manufacturers
1296 contribute to the manufacture of the investigational ATMP, their respective responsibilities need to be
1297 clearly stated.

1298 **P.3.2. Batch formula**

1299 The batch composition / formula for the batch(es) to be used for the clinical trial should be presented.
1300 This should include a list of all components to be used. The batch sizes or range of batch sizes should be
1301 given.

1302 **P.3.3. Description of manufacturing process and process controls**

1303 A flow chart showing all steps of the manufacturing process, including relevant IPCs (process parameters
1304 and in-process-tests), should be provided accompanied by a brief process description. The IPCs may be
1305 recorded as action limits or reported as preliminary acceptance criteria and the focus should be on safety
1306 relevant attributes. For other IPCs, monitoring might be appropriate and acceptance criteria and action
1307 limits do not need to be reported. During development, as additional process knowledge is gained, further
1308 details of IPCs should be provided and acceptance criteria reviewed.

1309 Reprocessing may be acceptable for particular manufacturing steps (e.g. re-filtration) only if the steps
1310 are adequately described and appropriately justified.

1311 **P.3.4. Control of critical steps and intermediates**

1312 Tests and acceptance criteria for the control of critical steps in the manufacturing process should be
1313 provided. It is acknowledged that due to limited data at an early stage of development complete
1314 information may not be available.

1315 The critical manufacturing steps required to ensure a given stage of cellular differentiation necessary for
1316 the intended use should be controlled with relevant markers. Considerations on the manufacturing
1317 process should also take into account the product-associated risk profile.

1318 If holding times are foreseen for process intermediates, periods and storage conditions should be
1319 provided and justified by data in terms of physicochemical, biological and microbiological properties.

1320 For sterilisation by filtration the maximum acceptable bioburden prior to the filtration must be provided
1321 in the application and justified in context of the filter retention capacity and maximum filtration volume.

1322 Information is furthermore required how integrity of the sterilizing-grade filters is ensured prior and post
1323 filter use. In most situations NMT 10 CFU/100 ml will be acceptable. Test volumes of less than 100 ml
1324 may be used if justified. For reference, see also the Guideline on the sterilisation of the medicinal product,
1325 active substance, excipient and primary container (EMA/CHMP/CVMP/QWP/850374/2015).

1326

1327 **P.3.5. Process validation and/or evaluation**

1328 The state of validation of aseptic processing and lyophilisation, if applicable, should be briefly described.
1329 Taking into account EudraLex, Vol. 4, Guidelines on Good Manufacturing Practice specific to Advanced
1330 Therapy Medicinal Products, the validation of sterilising processes should be of the same standard as for
1331 product authorised for marketing. As outlined in ICH M4Q, the description, documentation, and results
1332 of evaluation studies should be provided for critical steps or critical assays used in the manufacturing
1333 process (e.g. information directly relating to the product safety such as validation of the sterilisation
1334 process or aseptic processing). Viral safety evaluation should be provided in 3.2.A.2, as applicable.

1335

1336 **P.4. Control of excipients**

1337 References to Ph. Eur., the pharmacopoeia of an EU Member State, USP or JP may be made. For
1338 excipients not covered by any of the aforementioned standards, an in-house specification should be
1339 provided.

1340 Information on the choice of excipients, their properties, their characteristics and the design and testing
1341 of a final scaffold/matrix should be provided in the dossier as part of the development pharmaceuticals.
1342 Information on the source should also be provided. Matrices, scaffolds, devices, biomaterials, or
1343 biomolecules or complexing materials which are not an integral part of the active substance are
1344 considered as excipients of the finished product. The general principles that are applied to the biological
1345 evaluation of medical devices can also be applied to the evaluation of biomaterials intended as excipients.

1346 Established (non-novel) excipients should preferably be of pharmaceutical grade. When non-
1347 pharmaceutical grade materials are used, more effort will have to be invested on in-house
1348 characterisation and testing.

1349 - cell-based investigational ATMPs

1350 Excipients should be qualified with respect to their combination with cells.

1351 The stability of the non-cellular components, such as scaffolds, devices, biomaterials, biomolecules or
1352 complexing materials should be established.

1353 - gene-therapy investigational ATMPs:

1354 Diluents or stabilisers or any other excipients added during preparation of the final vector or finished
1355 product should be shown not to impair the properties of the vector in the concentrations employed.

1356 Complexing materials for formulating the gene-therapy investigational drug product are considered as
1357 excipients and have to be qualified for their intended purpose. The quality and purity of the complexing
1358 materials is essential for the later quality of the gene-therapy investigational ATMP, therefore the
1359 appropriate characterisation and specification of the complexing material(s) and qualification for their
1360 intended purpose are considered vital.

1361 **P.4.1. Specification**

1362 References to the Ph.Eur., the pharmacopoeia of an EU Member State, USP or JP may be applied. For
1363 excipients not covered by any of the aforementioned standards, an in-house specification should be
1364 provided. Acceptance criteria should be presented preferably as quantitative limits, ranges, or other
1365 attributes or variables for the tests described. Release criteria may be refined as product development
1366 progresses toward the marketing authorisation application.

1367 **P.4.2. Analytical procedures**

1368 Where an excipient is not described in a pharmacopeial monograph listed under P.4.1, the analytical
1369 methods used and their suitability should be described.

1370 **P.4.3. Validation of the analytical procedures**

1371 Reference is made to S.4.3.

1372 **P.4.4. Justification of specification**

1373 For non-compendial excipients as listed above in P.4.1, the in-house specifications should be provided
1374 and justified.

1375 **P.4.5. Excipients of human or animal origin**

1376 For excipients of human or animal origin, information should be provided regarding adventitious agents
1377 safety evaluation (e.g. sources, specifications, description of the testing performed) and viral safety data
1378 according to the Guideline on Virus Safety Evaluation of Biotechnological Investigational Medicinal
1379 Products (EMA/CHMP/BWP/398498/05) in Appendix A.2. Furthermore, compliance with the TSE
1380 guideline (EMA/410/01, current version) should be documented in section A.2.

1381 If human albumin or any other human plasma derived medicinal product is used as an excipient,
1382 information regarding adventitious agents safety evaluation should follow the relevant chapters of the
1383 *Guideline on Plasma-Derived Medicinal Products* (EMA/CHMP/BWP/706271/2010) and CHMP Position
1384 Statement on Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and plasma-derived and urine-derived medicinal products
1385 (EMA/CHMP/BWP/303353/2010). If the plasma derived component has already been used in a product
1386 with a marketing authorisation then reference to this can be made.

1387 **P.4.6. Novel excipients**

1388 For excipient(s) used for the first time in a medicinal product or by a new route of administration, full
1389 details of manufacture, characterisation and controls, with cross references to supporting safety data
1390 (non-clinical and/or clinical), should be provided according to the active substance format (details in
1391 A.3).

1392 **P.5. Control of the investigational medicinal product**

1393 **P.5.1. Specification**

1394 Quality control tests should be performed at the finished product level, but, where appropriate
1395 justification can be provided, release testing may be conducted at the active substance level or in-process
1396 control on an intermediate step but as close as possible to the finished product level. Tests on attributes
1397 which are specific to the formulated product in its final container and quality attributes which may have
1398 been impacted by the formulation steps should be included in the release testing.

1399 The same principles as described for setting the active substance specification should be applied for the
1400 medicinal product. In the specification, the tests used as well as their acceptance criteria should be
1401 defined for the batch(es) of the product to be used in the clinical trial to enable sufficient control of
1402 quality of the product.

1403 Tests for content, identity and purity are mandatory. Tests for sterility and endotoxin are mandatory for
1404 sterile products. Mycoplasma testing is required for cell based investigational ATMPs. A potency test
1405 should be included unless otherwise justified (see S.4.1).

1406 Acceptance criteria for medicinal product quality attributes should take into account safety considerations
1407 and the stage of development. Since the acceptance criteria are normally based on a limited number of
1408 development batches and batches used in non-clinical and clinical studies, their nature is inherently
1409 preliminary. They may need to be reviewed and adjusted during further development.

1410 The analytical methods and the limits for content and bioactivity should aim to ensure a correct dosing.

1411 Upper limits, taking safety considerations into account, should be set for the impurities. For the impurities
1412 not covered by the active substance specification, or which may increase upon storage, upper limits
1413 should be set, unless justified, taking safety considerations into account.

1414 As knowledge and experience increases the addition or removal of parameters and modification of
1415 analytical methods may be necessary. Specification and acceptance criteria set for previous trials should
1416 be reviewed for confirmatory clinical trials and, where appropriate, adjusted to the current knowledge
1417 and stage of development.

1418 In certain circumstances, namely with autologous cell products, limited amount of finished product might
1419 not allow for extensive release testing. In such circumstances it may be possible to rely on intermediate
1420 product release criteria, provided these have been shown to be representative of the finished product
1421 based on sufficient process evaluation/ validation data and based on process and product
1422 characterisation data, collected throughout process and product development.

1423 In specific cases, drug product batch release may be needed prior to all results of specification testing
1424 being available due to the nature of the product. Where complete release testing cannot be finalised
1425 before the product is administered to the recipient, this needs to be justified and supported by a risk
1426 analysis. Risk mitigation measures need to be specified in accompanying documents. A cross reference
1427 to such documents should be included in the quality section. Nevertheless, a critical set of essential tests
1428 that can be performed in the limited time prior to clinical use must be defined and justified. The procedure
1429 followed when out of specification test results are obtained after the release of the product needs to be
1430 described. Where feasible, it is highly recommended to store retention samples for future analysis.

1431 **P.5.2. Analytical procedures**

1432 Non compendial analytical methods should be described for all tests included in the specification. For
1433 compendial methods appropriate references should be provided.

1434 For further requirements refer to S.4.2.

1435 **P.5.3. Validation of analytical procedures**

1436 For requirements refer to S.4.3.

1437 **P.5.4. Batch analysis**

1438 As specifications may initially be very wide, actual batch data are important for quality assessment. For
1439 quantitative parameters, actual numerical values should be presented. See also section S.4.4.

1440 The focus of this section is to demonstrate the quality of the batches (conformance to established
1441 preliminary specification) to be used in the clinical trial. For early phase clinical trials where only a limited
1442 number of batches have been manufactured, test results from relevant clinical and non-clinical batches

1443 should be provided, including those to be used in the clinical trial supported by the IMPD. For products
1444 with a longer production history, it could be acceptable to provide results for only a number of
1445 representative batches, if appropriately justified.

1446 Batch number, batch size, manufacturing site, manufacturing date, control methods, acceptance criteria
1447 and the test results should be listed together with the use of the batches. The manufacturing process
1448 used for each batch should be identified.

1449 A statement should be included whether the batch analyses data presented are from the batches that
1450 will be used in the clinical trial, or whether additional batches not yet manufactured at time of submission
1451 of the IMPD might be used.

1452 **P.5.5. Characterisation of impurities**

1453 Additional impurities and degradation products observed in the investigational ATMP, such as those
1454 resulting from the interaction of the cells with the scaffold, but not covered by section S.3.2, should be
1455 identified and quantified as necessary.

1456 The finished product should be tested for residual manufacturing reagents with known or potential
1457 toxicities and the test procedure described. When justified, based on the risk associated with the type of
1458 impurity, data from process qualification and/or batch characterisation or a theoretical calculation of
1459 residual amounts may substitute for specification data. If part of the specification, limits for each impurity
1460 need to be included.

1461 **P.5.6. Justification of specification**

1462 A justification for the quality attributes included in the product specification should be provided mainly
1463 based on the active substance specification, the composition of the DP and the mechanism of action of
1464 the finished product. Stability indicating quality attributes should be considered. The proposed
1465 acceptance criteria should be justified and if they are solely based on non-clinical batches, the
1466 representativeness of these batches needs to be discussed.

1467 **P.6. Reference standards or materials**

1468 The parameters for characterisation of the reference standard should be submitted, where applicable.
1469 Section S.5 - Reference Standards or Materials - may be referred to, where applicable.

1470 **P.7. Container closure system**

1471 The intended primary packaging to be used for the IMP in the clinical trial should be described and
1472 compatibility with the product should be justified in section P.2. Where appropriate, reference should be
1473 made to the relevant pharmacopeial monograph. If the product is packed in a non-standard
1474 administration device, or if non-compendial materials are used, description and specifications should be
1475 provided.

1476 If a medical device is to be used for administration its regulatory status should be explicitly stated (e.g.
1477 whether it is CE marked for its intended purpose or not). In the absence of certification for its intended
1478 purpose, a statement of compliance of the medical device with relevant legal requirements for safety
1479 and performance is required and should be submitted by the sponsor. Where a medicinal product is
1480 combined with an integral medical device and the principal mechanism of action is that of the medicinal
1481 product, the combined product is governed by the medicines legislation and a CE mark is not required
1482 during development. The content of Guideline on quality documentation for medicinal products when
1483 used with a medical device (EMA/CHMP/QWP/BWP/259165/2019) may be taken into consideration where

1484 medical devices are co-packaged with ATMPs or where separately obtained devices are referenced in the
1485 protocol because of their potential impact on the quality, safety and/or efficacy of the ATMP. Of note, at
1486 marketing authorization, Article 117 of the Medical Devices Regulation ((EU)2017/745, MDR) does not
1487 apply to combined ATMPs as defined under Article 2(1)(d) of Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007.

1488 For parenteral products with a potential for interaction between product and container closure system
1489 more details regarding biocompatibility may be needed. Where applicable, information on the sterilisation
1490 procedures of the container and the closure should be provided.

1491 ***P.8. Stability***

1492 The same requirements as for the active substance are applied to the investigational ATMP, including
1493 the stability protocol, stability results, shelf-life determination, including extension of shelf-life beyond
1494 the period covered by real-time stability data and stability commitment and post-approval extension.
1495 The storage conditions including temperature range should be defined and stability studies should
1496 generate sufficient assurance that the IMP will be stable during the intended storage period. The stability
1497 protocol for the investigational ATMP should take into account the knowledge acquired on the stability
1498 profile of the active substance and justify the proposed shelf life of the product from its release to its
1499 administration to patients.

1500 Transportation and storage conditions should be supported by experimental data with regard to the
1501 maintenance of cell integrity and product stability during the defined period of validity. Where applicable,
1502 product-specific methods for freezing and thawing should be documented and justified.

1503 In-use stability data should be presented for preparations intended for use after thawing, reconstitution,
1504 dilution, mixing or for multi-dose presentations. These studies are not required if the preparation is to
1505 be used immediately after opening or reconstitution.

1506 The stability of the non-cellular components should be assessed in the presence of the cellular
1507 components in order to determine whether it undergoes degradation, or physico-chemical alterations
1508 (e.g. aggregation, oxidation) that may impact on the quality of the product by affecting cellular behaviour
1509 and survival. The effect of the cellular component or of the surrounding tissues on the degradation (rate
1510 and, if appropriate, products) or stability of the structural component should be addressed in the non-
1511 clinical section.

1512 Bracketing and matrixing approaches may be acceptable, where justified.

1513 ***A.1. Facilities and equipment***

1514 Not applicable.

1515 ***A.2. Adventitious agents safety evaluation***

1516 All materials of human or animal origin including cell culture media and medium supplements used in
1517 the manufacturing process of both the active substance and the medicinal product, or such materials
1518 coming into contact with active substance or medicinal product during the manufacturing process, should
1519 be identified. Information assessing the risk with respect to potential contamination with adventitious
1520 agents of human or animal origin should be provided in this section.

1521 The contamination of an investigational ATMP could originate from the starting or raw materials, or
1522 adventitiously introduced during the manufacturing process. Information should be provided on the
1523 avoidance and control of viral and non-viral adventitious agents (e.g., transmissible spongiform
1524 encephalopathy agents, bacteria, mycoplasma, fungi and adventitious viruses). This information can

1525 include, for example, certification and/or testing of raw materials and excipients, and control of the
1526 production process, as appropriate for the material, process and agent. A thorough testing for the
1527 absence of bacteria, fungi, mycoplasma and endotoxin shall be performed at the level of finished product
1528 following the risk-based approach and considering administration characteristics. However, testing for
1529 mycoplasma should be ideally performed on the harvest of the last cultivation stage prior to further
1530 processing e.g. lysis, filtration, washing or purification as post-treatment testing significantly increases
1531 the risk to not detect potential contamination with mycoplasma. Testing of absence of bacteria and fungi
1532 should follow compendial requirements e.g. Ph. Eur. 2.6.1. or Ph. Eur 2.6.27 or alternative methods
1533 validated according to Ph. Eur. 5.1.6

1534 ***TSE agents***

1535 Detailed information should be provided on the avoidance and control of transmissible spongiform
1536 encephalopathy agents. This information can include, for example, certification and control of the
1537 production process, as appropriate for the material, process and agent.

1538 The *Note for Guidance on Minimising the Risk of Transmitting Animal Spongiform Encephalopathy Agents*
1539 *via Human and Veterinary Medicinal Products* (EMA/410/01) in its current version and the CHMP/CAT
1540 position statement on Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and advanced therapy medicinal products
1541 (EMA/CHMP/BWP/353632/2010) are applicable.

1542 ***Viral safety***

1543 Where applicable, information assessing the risk with respect to potential viral contamination should be
1544 provided in this section. Risk assessment should be performed according to Ph. Eur 5.1.7. General Text
1545 on Viral Safety to evaluate the possibility of viral contamination or reactivation of cryptic (integrated,
1546 quiescent) forms of adventitious agents. Appropriate viral testing should be performed with validated
1547 methods. When a continuous cell line is used in production, testing for presence of adventitious viruses
1548 should be conducted according to the principles of ICH guideline Q5A and Ph. Eur. 5.2.3 should be
1549 followed. The documentation should comply with the requirements as outlined in the Guideline on Virus
1550 Safety Evaluation of Biotechnological Investigational Medicinal Products (EMA/CHMP/BWP/398498/05).
1551 For genetically modified viral vectors in scope of Guideline ICH Q5A (R2), steps should be incorporated
1552 into the manufacturing process, whenever possible, that enable sufficient viral clearance (for adventitious
1553 viruses, endogenous viruses, helper viruses) without impacting the product. Validation for virus reduction
1554 of such steps will become mandatory for marketing authorisation according to ICH Q5A (R2).

1555 Both contaminating extraneous viruses and residues of viruses used during production, such as
1556 production viruses and helper viruses should be controlled.

1557 ***Other adventitious agents***

1558 Detailed information regarding other adventitious agents, such as bacteria, mycoplasma, and fungi
1559 should be provided in appropriate sections within the dossier.

1560

1561 **A.3. Excipients**

1562 For novel excipients, information as indicated in section P of the CTD should be provided in line with the
1563 respective clinical phase.

1564 **A.4. Solvents for reconstitution and diluents**

1565 For solvents for reconstitution and diluents, the relevant information as indicated in section P of the CTD
1566 should be provided as applicable.

1567

1568 **Information on the quality of authorised, non-modified test and comparator**
1569 **products in clinical trials**

1570 For test and comparator products to be used in clinical trials which have already been authorised in the
1571 EU/EEA or in one of the ICH-regions (and are sourced from these countries), it will be sufficient to provide
1572 the name of the MA-holder and the MA-number as proof for the existence of a MA, incl. copy of the
1573 SmPC/Summary of Product Characteristics or its equivalent e.g. Prescribing information.

1574 The applicant or sponsor of the clinical trial has to ensure that the IMP is stable at least for the anticipated
1575 duration of the clinical trial in which it will be used. For authorised, not modified products, it will be
1576 sufficient to state that the respective expiry date assigned by the manufacturer will be used.

1577 For IMPs sourced from outside of the EU/EEA or ICH regions, a full documentation according to the
1578 requirements outlined in the documents in EudraLex Volume 10 should be submitted.

1579 In the case when only repackaging is performed without changing the primary packaging, the following
1580 information should be included in the simplified IMPD:

- 1581 • Information that will satisfy the requirement to ensure that the investigational medicinal product
1582 will have the proper identity, strength, quality and purity (e.g. cross-reference to the Summary of
1583 Product Characteristics for the EU marketed product).
1584 • Details on the site of repackaging/relabelling operations.

1585 **Information on the quality of modified authorised comparator products in**
1586 **clinical trials**

1587 Information on the modified authorised test/comparator product provided in the IMPD should meet the
1588 requirements as outlined in the applicable guidelines, e.g. EMA/CHMP/QWP/545525/2017 Rev. 2 for
1589 chemical IMPs, EMA/CHMP/BWP/534898/2008 Rev. 2 for biological IMPs and this guideline for ATMPs.

1590 Sections not impacted by the modification may cross-refer to the authorised product.

1591 **Information on the chemical and pharmaceutical quality concerning placebo**
1592 **products in clinical trials**

1593 Information on the placebo product to be provided in the IMPD should meet the requirements as outlined
1594 in section 6 of the Guideline on the requirements to the chemical and pharmaceutical quality
1595 documentation concerning investigational medicinal products in clinical trials
1596 (EMA/CHMP/QWP/834816/2015).

1597 **Changes to the investigational medicinal product and auxiliary medicinal**
1598 **product with a need to request a substantial modification to the IMPD**

1599 In accordance with Good Manufacturing Practice, a Product Specification File should be maintained for
1600 each IMP/auxiliary medicinal product at the respective site and be continually updated as the
1601 development of the product proceeds, ensuring appropriate traceability to the previous versions.

1602 In compliance with the Clinical Trials Regulation (CTR), a change to IMP/auxiliary medicinal product
1603 quality data is either:

- 1604 • a substantial modification (Art. 2.2.13);
- 1605 • a change relevant to the supervision of the trial (Art. 81.9);
- 1606 • a non-substantial modification (changes outside the scope of substantial modifications and
1607 changes irrelevant to the supervision of the trial).

1608 Substantial modification means any change which is likely to have a substantial impact on the safety
1609 and rights of the subjects or on the reliability and robustness of the data generated in the clinical trial.
1610 Assessment of an IMPD should be focussed on patient safety. Therefore, any modification involving a
1611 potential new risk has to be considered a substantial modification. This may be especially the case for
1612 changes in impurities profile, microbial contamination, viral safety, or the risk of TSE contamination or
1613 in some particular cases to stability when degradation products of concern may be generated.

1614 Non-substantial modifications relevant to the supervision of the trial (Art 81.9 change) are concepts
1615 introduced under the CTR, which aims to update certain, specified information in the EU database (CTIS)
1616 without the need for a substantial modification application, when this information is necessary for
1617 oversight but does not have a substantial impact on patients' safety and rights and/or data robustness.
1618 Art 81.9 states "The sponsor shall permanently update in the EU database information on any changes
1619 to the clinical trials which are not substantial modifications but are relevant for the supervision of the
1620 clinical trial by the Member States concerned". Art 81.9 changes can be submitted only if the change
1621 does not trigger additional changes, which are expected to be submitted as a substantial modification
1622 application.

1623 For non-substantial modifications, documentation should not be proactively submitted, but the relevant
1624 internal and study documentation supporting the change should be recorded within the company and if
1625 appropriate, at investigator site. At the time of an overall IMPD update or submission of a substantial
1626 modification the non-substantial changes should be incorporated into the updated documentation.
1627 However, when submitting a modified IMPD, the sponsor should clearly identify which modifications are
1628 substantial and which are not.

1629 When a modification will become effective with the start of a new clinical trial (e.g. change of name of
1630 the IMP, new manufacturing process), the notification will take place with the application for the new
1631 trial. Submissions of substantial modifications are only necessary for changes to ongoing clinical trials
1632 (i.e. after time of approval).

1633 **5. Non-clinical documentation**

1634 ***5.1. General aspects***

1635 The purpose of the non-clinical section is to provide information on non-clinical models, the general
1636 outline of the non-clinical development and the timing of the non-clinical studies.

1637 The non-clinical development pathway for ATMPs is significantly different from other medicinal products.
1638 The sequential non-clinical development in which the amount of data required and the duration of dosing
1639 increase by the phase of clinical development is not generally applicable for ATMPs. Instead, in many
1640 cases, the majority of non-clinical data may need to be available before human exposure.

1641 In general, the non-clinical data should provide information for the estimation of the safe and biologically
1642 effective dose(s) to be used in the first in human clinical trials, support the feasibility of the administration
1643 route and the appropriate application procedure, identify safety concerns and target organs for potential
1644 toxicity, and identify safety parameters to be followed in the clinical trials.

1645 This guideline intends to provide recommendations for the non-clinical data requirements before first
1646 dosing in humans and to give insights into the points where potential flexibility can be applied. The
1647 extent of the non-clinical data needed to support clinical development is dependent on the perceived
1648 risks related to the product itself, available scientific knowledge and clinical experience with similar type
1649 of products. The non-clinical program should be determined on a case-by-case basis depending on the
1650 type of respective ATMP, availability of appropriate *in vitro* and/or animal models, and the intended
1651 clinical use. Furthermore, the extent and duration of exposure to the investigational ATMP also affects
1652 the extent of the non-clinical program. For example, if the product is administered locally and/or kept
1653 isolated by physical or biological means, the need for evaluation of systemic effects is reduced. Similarly,
1654 if the product is anticipated to persist short-term in the body and is not expected to induce long-lasting
1655 effects, the duration of non-clinical safety evaluation can be adapted accordingly. The risk-based
1656 approach may be applied to identify the necessary non-clinical data on a case-by-case basis. For further
1657 guidance, see the Guideline on the risk-based approach according to annex I, part IV of Directive
1658 2001/83/EC applied to Advanced therapy medicinal products (EMA/CAT/CPWP/686637/2011).

1659 Products used in non-clinical studies should be sufficiently characterised to substantiate that the non-
1660 clinical studies have been conducted with material that is representative of the product to be
1661 administered to humans in clinical studies. Differences between the non-clinical test product(s) and the
1662 clinical material resulting from product development should be highlighted and any potential impact on
1663 efficacy and safety of the product should be discussed.

1664 The non-clinical studies can be carried out as stand-alone or as combined studies. If feasible, it is
1665 supported to combine relevant safety endpoints and biodistribution analysis in a proof-of-concept study.
1666 This should be carefully considered in the study design. The selection of suitable control groups should
1667 be carefully considered.

1668 **5.2. Selection of non-clinical models**

1669 Generally, non-clinical studies should be done with the most appropriate pharmacologically relevant *in*
1670 *vitro* and/or *in vivo* models available.

1671 *In vivo* animal studies should be carefully planned to ensure generation of robust data while considering
1672 the 3Rs (reduction, replacement, refinement) principles. Any animal testing resulting in inconclusive data
1673 should be avoided. Where possible, animal testing could be replaced by *in vitro*, *ex vivo* or *in silico*
1674 studies. To this end, the development and use of cell- and tissue-based models including 2D and 3D
1675 tissue-models, organoids and microfluidics, can be considered, especially for evaluating the mode of
1676 action.

1677 When animal studies are conducted, the chosen animal models should allow meaningful and predictive
1678 extrapolation from these species to humans. The utility of animal models for non-clinical proof of concept
1679 studies and safety testing should be carefully considered, and the relevance of selected models justified.
1680 The chosen animal model should reproduce the disease or condition of the patients as close as possible
1681 with ideally similar pathophysiology as in patients. Appropriate animal models may include naturally
1682 occurring spontaneous or experimentally induced disease models, transgenic knock-out or knock-in
1683 disease models, as well as specifically humanised animal models. Healthy animals are normally used for
1684 standard toxicity studies. However, for investigational ATMPs, standard toxicity studies are not always
1685 appropriate to address safety as a whole in the context of its therapeutic use. Instead, disease models
1686 can provide clinically meaningful safety data.

1687 Small animal models such as rodents are often useful and widely employed since they are readily
1688 available and easy to manipulate. However, if extrapolation from small animal models to human becomes

1689 challenging due to e.g. differences in the body size and anatomy that may preclude certain administration
1690 procedures and devices in small animal models, large animal models may be needed.

1691 The use of the same animal model in both the toxicology investigations and the pharmacokinetic studies
1692 may be beneficial, as it allows correlation of the biodistribution of the investigational ATMP with observed
1693 toxicity signals. In case a single animal model might not suffice to address all relevant aspects,
1694 alternative animal models should be employed. For additional guidance on the selection of animal species
1695 for gene therapy investigational ATMPs, see Guideline on quality, non-clinical and clinical aspects of gene
1696 therapy medicinal products (EMA/CAT/80183/2014).

1697 The testing of human cells or a gene therapy vector in animal species may be impeded by immune
1698 responses against the foreign cells or the viral vector (or its products), or by the lack of necessary factors
1699 to support survival of human cells in the host, resulting in a premature and rapid elimination of the
1700 administered product. In such cases, an immunodeficient animal model or a homologous animal models
1701 using the respective cells from the same animal species and/or an orthologous transgene or a species-
1702 specific vector can be used. The nature and characteristics of the homologous product as well as the
1703 manufacturing should be representative of the product to be used in humans. If certain differences in
1704 the manufacturing cannot be avoided, their potential impact on the predictability of non-clinical data
1705 needs to be carefully considered.

1706 It is acknowledged that appropriate animal models are not always available. For example, in the case
1707 where functional immune system of the host is needed to achieve the therapeutic effect e.g. correct HLA
1708 matching or MHC molecule presentation, testing in animal species may not produce meaningful
1709 information. In such cases, alternative approaches are needed to generate evidence supporting the safe
1710 clinical use. Such approaches may include *in vitro* and *ex vivo* human cell and tissue-based models, *in*
1711 *silico* analyses, literature-based evidence and clinical experience with related products.

1712 **5.3. Pharmacology studies**

- 1713 • *Proof of concept*

1714 Data to demonstrate proof of concept are normally needed before human exposure in order to provide
1715 functional evidence of the relevant biological activity and to support the therapeutic rationale and clinical
1716 testing of the product in the treatment of the intended disease or condition.

1717 Generally, animal disease models, experimentally induced models mimicking the condition to be treated,
1718 *in vitro* and/or *ex vivo* cell and tissue-based models are considered acceptable for demonstrating the
1719 proof of concept. In all cases, a justification of the model used should be provided.

1720 When a relevant *in vivo* model is available and considered necessary to support the proof of concept, the
1721 route and mode of administration should mimic the clinical use as closely as possible. In the absence of
1722 clinical experience of the administration procedure and application devices, the feasibility and safety of
1723 the application procedure and application devices should be tested in non-clinical models before clinical
1724 use.

1725 The dose levels for proof of concept should allow estimation of biologically effective dose and meaningful
1726 extrapolation to help to establish the clinical starting dose (see also 6.2.1). It is expected to determine
1727 an effective dose with an acceptable safety profile of the product which exerts the desired
1728 pharmacological activity in the most suitable non-clinical model.

- 1729 • *Transduction/transfection and expression*

1730 In the case of gene therapy investigational ATMPs, transduction/transfection and subsequent expression
1731 of transgene product is important for interpretation of potential therapeutic effects observed in proof-of-

1732 concept studies. Differences in tropism of a gene therapy vector between the chosen animal species and
1733 human or biodistribution in case of non-viral vectors should be considered when extrapolating the results
1734 from animals to humans. The duration of the transgene expression and the therapeutic effect, associated
1735 with the nucleic acid sequence, shall be described. The relationship with the proposed dosing regimen in
1736 the clinical studies should be evaluated.

1737 When designing integrating vectors, applicants should take into account that epigenetics could interfere
1738 with the efficacy and safety of the finished gene therapy investigational ATMP. Therefore, it is
1739 encouraged, where applicable, to investigate these issues further by performing *in vitro* analysis of
1740 genomic distribution of integrating vectors in human cells. This will provide crucial information about
1741 'host-on-vector' influences based on the target cell genetic and epigenetic state.

1742 If a replication-competent vector/virus is administered, the detection of viral sequences in non-target
1743 sites by nucleic acid amplification technology (NAT) techniques should result in quantitative infectivity
1744 assays in order to evaluate the infectious potential of the detected nucleic acid.

1745 Genome integration studies (*ex vivo* tissue culture or *in vivo* studies) should be performed for GTMPs
1746 that are intended for integration in the host genome. For more information, see *Guideline on quality,
1747 non-clinical and clinical aspects of gene therapy medicinal products* (EMA/CAT/80183/2014 rev).

1748 **5.4. Pharmacokinetic studies**

1749 Pharmacokinetics for investigational ATMPs depend on the type of the ATMP and include biodistribution,
1750 as well as elimination parameters (persistence and clearance).

1751 For cell-based investigational ATMPs, including genetically modified cells, distribution, migration and
1752 persistence of the cells should be addressed in order to identify relevant risks related to unwanted
1753 biodistribution, and to focus the non-clinical safety studies to the aspects that are relevant for the
1754 intended clinical use.

1755 Information on the persistence of cells within the host should guide the selection of relevant safety
1756 studies and the target organs as well as the study design and duration of follow-up in order to ensure
1757 sufficient monitoring to capture both acute and late or delayed effects, and also, to avoid unnecessary
1758 testing in the case of short-term transient persistence of the administered cells. The risk-based approach
1759 can be used to determine the need of biodistribution studies for non-genetically modified cells.

1760 The need for biodistribution studies is dependent on the administration route as well as the structural or
1761 physiological containment of the cells. If cells are administered using an administration route that enables
1762 distribution of the cells from the site of administration leading to systemic exposure, biodistribution data
1763 are needed to identify potential target organs. In contrast, the distribution potential of the cells is
1764 considered limited if the cells are either structurally or physically contained i.e. grown onto a matrix or
1765 a scaffold, or applied to a confined space closed for example with a membrane to prevent distribution of
1766 the cells. In such cases, biodistribution data may not be needed. However, the structural integrity of the
1767 containment method at the site of administration needs to be demonstrated to ensure that there is no
1768 unintended leakage of the cells.

1769 For the gene therapy investigational ATMPs, the distribution profile is important for an interpretation of
1770 the therapeutic relevant effects observed in the proof-of-concept studies. A globally harmonised view on
1771 expectations for biodistribution analysis of GTMPs and considerations for the dose, study design, assay
1772 methodology and vector modification has been described in the *ICH S12 guideline on nonclinical
1773 biodistribution considerations for gene therapy products* (EMA/CHMP/ICH/318372/2021).

1774 The dosing used for biodistribution studies should mimic the clinical use with appropriate safety margins.
1775 The route of administration and the treatment regimen (frequency and duration) should be

1776 representative for the clinical use. In addition, evaluation of biodistribution of the gene therapy
1777 investigational ATMPs after a single administration may add information on the clearance of the
1778 administered gene therapy investigational ATMPs. If the administered GTMP is a replication-competent
1779 virus, biodistribution studies should be designed to cover a potential second viremia as a result of
1780 replication of the virus *in vivo*.

1781 Pharmacokinetic studies should additionally focus on clearance of the gene therapy investigational
1782 ATMPs.

1783 The risk of germline transmission and modification should also be explored before use in humans
1784 (according to the *Guideline on non-clinical testing for inadvertent germline transmission of gene transfer*
1785 *vectors* EMEA/273974/2005 and the above mentioned ICHS12 guideline). The extent of studies will
1786 depend on the type of gene therapy investigational ATMPs and its distribution to the gonads. For more
1787 detailed information, see the *Guideline on non-clinical testing for inadvertent germline transmission of*
1788 *gene transfer vectors* (EMEA/CHMP/ICH/469991/2006).

1789 • *Shedding*

1790 Information on shedding is normally needed for the environmental risk assessment. This information can
1791 be based on human data, published data and/or a justification. Non-clinical shedding studies are not
1792 mandatory for gene therapy investigational ATMPs if sufficient information on potential sources of
1793 unintended exposure is available. For novel types of gene therapy investigational ATMPs for which non-
1794 clinical or clinical shedding data are not available, non-clinical shedding studies may be required before
1795 clinical trials and will inform the timing and sampling of the clinical shedding testing. See also: *EMEA ICH*
1796 *Considerations: General Principles to Address Virus and Vector Shedding*
1797 (EMEA/CHMP/ICH/449035/2009).

1798 **5.5. Toxicity studies**

1799 Normally, non-clinical safety or toxicity data are needed to support clinical testing. The need for
1800 additional toxicity studies e.g. genotoxicity, tumourigenicity, reproductive and developmental toxicity,
1801 and immunotoxicity studies should be determined on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the
1802 risks related to the nature and characteristics of the particular class of ATMP and the intended clinical
1803 use.

1804 The safety studies should be designed to generate clinically meaningful and relevant data to support safe
1805 use of the product in the intended clinical indication. Safety studies in non-relevant species may be
1806 misleading and are discouraged. For toxicology studies appropriate dose level(s)⁴, route and methods of
1807 administration should be chosen to represent clinical use. The mode and schedule of administration shall
1808 appropriately reflect the clinical dosing. If the first-in-human trial will include repeated dosing, this should
1809 be supported by repeat-dose toxicity data unless otherwise justified (e.g. advanced cancer indication or
1810 immunogenicity restricts repeat-dosing in animals).

1811 For investigational ATMPs intended for single administration, single-dose toxicology studies with an
1812 appropriately extended post-dose observation period shall be performed to capture relevant safety
1813 concerns. For cell-based products, the duration of follow-up should cover the time of persistence of
1814 administered cells. However, in the case where administered cell-based product is intended to replace a
1815 tissue and become an integral part of the body, the duration of non-clinical safety evaluation needed to
1816 support the first human exposure should be determined on a case-by-case basis.

1817 Safety data can be collected in toxicology studies as well as in proof-of-concept studies conducted in the
1818 disease model(s) provided that adequate safety endpoints are included. In justified cases *in vitro* and/or

⁴ Maximum feasible dose, exceeding the maximum clinical dose.

1819 *ex vivo* data can be used to substitute or supplement *in vivo* animal data. The overall safety evaluation
1820 should take into account cell persistence and biodistribution data.

1821 One animal species is sufficient if the model is considered predictive. However, multiple animal species
1822 or strains may be needed to cover all relevant safety aspects on a case-by-case basis. Both sexes should
1823 be included unless justified.

1824 GLP

1825 It is generally expected that pivotal non-clinical safety studies are carried out in conformity with the
1826 principles of GLP. However, it is recognised that, due to the specific characteristics of ATMPs, it would
1827 not always be possible to conduct these studies in full conformity with GLP. The considerations for
1828 application of GLP for ATMPs are described in the document: [Good laboratory practice \(GLP\) principles
1829 in relation to ATMPs](#) (EMA, 26 January 2017).

1830 **5.6. Minimum non-clinical data requirements before first-in-human studies**

1831 The *Guideline on strategies to identify and mitigate risks for first-in-human and early clinical trials with
1832 investigational medicinal products* (EMA/CHMP/SWP/28367/07 Rev. 1) excludes ATMPs. However, the
1833 principles described in the guideline may be followed where applicable.

1834 Due to specific characteristics of ATMPs, the majority of non-clinical safety data should usually be
1835 available before first administration to humans. The extent of the non-clinical data package is determined
1836 on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the risks, or the lack of risks, associated with the
1837 product and the intended clinical use, the availability of relevant non-clinical models and publicly
1838 available information from similar type of products. In exceptional cases, where appropriate *in vitro*, *ex
1839 vivo* or *in vivo* data cannot be generated, a comprehensive risk assessment addressing risks related to
1840 the investigational ATMP and its clinical use should be provided, and measures to mitigate the risks
1841 should be described.

1842 At a minimum, the following information should be available before human exposure:

- 1843 – support for the proof of concept in a relevant non-clinical model;
- 1844 – support for the use of administration route, application procedure and application devices;
- 1845 – support of the selection of safe and biologically effective starting dose;
- 1846 – appropriate safety data.

- 1847 • *Proof-of-concept*

1848 Proof-of-concept studies can include *in vivo* models mimicking the disease or condition to be treated
1849 and/or *in vitro* and *ex vivo* studies to demonstrate mode of action and functionality of the cells and/or the
1850 expression of the transgene. In cases where the time needed to demonstrate therapeutic effect is very
1851 long i.e. > 1 year, it is justified to provide an interim analysis of non-clinical proof of concept data of shorter
1852 duration to support the exploratory clinical study. The duration of the proof-of-concept studies and
1853 acceptability of interim data for the conduct of an exploratory clinical trial may be determined case-by-
1854 case.

- 1855 • *Safety pharmacology*

1856 Safety pharmacology data are not routinely needed for investigational ATMPs. When potential effects on
1857 major vital physiological functions i.e. cardiovascular, central nervous system, or respiratory function are

1858 anticipated, appropriate safety pharmacology data should be available before human exposure. Safety
1859 pharmacology endpoints can be incorporated in the toxicity studies, if feasible.

1860 • *Biodistribution*

1861 Biodistribution data should be available including information on the persistence, duration of effect and
1862 target organs in order to support the design and duration of safety study(ies). Extrapolation of
1863 information which has been obtained from similar type of products using the same route of administration
1864 and similar dose levels can be justified in certain cases and be used to support initiation of clinical
1865 development.

1866 Validation of the bioanalytical methods may not be needed before first clinical study. However, sufficient
1867 information on the suitability of the used method e.g. specificity and sensitivity (limit of detection) should
1868 be provided. Full validation should be conducted for biodistribution analyses to support later phase
1869 clinical development.

1870 • *Safety/toxicity*

1871 General safety/toxicity studies should provide information for estimation of safe starting dose, dosing
1872 regimen and identify relevant safety concerns in the intended clinical use. It may be acceptable to use
1873 safety information collected from a well-designed proof-of-concept study(ies) incorporating adequate
1874 safety endpoints to support first-in-human studies.

1875 • *Genotoxicity*

1876 Standard genotoxicity assays are generally not appropriate for ATMPs.

1877 The applicant should address concerns about insertional mutagenesis for integrating viral vectors, off-
1878 target effects and genome modifications for genome editing products (see also section 4, S.3.1.2.2.
1879 Characterisation studies of gene-therapy investigational ATMPs) and also concerns related to a specific
1880 impurity or a component of the delivery system.

1881 The requirement for genotoxicity studies of integrating viral vectors will depend on the way the finished
1882 product will be delivered (local versus systemic), the biodistribution of the vector and the biological
1883 status of the target cells. Insertional mutagenesis shall be addressed.

1884 • *Tumourigenicity*

1885 Generally, the risk of tumour formation needs to be addressed before exposing humans. Standard
1886 lifetime rodent carcinogenicity studies are usually not required. However, depending on the type of
1887 product, the tumourigenic and oncogenic potential shall be investigated in relevant *in vitro/in vivo* models
1888 for neoplasm signals, oncogene activation or cell proliferation index. Published data can be used in
1889 support of risk assessment. The extent of non-clinical data is dependent on the potential risk of tumour
1890 formation and should be based primarily on *in vitro* and *ex vivo* analyses which in some cases may need
1891 to be supplemented with *in vivo* data.

1892 • *Immunogenicity and immunotoxicity*

1893 Delivery of investigational ATMPs can result in immune responses of the innate and adaptive immune
1894 systems. These aspects should be considered during the non-clinical development as part of the overall
1895 toxicology assessment of the product including e.g. histological analysis of immune system activation both
1896 locally and systemically. The impact of an unwanted immune response on the fate of an administered
1897 investigational ATMP needs to be addressed before human exposure.

1898 **5.7. Non-clinical data that can be provided at later stages of development**

- 1899
 - Safety/toxicity

1900 Generally, repeat-dose toxicity data are needed to support multiple administrations in humans. However,
1901 a clinical study with multiple administrations could be initiated without repeat-dose toxicity data provided
1902 that such data are available before multiple dosing in humans commences. Omission of repeat-dose
1903 toxicity studies may be justifiable if the investigational ATMP has been eliminated from the body before
1904 subsequent administrations (e.g. if the dosing interval is very long).

- 1905
 - Reproductive and developmental toxicity

1906 If effects on reproductive function and/or development are anticipated relevant reproductive and
1907 developmental toxicity studies should be conducted before exposing larger patient populations.

1908 **5.8. Combined ATMPs**

1909 The finished combined ATMP⁵ needs to be tested in non-clinical experiments.

1910 Non-clinical data needed for the device component alone:

1911 - For medical device components that are CE-marked for the intended use, the non-clinical safety data
1912 that is evaluated and accepted by a Notified Body should be provided.

1913 - For medical device components that are not CE-marked or that are CE-marked for another use, non-
1914 clinical safety data in accordance with the Medical device legislation are needed before clinical use.

1915

1916 **6. Clinical documentation**

1917 **6.1 General aspects**

1918 In general, the same principles apply for the clinical development of investigational ATMPs as for other
1919 IMPs. However, the distinctive characteristics and features of ATMPs are expected to have an impact on
1920 the clinical trial design in early phases of development, specifically with regards to dose selection,
1921 pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics/biodistribution. In later phases, for clinical trials aiming to
1922 demonstrate efficacy and safety of medicinal products in specific therapeutic areas, the general principles
1923 and methodology are similar to those for the development of other medicinal products.

1924 Distinctive features to be considered for the clinical development of ATMPs include but are not limited
1925 to:

- 1926
 - complexity of products, product characteristics and manufacturing considerations, e.g.
1927 difficulties in the collection and handling of source material and variability of starting materials,
1928 differences between allogeneic vs. autologous origin of the cells;
 - procurement procedures, e.g. apheresis;
 - pre-treatment and concomitant medication, e.g. lymphodepletion, immunosuppression;
 - limitations to extrapolate from non-clinical data: starting dose, biodistribution, immunogenicity,
1931 on-and off-target effects and tumourigenicity;
 - uncertainty about frequency, duration and severity of adverse events ,
1932

⁵ Combined ATMPs are ATMPs that contain, as an integral part, one or more medical devices.

- 1934 • uncertainty about the possible persistence and immunogenicity;
- 1935 • uncertainty about transformation, genotoxicity, tumourigenicity;
- 1936 • risk of shedding and germ line transmission;
- 1937 • the need for long-term efficacy and safety follow-up, based on prolonged biological activity
- 1938 and/or persistence of cells;
- 1939 • administration procedures/delivery to target site;
- 1940 • *transportation and handling requirements.*

1941 **6.1.1 Anticipated benefits and risks for trial participants**

1942 The known and potential risks and benefits for the patient including an evaluation of the anticipated
 1943 benefit and risk should be included in the trial protocol.

1944 Specific aspects to be considered include (non-comprehensive list):

- 1945 • the anticipated effect based on the specific mechanism of action;
- 1946 • the trial population (e.g. the persistence of the GTMP might depend on the maturity of organs);
- 1947 • the complexity of dose selection and issues related to testing potentially ineffective and/or
- 1948 excessively toxic doses in dose-finding studies;
- 1949 • inherent trial interventions, e.g.
 - 1950 ○ procurement procedures (e.g. apheresis, surgical procedures),
 - 1951 ○ pre-treatment, e.g. conditioning regimen or lymphodepletion,
 - 1952 ○ concomitant treatments, e.g. immunosuppression,
 - 1953 ○ infusion of excipients (e.g. DMSO or other preservatives),
 - 1954 ○ invasive administration procedure (including surgery);
- 1955 • potential risks related to the investigational ATMP itself, e.g.
 - 1956 ○ risks related to quality, manufacturing, supply chain;
 - 1957 ○ risks identified in non-clinical studies, or potential risks related to off-target effects
 - 1958 and/or risks not identified in non-clinical studies;
 - 1959 ○ for ATMPs based on viral vectors: the risk of shedding, replication-competence and
 - 1960 possibility of reactivation of endogenous viruses or complementarity with endogenous
 - 1961 viruses;
- 1962 • risks of insertional mutagenesis in case of GTMPs;
- 1963 • risks of germline transmission or modifications in case of genome editing products;
- 1964 • risks related to immune reactions e.g. immunogenicity, inflammatory response.

1965 Sponsors should outline in the benefit-risk assessment how known and potential risks are addressed and
 1966 minimized. Respective risk minimisation measures should be implemented in the trial protocol.

1967 **6.1.2. Trial population**

1968 Clinical trials involving investigational ATMPs are usually conducted in patients and not in healthy
1969 volunteers.

1970 The rationale and justification for the choice of the study population should be discussed in the protocol.
1971 The population should be selected based on an acceptable balance of risks and anticipated benefits of
1972 treatment with the investigational ATMP. For exploratory trials, the population may be more restricted
1973 in accordance with trial objectives and include patients with a presumably more favourable benefit/risk
1974 balance; the patient population may be subsequently enlarged based on accumulating data. However,
1975 confirmatory trials should be designed to ensure that the trial populations overall are representative of
1976 patients intended to be treated after obtaining a marketing authorisation.

1977 Other considerations for a trial population may include pre-existing immunity to the product or active
1978 substance and potential effects (e.g. immunogenicity or other long-lasting effects) of investigational
1979 ATMPs on subsequent treatment options (e.g. organ transplants). The stage and burden of disease, the
1980 ability of subjects to tolerate emerging adverse events, the prior therapies and their mechanism of action
1981 may also be considered when defining a trial population.

1982 Unless the disease affects paediatric population exclusively or if there is unequivocal potential of
1983 favourable benefit-risk, or if the biology and phenotypical presentation in adult differ from that in
1984 children, then it is expected to initially conduct studies in adult population. Extension of eligibility to
1985 adolescents and/or potential staggered inclusion of paediatric patients should be considered whenever
1986 justified.

1987 **6.1.3. Contraceptive measures**

1988 Contraception for clinical trials involving investigational ATMPs should follow the General principles of the
1989 *Recommendations related to contraception and pregnancy testing in clinical trials*⁶.

1990 When considering contraceptive requirements for clinical trials using ATMPs, risks to the developing
1991 foetus from in utero exposure, risks to developing germ cells and duration and extent of exposure should
1992 be considered.

1993 In case of clinical trials with ATMPs that have not yet received a marketing authorisation, all relevant
1994 non-clinical and clinical data should be evaluated to determine the risk. Depending on the stage of
1995 development there may be no or limited data available from non-clinical and clinical studies on potential
1996 reproductive toxicity effects. The lifelong duration of exposure for some ATMPs may need additional
1997 considerations for risks minimisation.

1998 The protocol and the investigators brochure (IB) should include an evaluation of the reproductive risk
1999 including the period of potential risk and a justification for the duration of contraceptive measures.
2000 Contraceptive measures should be continued during treatment and until the end of the period of potential
2001 risk.

2002 Women of childbearing potential (WOCBP) should use an acceptable effective contraceptive measure
2003 unless the risk assessment concludes that risk of human teratogenicity / foetotoxicity is absent or
2004 unlikely. If the conclusion of the risk assessment does not exclude a possible risk of human teratogenicity
2005 / foetotoxicity, then WOCBP and males should use highly effective contraceptive measures during the
2006 exposure.

⁶ https://www.hma.eu/fileadmin/dateien/Human_Medicines/01-About_HMA/Working_Groups/CTFG/2020_09_HMA_CTFG_Contraception_guidance_Version_1.1_updated.pdf

2007 **Recommendations for male subjects with a pregnant or non-pregnant WOCBP partner**

2008 For ATMPs where preclinical and, if available, human pregnancy data indicate no or unlikely risk of human
2009 teratogenicity and there is no shedding into the semen of the active or viral vectors, no contraceptive
2010 measures are needed for male subjects.

2011 For ATMPs with unknown or demonstrated likely teratogenic risk, the male should use barrier
2012 contraception during treatment and until the end of relevant exposure.

2013 In the case of male subjects who are treated with an *in vivo* viral gene therapy, male barrier protection
2014 should be used during the time the virus is shed into the semen and for a period of three months or 90
2015 days after there is no virus shed.

2016 **6.2 Exploratory clinical trials**

2017 **6.2.1 General considerations**

2018 For exploratory early-phase trials, especially for the First-in-human (FIH) trials, the primary objectives
2019 are usually the safety and tolerability.

2020 The design of exploratory trials of investigational ATMPs often involves consideration of clinical safety
2021 issues different from other medicinal products (that could include extended or permanent adverse
2022 effects, e.g. long-term or delayed safety issues, such as infections, immunogenicity/immunosuppressant,
2023 integration into the genome of some gene therapy investigational ATMPs, ectopic tissue formation and
2024 malignant transformation).

2025 Other objectives of exploratory trials are:

- 2026 • pharmacokinetics and biodistribution;
- 2027 • identification of the need for an optimised administration procedure / route of administration;
- 2028 • identification of the need for optimised product development and the feasibility of manufacturing;
- 2029 • assessment of pharmacodynamics, early measurement of activity e.g. gene expression, cell
2030 engraftment;
- 2031 • assessment of the feasibility of recruitment, treatment approach and the use of the ATMP;
- 2032 • dose selection and determination of recommended dose for confirmatory studies.

2033 FIH studies are a subset of exploratory studies. The design of FIH clinical trials with investigational ATMPs
2034 deserves specific considerations. For example, the possibility to extrapolate from non-clinical
2035 pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic/biodistribution and toxicity data to the human situation may be
2036 limited, depending on the relevance of the non-clinical animal model. This may hamper, amongst others,
2037 the prediction of a safe starting dose for FIH trials and the prediction of target organs of toxicity. All
2038 available data and uncertainties on the translation of non-clinical data to the clinical setting have to be
2039 taken into account when setting the starting dose for FIH trials. Thus, although Advanced Therapies are
2040 exempt from the scope of the *Guideline on strategies to identify and mitigate risks for first-in-human
2041 and early clinical trials with investigational medicinal products* (EMA/CHMP/SWP/28367/07 Rev. 1), the
2042 outlined principles to mitigate risks are applicable.

2043 Exploratory studies with investigational ATMPs are often designed to address several objectives and are
2044 conducted in a seamless manner. Examples are trials with GTMPs in patients with monogenetic disease,
2045 where dose escalation and determination of a recommended dose is followed by a second phase to
2046 include additional patients on the recommended dose level and to further explore the efficacy of the

2047 GTMP. The trial protocol should specify the criteria, methodology and procedural steps planned to transit
2048 e.g. from the dose-escalation phase to the next phase of development.

2049 **6.2.2. Safety and tolerability objectives**

2050 As with other medicinal products, assessment of safety should be the focus of exploratory studies and
2051 included as a main objective. The investigational ATMP dose to be administered is either derived from
2052 non-clinical studies with the product, suggesting safe use in humans, and/or data for related products
2053 are considered, when justified to be relevant. The use of literature data is expected to be less relevant
2054 in cases where the product has been extensively manipulated, or where a product contains a non-cellular
2055 component which may pose additional safety concerns. In this case the safety of both components needs
2056 to be addressed prior to starting clinical development.

2057 Factors to consider in the risks assessment of investigational ATMPs are related especially to the mode
2058 of action, the nature of the target, the method and route of administration, the study population, previous
2059 experience in humans with the product or the same class of products, if any, and/or the relevance of
2060 animal models (see also section 6.1.1).

2061 Increased risk can be expected in investigational ATMPs with mode of action affecting multiple systems
2062 or organs; in cases, when amplification of an effect might not be sufficiently controlled by a physiologic
2063 feedback mechanism (e.g. immune system; blood coagulation system) and when insufficient knowledge
2064 on the mode of action or on biodistribution is available and in cases of questionable relevance of animal
2065 species/models.

2066 The risk of the entire therapeutic intervention, e.g. the required surgical procedures to administer the
2067 investigational ATMP (e.g. multiple injection, intra cerebral application), the use of general or regional
2068 anaesthesia or the use of immunosuppressive therapy, shall be considered when justifying the clinical
2069 studies and the choice of the target patient population. When a surgical procedure is involved, as is the
2070 case for implantation of chondrocyte-containing products, or intramyocardial injection in the case of
2071 cardiac indications, potential risks associated with variability of the surgical implantation procedure
2072 among centres and surgeons should be addressed. Standardization of the administration procedure prior
2073 to entering clinical studies is recommended.

2074 All issues arising from the non-clinical development should be integrated in the design of exploratory
2075 trials, particularly in the absence of an animal model of the treated disease or in the presence of
2076 physiologic differences limiting the predictive value of homologous animal model.

2077 The protocol should specify the collection of safety data on immune response, infections, ectopic tissue
2078 formation, malignant transformation following administration of the investigational ATMP and
2079 concomitant treatment, whenever relevant. For trials involving paediatric populations, specific issues
2080 such as requiring preliminary safety data in adults, effects on reproductive health or germline expression
2081 may arise.

2082 In case of an anticipated risk including events with a late onset (e.g. tumourigenicity), measures to
2083 ensure adequate follow-up and timely detect the signal and to mitigate this risk should be implemented.

2084 Special consideration should be taken in the design of the clinical study and risk evaluation when medical
2085 devices are used for the delivery or implantation of an investigational ATMP. Information regarding the
2086 safety and compatibility of the delivery system should be provided. This information is in general derived
2087 from quality and non-clinical studies that have been designed to assess performance of the delivery
2088 system.

2089 **6.2.3. Dose finding and dose escalation**

2090 A rationale for the selected starting dose, dose escalation scheme (when applicable) and dosing schedule
2091 is required in the trial protocol. To ensure that patients are dosed within the therapeutic range, batch-
2092 to-batch consistency has to be controlled (see also in the quality section of this guideline). The predictive
2093 value of non-clinical studies for a safe starting dose in humans varies considerably, and is influenced by
2094 different factors, such as ATMP type, mode and schedule of administration/implantation, disease and
2095 availability of relevant animal models. In case of GTMPs consisting of viral vectors, non-clinical studies
2096 in relevant animal models with measurable levels of the transgene product (protein or enzyme) or a
2097 pharmacodynamic marker may allow a more reliable determination of the starting dose, compared to
2098 cell-based products.

2099 Although non-clinical data are useful to assist in a safe starting dose for investigational ATMPs, FIH
2100 studies may essentially inform dosage (dose/range and dosing regimen) selection. The goal of selecting
2101 a starting dose is to identify a dose that is expected to be safe and have a pharmacological effect. The
2102 assessment of a safe and minimal biological effective dose may be followed by further dose exploration.
2103 Also, the correlation between exposure and effect should be evaluated with the goal to establish an
2104 effective dose range and recommended dosage based on the totality of PK, PD, activity, safety data and
2105 subsequent analyses. The recommended dose of the investigational ATMP can then be further evaluated,
2106 either in expansion cohorts or in separate subsequent clinical trials.

2107 The rationale for the recommended dosage is thus usually based on the totality of non-clinical and clinical
2108 data. Differences in engraftment, differentiation, persistence and immunogenicity between animals and
2109 humans may limit the predictive value of non-clinical dose-finding studies, as in the case of e.g.
2110 genetically modified CD34 positive (CD34+) cells for treatment of severe immune deficiencies. Aspects
2111 to consider for selecting dose and schedule are product-specific attributes like cell type and origin
2112 (autologous versus allogeneic), number of transduced/edited cells versus non-transduced/edited cells,
2113 mean number of vector copies per cell and cell viability, potency and biologic activity, type of co-
2114 stimulatory molecule, and transgene expression. In case of product containing gene modified CD34+
2115 cells where a concomitant preceding conditioning regimen is required, the initial dosing can be derived
2116 from haematopoietic transplantation, considering the necessity to apply a minimum dose of CD34+ cells
2117 required to ensure engraftment, and to avoid prolonged bone marrow suppression.

2118 A rationale for the schedule of administration, e.g. single or repeated administration should be provided,
2119 depending on the type of investigational ATMP, biodistribution, persistence, and investigational ATMP
2120 induced immune reaction.

2121 In case where a dose-finding study is not feasible (e.g. ultra-rare diseases), the absence of such study
2122 should be thoroughly justified.

2123 **6.2.4. Staggered enrolment**

2124 For general considerations, please consult the guideline on strategies to identify and mitigate risks for
2125 First-in-Human Clinical Trials with Investigational Medicinal Products.

2126 The choice of the waiting period between the staggered administrations should take into consideration
2127 the time course and nature of acute and subacute toxicities in animals and previous experience in
2128 humans, if any, with related/similar investigational ATMPs. For example, the timeframe of anticipated
2129 adaptive immune response should be taken into account when choosing the waiting period.

2130 **6.2.5. Pharmacokinetics-related objectives**

2131 Assessment of pharmacokinetics is another objective of the exploratory clinical trials. Conventional
2132 pharmacokinetic assessment of absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) may not be
2133 possible or relevant for some types of investigational ATMPs.

2134 For cell-based therapies where ADME assessment cannot be fully applicable, pharmacokinetic
2135 assessment should be conducted where relevant and feasible, for example to monitor viability,
2136 proliferation/differentiation, immunogenicity, body distribution, ectopic foci, tissue tropism/migration,
2137 and functionality during the intended viability of the cells/products. Aspects, such as immunogenicity
2138 and shedding are relevant for gene therapy medicinal products.

2139 If appropriate, pharmacokinetic assessment, including as a minimum determination of (plasma)
2140 concentration and half-life, should be performed for the therapeutic transgene product (i.e. therapeutic
2141 protein) using bioanalytical assays that are appropriate for the intended purpose.

2142 **6.2.6. Pharmacodynamics-related objectives**

2143 Pharmacodynamic (PD) assessments are frequently used to substantiate the proof-of-mechanism and
2144 proof-of-concept. The selected PD biomarkers should support the activity of the investigational ATMP.

2145 In case of gene therapy investigational ATMPs, PD assessments are performed to study the expression
2146 and function of the gene expression product (e.g. as a protein or enzyme, including conversion of
2147 prodrugs by therapeutic enzymes or induction of immune response) while in other cases the effect of
2148 the vector itself is addressed (e.g. recombinant oncolytic virus). Appropriate bioanalytical assays should
2149 be used.

2150 In case of a somatic cell therapy investigational ATMP with immunological function e.g. a cancer
2151 immunotherapy, PD readouts include cellular and humoral immune response. In case of an
2152 investigational tissue engineered product where the intended use is to restore/replace cell/tissues,
2153 structural/histological assays may be potential pharmacodynamic markers.

2154 **6.3 Confirmatory/pivotal clinical trials**

2155 **6.3.1 General considerations**

2156 Confirmatory studies should be designed and conducted in accordance with the existing general
2157 guidelines for specific therapeutic areas. In situations where a specific therapeutics area guidance does
2158 not exist or is not relevant for ATMPs, scientific advice should be sought.

2159 The impact of substantial manufacturing process changes on confirmatory trials is discussed in the quality
2160 section of this guideline (see sections S.2.6 and P.2) and potential consequences on the
2161 representativeness of the material to generate the non-clinical and early clinical data need to be
2162 considered (see sections 5.1 and section 6.2.1).

2163 Clinical trial design

2164 The main points to address in the study designs are: choice of target population and of control group,
2165 blinding, choice of primary and secondary endpoints, study duration, sample size estimation, statistical
2166 methods and, if applicable, choice of control group and blinding. These aspects should preferably be
2167 described in the form of an estimand.

2168 Randomized controlled, comparative trials are preferable over single arm trials, or trials with external,
2169 historical controls, as they minimise confounding baseline variables, reduce bias and are better suitable
2170 to obtain an unbiased estimate of the treatment effect and safety. Where reference therapies are not

2171 available comparison to best supportive care or treatment based on investigator's choice is expected to
2172 provide evidence of efficacy and is preferred over single arm trials.

2173 For studies in rare populations the planning of confirmatory trials should take into account the principles
2174 outlined in the *Guideline on clinical trials in small populations* (CHMP/EWP/83561/2005).

2175 In some patient population there may be no treatments available, a planned comparator treatment may
2176 not be authorised some regions, or the treatment centre may not have access to the authorised gene or
2177 cell-based comparator product. It may also be unethical to conduct a trial using placebo as a comparator.
2178 In cases that standard of care, historic/prospective controls or data from a disease registry are used, a
2179 sound rationale needs to be provided, including a justification for the validity of the registry data. Using
2180 alternative comparators (e.g. a sham procedure) may also be considered as a comparator, dependent
2181 upon a number of factors such as the additional risks posed to the patient and nature of the condition.

2182 For some investigational ATMPs an intra-subject control with an appropriate run-in phase might be a
2183 useful approach to ensure the internal validity of the results.

2184 The trial design should include measures to ensure blinding when appropriate and feasible e.g. where
2185 the investigator involved at the clinical site in the preparation of the investigational ATMP cannot be
2186 blinded, but the health care professional administering the product is blinded. In this case, the trial
2187 design should include measures to reduce potential bias by partial blinding. If single or double blinding
2188 is not possible, this should be appropriately justified, e.g. when surgical procedures are involved. In this
2189 case the person assessing the main study outcomes should be blinded to treatment assignment and act
2190 as independent reviewer.

2191 **6.3.2 Efficacy**

2192 Clinical efficacy endpoints as defined in specific guidance for the proposed indication or disease treatment
2193 are the basis for the clinical evaluation of investigational ATMPs. The primary objective is to demonstrate
2194 or confirm therapeutic benefit.

2195 In cases where long-term efficacy is expected, the endpoints should also focus on the duration of the
2196 treatment effect. As for any medicinal product, any non-validated endpoint or early endpoint, such as
2197 novel biomarkers, would have to be validated. If scientifically justified, non-validated or early endpoint,
2198 may be used to generate supportive evidence,

2199

2200 Sometimes, the desired clinical outcome can be observed only after a long follow-up. In such cases,
2201 additional early endpoints e.g. based on validated surrogate variables might be included in the trial to
2202 support an initial marketing authorisation together with short term clinical efficacy data. If the efficacy
2203 is dependent on the long-term persistence of the product, a long-term follow-up plan for the patients
2204 should be provided.

2205 For the statistical methodology, reference is made to the *ICH guideline E9 and E9(R1) addendum on*
2206 *estimands and sensitivity analysis in clinical trials to the guideline on statistical principles for clinical*
2207 *trials* (EMA/CHMP/ICH/436221/2017).

2208 For investigational tissue engineered products, additional cell- and tissue-specific endpoints may be
2209 required such as biochemical, morphological, structural and functional parameters, which are relevant
2210 for the targeted therapeutic claim. These endpoints can be used as co-primary or secondary variables
2211 and are expected to support the clinical primary efficacy variable.

2212 **6.3.3 Clinical safety**

2213 The detection of the risks should continue during confirmatory phase clinical trials in order to prevent
2214 and/or minimise the risks. The information regarding the detected (important and potential) risks
2215 contained in the Development Safety Update Reports could provide the basis for the Risk Management
2216 Plan (see ICH E2F on development safety update report). Regarding the possible risks in relation to
2217 investigational ATMPs, reference is made to the Risk Based Approach methodology as well as the risks
2218 listed in section 5.1 of the revised *Guideline on safety and efficacy follow-up and risk management of*
2219 *Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products* (EMA/149995/2008 rev.1).

2220 In case of an anticipated risk including events with a late onset (e.g. tumourigenicity), measures to
2221 ensure adequate follow-up and timely detect the signal and to mitigate this risk should be implemented.

2222 The safety database should be large enough to predict the safety profile of the ATMP, to implement
2223 appropriate risk mitigation activities ensuring its safe use post-authorisation.

2224 **6.4 Long-term efficacy and safety follow-up**

2225 Long term efficacy and safety follow-up and long-term monitoring of patients treated with an
2226 investigational ATMP needs to take into account the nature of the investigational ATMP and life
2227 expectancy if relevant for the disease treated. The duration of efficacy and safety follow-up should be
2228 identified during the exploratory clinical trials, based on risk-based approach considerations, results from
2229 non-clinical studies, the mechanism of action, the persistence and the nature of the product (e.g. the
2230 vector type).

2231 The ATMP developers should ensure that patients enrolled in clinical trials (starting with FIH trials) are
2232 appropriately followed-up in order to generate long-term efficacy and safety data sufficient to support
2233 the marketing authorisation application. The duration and the type of follow-up should be described in
2234 the clinical protocol.

2235 The long-term efficacy and safety monitoring should be appropriately designed (e.g. sampling plan,
2236 sample treatment, analytical methods, endpoints) in order to maximize information output especially
2237 when invasive methods are used. This is of specific importance when the investigational ATMP is intended
2238 to provide life-long persistence of biological activity and treatment effects. Similar considerations apply
2239 when investigational ATMPs have high potential for immunogenicity or relatively invasive procedures are
2240 needed to administer them. Product persistence is assessed by determining the presence of cells, vector,
2241 virus, nucleic acids, proteins and other products in biological fluids or tissues. Activity might be assessed
2242 by measuring e.g. gene expression or changes in biomarkers.

2243 Follow-up of patients should be more intensive in first one to three years after treatment and for cell-
2244 based investigational and gene therapy investigational ATMPs with increased risk of late onset of adverse
2245 reactions (e.g. tumourigenicity) this follow-up period should be extended. Following this initial follow-
2246 up, patients can be followed up for a longer period in in a clinical trial or enrolled in a registry. The follow-
2247 up period should be agreed on a case-by-case basis with the regulatory agency.

2248

2249

2250 **Glossary**

2251 ATMP – Advanced Therapy Medicinal Product

2252 ERA – Environmental Risk Assessment

- 2253 FIH – First in Human
- 2254 GCP – Good Clinical Practice
- 2255 GMP – Good Manufacturing Practice
- 2256 GMO – genetically modified organism
- 2257 ICH - International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human
2258 Use
- 2259 IMP – Investigational Medicinal Product
- 2260 IMPD – Investigational Medicinal Product Dossier
- 2261 IPC - in-process controls
- 2262 INN - International Non-proprietary name
- 2263 MAA – Marketing authorization application
- 2264 MoA – Mechanism of action
- 2265 Ph. Eur. – European Pharmacopoeia
- 2266 RCV - Replication competent virus
- 2267

2268 **References**

- 2269 Comprehensive guidance for clinical trial submission and requirements can be found on the EudraLex -
2270 Volume 10 – Webpage: https://health.ec.europa.eu/medicinal-products/eudralex/eudralex-volume-10_en
2271 10_en
- 2272 Guideline on the risk-based approach according to annex I, part IV of Directive 2001/83/EC applied to
2273 Advanced therapy medicinal products (EMA/CAT/CPWP/686637/2011)
- 2274 A comprehensive listing of guidance documents related to ATMPs can be found on the EMA webpage:
2275 [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/research-and-development/scientific-](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/research-and-development/scientific-guidelines/multidisciplinary-guidelines)
2276 [guidelines/multidisciplinary-guidelines](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/research-and-development/scientific-guidelines/multidisciplinary-guidelines)
- 2277 ICH guidelines adopted in the EU can be found on the EMA webpage:
2278 [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/research-and-development/scientific-](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/research-and-development/scientific-guidelines/ich-guidelines)
2279 [guidelines/ich-guidelines](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/research-and-development/scientific-guidelines/ich-guidelines)
- 2280 Reflection paper on classification of advanced therapy medicinal products (EMA/CAT/600280/2010 rev.1)
2281 [https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/scientific-guideline/reflection-paper-classification-advanced-](https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/scientific-guideline/reflection-paper-classification-advanced-therapy-medicinal-products_en-0.pdf)
2282 [therapy-medicinal-products_en-0.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/scientific-guideline/reflection-paper-classification-advanced-therapy-medicinal-products_en-0.pdf)
- 2283 A list of selected guidance relevant for ATMP development is provided below:
- 2284
- 2285 **Quality**
- 2286 Guidelines on Good Manufacturing Practice specific to Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products
- 2287 Questions and answers on the principles of GMP for the manufacturing of starting materials of biological
2288 origin used to transfer genetic material for the manufacturing of ATMPs (EMA/246400/2021)

- 2289 Guideline on the sterilisation of the medicinal product, active substance, excipient and primary container
2290 (EMA/CHMP/CVMP/QWP/BWP/850374/2015)
- 2291 Guideline on process validation for the manufacture of biotechnology-derived active substances and data
2292 to be provided in the regulatory submissions (EMA/CHMP/BWP/187338/2014)
- 2293 Note for Guidance on Minimising the Risk of Transmitting Animal Spongiform Encephalopathy Agents via
2294 Human and Veterinary Medicinal Products (EMA/410/01)
- 2295 CHMP/CAT position statement on Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and advanced therapy medicinal products
2296 (EMA/CHMP/BWP/353632/2010)
- 2297 CHMP Position Statement on Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and plasma-derived and urine-derived medicinal
2298 products. (EMA/CHMP/BWP/303353/2010)
- 2299 Questions and answers on Comparability considerations for Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products
2300 (EMA/CAT/499821/2019)
- 2301 Guideline on quality documentation for medicinal products when used with a medical device
2302 (EMA/CHMP/QWP/BWP/259165/2019)
- 2303 Guideline on plasma-derived medicinal products (EMA/CHMP/BWP/706271/2010)
- 2304
- 2305 **Non-clinical**
2306
- 2307 Guideline on the non-clinical studies required before first clinical use of gene therapy medicinal products
2308 (EMA/CHMP/GTWP/125459/2006)
- 2309 ICH S12 guideline on nonclinical biodistribution considerations for gene therapy products
2310 (EMA/CHMP/ICH/318372/2021)
- 2311 EMEA ICH Considerations: General principles to address virus and vector shedding
2312 (EMA/CHMP/ICH/449035/2009)
- 2313 EMA ICH Considerations: General principles to address the risk of inadvertent germline integration of
2314 gene therapy vectors (EMA/CHMP/ICH/469991/2006)
- 2315 Good laboratory practice (GLP) principles in relation to ATMPs (EMA, 26 January 2017)
- 2316
- 2317 **Clinical**
2318
- 2319 Clinical trial facilitation group, Recommendations related to contraception and pregnancy testing in
2320 clinical trials, version 1.1 (21 September 2020)
- 2321 Guideline on clinical trials in small populations (CHMP/EWP/83561/2005)
- 2322 Guideline on safety and efficacy follow-up and risk management of Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products
2323 (EMA/149995/2008 rev.1)
- 2324 Guidelines on good clinical practice specific to advanced therapy medicinal Products
2325 ((https://health.ec.europa.eu/medicinal-products/advanced-therapies_en and Eudralex volume 10)
- 2326 ICH E2F on development safety update report (EMA/CHMP/ICH/309348/2008)
- 2327 ICH E6 (R2) for Good Clinical Practice (EMA/CHMP/ICH/135/1995)
- 2328 ICH E7 on studies in support of special populations: geriatric (CPMP/ICH/379/95)

- 2329 ICH E8 on general considerations for clinical trials (CPMP/ICH/5746/03)
- 2330 ICH E9 on statistical principles for clinical trials (CPMP/ICH/363/96)
- 2331 ICH guideline E9 (R1) addendum on estimands and sensitivity analysis in clinical trials to the guideline
2332 on statistical principles for clinical trials (EMA/CHMP/ICH/436221/2017)
- 2333
- 2334 **Multidisciplinary**
- 2335 Guideline on the risk-based approach according to annex I, part IV of Directive 2001/83/EC applied to
2336 ATMPs (EMA/CAT/CPWP/686637/2011)
- 2337 Guideline on strategies to identify and mitigate risks for First-in-Human Clinical Trials with Investigational
2338 Medicinal Products (Doc. Ref. EMEA/CHMP/SWP/294648/2007)
- 2339 Guideline on human cell-based medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006)
- 2340 Guideline on the quality, non-clinical and clinical aspects of gene therapy medicinal products
2341 (EMA/CAT/80183/2014)
- 2342 Quality, non-clinical and clinical aspects of medicinal products containing genetically modified cells
2343 CHMP/GTWP/671639/2008)
- 2344 Guideline on xenogeneic cell-based medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/CPWP/83508/2009)
- 2345 Reflection paper on stem cell-based medicinal products, EMA/CAT/571134/2009
- 2346 Guideline on safety and efficacy follow-up and risk management of Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products
2347 (EMEA/149995/2008 rev.1)
- 2348 Guideline on follow-up of patients administered with gene therapy medicinal products
2349 (EMEA/CHMP/GTWP/60436/2007)
- 2350 Guideline on registry-based studies (EMA/426390/2021)
- 2351 ICH M11 on clinical electronic structured harmonised protocol (EMA/CHMP/ICH/778799/2022)
- 2352
- 2353 **Genetically modified organisms (GMO)**
- 2354 Good practice documents on GMO requirements for investigational products
2355 https://health.ec.europa.eu/medicinal-products/advanced-therapies_en
- 2356